

# Randomised controlled trial of total immunosuppression withdrawal in liver transplant recipients: role of ursodeoxycholic acid

**Submission date**

14/03/2007

**Recruitment status**

No longer recruiting

☐ Prospectively registered

☐ Protocol

**Registration date**

28/03/2007

**Overall study status**

Completed

☐ Statistical analysis plan

☐ Results

**Last Edited**

02/09/2008

**Condition category**

Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning

☐ Individual participant data

☐ Record updated in last year

**Plain English summary of protocol**

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Dr Ghent Cam

**Contact details**

Section of hepatology

Department of Medicine, London Health Sciences Centre

339 Windermere Road, London

Ontario

Canada

N6A 5A5

cam.ghent@lhsc.on.ca

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

# Study information

## Scientific Title

## Study objectives

In this study we investigate whether oral administration of ursodeoxycholic acid reduces the risk of rejection and recurrence of underlying disease in liver transplant recipients undergoing total immunosuppression withdrawal (TIW).

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada on 01/01/1995. Patients were informed about possible consequences of immunosuppression withdrawal (rejection, recurrence of disease, renal function).

## Study design

Randomised, placebo-controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Prevention

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Liver transplantation, rejection, withdrawal of immunosuppressions

## Interventions

26 liver recipients who had been free of rejection while on immunosuppressive agents for a minimum of two years will be randomised to receive either 15 mg/kg of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) (N = 14) or identical placebo (N = 12) followed by sequential withdrawal of their immunosuppressive regimen over several months.

Prior to TIW a baseline liver biopsy was obtained and reviewed with a pathologist to exclude sub-clinical rejection and co-existent disease in the graft. Within one week of initiating TIW, patients underwent the following evaluations:

1. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)
2. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)
3. Alkaline phosphatase
4. Total bilirubin
5. Creatinine
6. Complete blood count (CBC)
7. Cyclosporin (CyA) levels by means of monoclonal antibody radioimmunoassay on whole blood (Cyclotrac, INCSTAR)

These same parameters were repeated every two weeks for the initial six months post-TIW and then monthly for a year thereafter. Liver biopsies were repeated in those who developed elevated liver enzymes (greater than 2 x normal) and in those who had completed six months of follow up with no immunosuppression other than the study medication. Secondary aims or endpoints such as development of renal failure, hypertension, extent of liver enzymes abnormalities as well as safety and compliance were assessed accordingly.

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Specified

### **Primary outcome measure**

1. Biochemical and histological evidence of rejection
2. Graft dysfunction without rejection
3. Recurrence of pre-transplant disease
4. Six months without immunosuppression and no rejection or dysfunction on repeat liver biopsy

### **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Development of renal failure
2. Hypertension
3. Extent of liver enzymes abnormalities
4. Safety and compliance

### **Overall study start date**

01/01/1995

### **Completion date**

01/12/1996

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Recipients of liver transplantation at the University of Western Ontario, Canada who had stable graft function (no clinical or biochemical evidence of liver disease) for a minimum of two years were offered TIW if they met the following criteria:

1. No documented rejection episodes for at least 24 months prior to the study
2. A minimum post-transplant follow up period of at least 2 years
3. A history of compliance with medications, blood testing for laboratory analyses and in the case of patients transplanted for alcohol-induced liver disease, abstinence from all alcohol beverages during the study period

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Not Specified

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

46

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients requiring triple anti-rejection therapy for frequent or severe episodes of rejection in the past
2. More than one liver transplant
3. Requiring anti rejection therapy for non-liver disorders (psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis [RA] etc)

**Date of first enrolment**

01/01/1995

**Date of final enrolment**

01/12/1996

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Canada

**Study participating centre****Section of hepatology**

Ontario

Canada

N6A 5A5

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

University of Western Ontario (Canada)

**Sponsor details**

c/o Dr Ghent Cam

Section of hepatology

Department of Medicine, London Health Sciences Centre  
339 Windermere Road, London  
Ontario  
Canada  
N6A 5A5  
cam.ghent@lhsc.on.ca

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/02grkyz14>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

University/education

**Funder Name**

University of Western Ontario (Canada) - the Liver Unit

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration