# A healthy future for young children in rural China: evaluating a community health worker program to improve maternal, newborn and child health

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	[X] Prospectively registered		
09/07/2021		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed  Condition category Other	Statistical analysis plan		
21/07/2021		Results		
Last Edited		Individual participant data		
20/11/2024		<ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul>		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Despite China's rapid progress in maternal and child survival in recent decades, child malnutrition persists, infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices remain poor, and preventable diseases and injuries are still dominant causes of child deaths in poorer rural areas. In particular, anemia, a common public health problem in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), affects half of the young children in northwestern China. Child anemia has irreversible consequences on health, development, educational attainment, and labor force participation. More generally, poor health and nutrition in early childhood also create large and long-term private and social costs and perpetuate poverty and inequality. These conditions are largely preventable through quality perinatal care, micronutrient supplementation, improved knowledge of nutrition and child feeding, increased awareness of danger signs and care seeking for illness, good sanitation and hygiene practices, and timely and complete vaccinations. However, coverage of many of these lifesaving interventions remains low for poor communities in rural China.

Home visiting programs delivered by community health workers (CHWs), especially integrated intervention packages, are a promising approach to improve maternal, neonatal, and child health in LMICs. Past studies have shown paraprofessional CHWs to be effective at promoting immunization uptake, increasing breastfeeding rates, and reducing child illness and death, even when the CHWs themselves lack formal professional training or tertiary education. However, existing studies have mainly been concentrated in in South Asia or sub-Saharan Africa and have focused on a narrow range of outcomes, such as breastfeeding promotion and immunization uptake. In rural China, there is virtually no evidence on CHW-delivered programs, as there has been only one CHW study to date. This study aimed to improve prenatal care by training local midwives, but the CHW program could not be fully carried out due to political, socio-economic, and logistical challenges. Thus, research on the feasibility and effectiveness of integrated CHW interventions is urgently needed, especially in rural China.

In short, integrated home visiting programs delivered by community health workers have the potential to improve child nutrition, health, and maternal mental health in low-resource settings,

but evidence on effective approaches is lacking, especially in rural China. To fill the evidence gap, the Healthy Future program aims to develop, deliver, and evaluate a stage-based home-visiting curriculum that targets infant nutrition, health, and maternal mental health in rural China. The curriculum focuses on six content domains: maternal nutrition, breastfeeding, complementary feeding, preventative health and daily care, maternal mental health, and uptake of government health services. Designed to be scalable, the intervention will be delivered by trained CHWs through home visits to pregnant mothers and caregivers of young children in rural China. The home visits will typically be conducted monthly, but will be more frequent during the first month after birth. In addition to the standard treatment that focuses on the primary caregiver alone, the study will also include an enhanced delivery mode that encourages engagement of both the primary and secondary caregivers in the household, who are usually mothers and grandmothers of young children.

The impact evaluation of the Healthy Future program is a collaboration among investigators from the School of Public Health at Sichuan University, the Stanford Center on China's Economy and Institutions and Department of Pediatrics at Stanford University, and the Gillings School of Global Public Health at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and the University of Nevada at Reno. This multi-disciplinary team consists of experts from diverse backgrounds, including economics, nutrition, pediatrics, and public health.

#### Who can participate?

Pregnant women beyond the second trimester and caregivers of healthy infants up to 6 months old at baseline. All participants must live in a rural household within one of the 80 sample townships in four rural counties of Sichuan Province, China. Families that meet the inclusion criteria will be enrolled at the beginning of the study through a door-to-door approach. For the first 6 months after the start of the intervention, the CHWs will continue to enroll pregnant women who become newly eligible to participate in the study.

#### What does the study involve?

Townships are randomly allocated to one of two groups. The control group do not receive the Healthy Future program. In the intervention group CHWs deliver the Healthy Future curriculum to pregnant women or caregivers of young children through monthly home visits. The frequency of home visits will increase during the first month after birth. All CHWs will be trained on basic early childhood health and nutrition, the Healthy Future curriculum, and standard operation procedures for intervention implementation. Within the intervention group, 20 townships will receive the standard treatment, while the other 20 townships will be assigned to an encouragement condition in which CHWs encourage participation of both primary and secondary caregivers during the home visits. To assess the impact of the intervention, the researchers will conduct surveys at the start of the study and after 6 and 12 months.

#### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

This study is expected to benefit those children whose caregivers participate in the community health worker intervention. By learning more about their children's nutritional needs and strategies for meeting these needs, the researchers expect that children's health, nutrition, and developmental outcomes will improve. It is hoped that the knowledge caregivers gain from participating in the intervention can also be applied to improving the feeding of other children and grandchildren living in the sample households.

Children will be tested for hemoglobin concentrations and anemia using finger-prick blood tests. There is a slight risk of infection. However, this test is the standard public health test and has been shown repeatedly to be safe. The risk is greatly reduced as trained nurses are used who clean the finger before testing. There is some discomfort associated with the test.

Where is the study run from?

- 1. West China School of Public Health, Sichuan University (China)
- 2. Stanford Center on China's Economy and Institutions, Stanford University (USA)
- 3. Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (USA)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2019 to October 2022

Who is funding the study? Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact?
Dr Yunwei Chen, ywchenn@stanford.edu

# Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Yunwei Chen

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5144-925X

#### Contact details

Stanford University, 616 Jane Stanford Way, Encina Hall Stanford United States of America CA 94305

ywchenn@stanford.edu

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Sean Sylvia

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9508-247X

#### **Contact details**

University of North Carolina 135 Dauer Drive Chapel Hill United States of America 27599 +1 (0)919 966 6328 sean sylvia@unc.edu

# Additional identifiers

#### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

#### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

#### Protocol serial number

44312

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Evaluating a community health worker program on child health and nutritional outcomes in rural China

#### Study objectives

- 1. Regular home visits by community health workers delivering a curriculum-based intervention will improve child health and nutrition outcomes and increase maternal well-being.
- 2. Households in which the community health worker engages with both the primary and secondary caregiver of the child see greater improvement in outcomes compared to households in which the community health worker engages only with the primary caregiver.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

# Ethics approval(s)

Approved 23/04/2020, Stanford University Institutional Review Board, Protocol (1705 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306, USA; +1 (0)650 724 7141; irbeducation@stanford.edu), ref: 44312

# Study design

Multi-arm cluster-randomized controlled trial

# Primary study design

Interventional

# Study type(s)

Quality of life

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Early childhood health/nutrition and maternal well-being among rural households in China

#### **Interventions**

Sampling and randomization

Townships located in four nationally designated poverty counties in Nanchong prefecture in Sichuan Province are included in the sampling frame. A canvas survey was conducted between November 2018 and March 2019 to yield a list of towns. From the list, urban townships and townships with less than 10,000 people were excluded. From the remaining list of townships, 80 townships were randomly selected, stratified by county.

Following a survey in November 2019, the 80 towns were randomly allocated to one of the two main study arms. We randomized treatment allocation within each county: 10 towns in each county will be allocated to the treatment group, and 10 towns will be allocated to the control group. Within the 40 treatment towns, we conduct an individual RCT to randomize towns into the standard treatment arm or encouragement treatment arm.

#### Enrollment

Families that meet the inclusion criteria will be enrolled at the beginning of the study through a door-to-door approach. These participants will complete the baseline survey and invited later on to participate in the two follow-up surveys. For the first six months after the intervention initiation, the CHWs will continue to enroll pregnant women who become newly eligible to participate in the study. During enrollment, CHWs will collect basic information from newly-enrolled households, such as child age/gestational age of the mother. Participants will complete the midline and the endline surveys alongside participants enrolled at baseline.

The impact evaluation is designed as a nested cluster randomised controlled trial with townships randomly allocated to one of two main study arms:

- 1. Treatment arm: Healthy Future program (40 townships). CHWs in these townships will deliver the Healthy Future curriculum to pregnant women or caregivers of young children through monthly home visits. The frequency of home visits will increase during the first month after birth. The Healthy Future program aims to develop, deliver, and evaluate a stage-based home-visiting curriculum that targets infant nutrition, health, and maternal mental health in rural China. The curriculum focuses on six content domains: maternal nutrition, breastfeeding, complementary feeding, preventative health and daily care, maternal mental health, and uptake of government health services. Designed to be scalable, the intervention will be delivered by trained CHWs through home visits to pregnant mothers and caregivers of young children in rural China. The home visits will typically be conducted monthly, but will be more frequent during the first month after birth. In addition to the standard treatment that focuses on the primary caregiver alone, the study will also include an enhanced delivery mode that encourages engagement of both the primary and secondary caregivers in the household, who are usually mothers and grandmothers of young children.
- 2. Control arm: No intervention (40 townships). The Healthy Future program will not be delivered to families in these villages. This arm serves as the no-intervention "control" arm in the study.

Within the intervention arm, an encouragement overlay design is used to further randomize families into different conditions:

- 1. Standard condition (20 townships). CHWs in these townships will deliver the Healthy Future program to the primary caregiver of each child, typically the child's mother.
- 2. Encouragement condition (20 townships). CHWs in these townships will invite both the primary and secondary caregiver of each child to participate in the Healthy Future program. The secondary caregiver is typically the child's grandmother. CHWs in these townships will also be trained to encourage participation of both primary and secondary caregivers during the home visits, and will receive scripted guides within the Healthy Future curriculum to assist them in engaging both mothers and grandmothers during the home visit.

The impact evaluation will conduct repeated cross-sectional surveys at baseline, 6-month midline, and 12-month endline. After the initial recruitment at baseline, the researchers will continue enrolling pregnant women until the 6-month midline.

(added 08/06/2022)

An additional 39 control townships were added to meet the power requirements of the trial, leading to a final total of 119 townships (40 treatment and 79 control).

#### **Intervention Type**

Behavioural

#### Primary outcome(s)

- 1. Hemoglobin concentration of children aged 6 weeks 18 months, measured using HemoCue 201+ test at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months (proportion of children aged <6 months who received only breastmilk in the previous day), measured using WHO Infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Dietary diversity (number of food groups consumed by children aged 6-18 months in the previous day), measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

Current secondary outcome measures as of 20/12/2022:

Secondary Health Outcomes:

A. Secondary child health outcomes

- 1. Child growth indicators by WHO standards (i.e., length-for-age z-scores, weight-for-age zscores) measured by child's weight, age and height at baseline, 6 months and 12 months;
- 2. Anemia status (hemoglobin concentration <110 g/l) measured using HemoCue 201+ test at baseline, 6 months and 12 months;
- 3. Proportion of children aged <18 months who had any illness in the past 14 days, measured by caregiver report of illness symptoms at baseline, 6 months and 12 months;
- 4. Proportion of children aged <18 months who had any unintended injuries in the past 14 days, measured by caregiver report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months;
- B. Secondary maternal well-being outcomes
- 1. Perinatal depression among pregnant women and mothers, measured using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months;
- 2. Mental health among caregivers, measured using the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months;

Secondary Behavioral Outcomes:

A. Infant and young children feeding practices

- 1. Early initiation of breastfeeding: proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were put to the breast within 1 hour of birth, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline and 6 months
- 2. Proportion of newborns who were given colostrum, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline and 6 months
- 3. Proportion of children aged 6-12 months who were fed breastmilk in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 4. Children ever breastfed: proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were ever breastfed, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 5. Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months: proportion of children < 6 months who received breastmilk as the predominant source of nourishment in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

- 6. Continued breastfeeding at 1 year: proportion of children aged 12 15 months who received breast milk in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 7. Duration of breastfeeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months stopped receiving breastmilk, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 8. Initiation of formula feeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months started to receive formula, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 9. Proportion of children aged 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 10. Initiation of complementary feeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months started to receive solid, semi-solid or soft foods, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 11. Minimum dietary diversity: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received foods from at least 4 food groups in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 12. Minimum meal frequency: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods the minimum number of times or more in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 13. Minimum acceptable diet: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 14. Consumption of iron-rich or iron-fortified foods: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received an iron-rich or iron-fortified food or supplement that contains iron in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- B. Caregiver hygiene practices
- 1. Hygiene practices among caregivers, measured using caregiver self-reported frequency of hand washing at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- C. Health care utilization:
- 1. Use of formal care for last illness among children aged 0 18 months, measured by caregiver self-report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Number of prenatal visits among mothers during most recent pregnancy, measured by mother self-report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- D. Maternal feeding practices
- 1. Dietary diversity (number of food groups) consumed by pregnant women and breastfeeding mothers, measured by mother self-report using WHO dietary diversity indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Proportion of pregnant women and mothers of children aged <18 months who used folic acid and iron supplements during current/most recent pregnancy, measured by mother self-report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

Secondary Intermediate Outcomes:

- A. Knowledge, attitude, and efficacy
- 1. Knowledge about breastfeeding and complementary feeding among caregivers, measured using breastfeeding knowledge questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Knowledge about disease prevention and hygiene among caregivers, measured using health and hygiene knowledge questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Attitude about breastfeeding among caregivers, measured using Iowa Infant Feeding Attitude

Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

- 4. Efficacy in breastfeeding among breastfeeding mothers, measured using Breastfeeding Self Efficacy Scale (Short Form) at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 5. Efficacy in preparation to breastfeed among pregnant women, measured using Efficacy in Preparation to Breastfeed Scale at baseline and 6 months
- B. Social support
- 1. Social support among caregivers, measured using the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support and Breastfeeding Family Support Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Joint household decision-making among caregivers, measured using household decision making scale questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

Previous secondary outcome measures as of 19/12/2022:

#### Child health:

- 1. Child growth indicators by WHO standards (i.e., length-for-age z-scores, weight-for-age z-scores) measured by child's weight, age and height at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Anemia status (hemoglobin concentration <70 g/l) measured using HemoCue 201+ test at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Proportion of children aged <18 months who had any illness in the past 14 days, measured by caregiver report of illness symptoms at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 4. Proportion of children aged <18 months who had any unintended injuries in the past 14 days, measured by caregiver report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Feeding practices:

- 1. Early initiation of breastfeeding: proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were put to the breast within 1 hour of birth, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline and 6 months
- 2. Proportion of newborns who were given colostrum, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline and 6 months
- 3. Proportion of children aged 6-12 months who were fed breastmilk in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 4. Children ever breastfed: proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were ever breastfed, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 5. Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months: proportion of children < 6 months who received breastmilk as the predominant source of nourishment in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 6. Continued breastfeeding at 1 year: proportion of children aged 12 15 months who received breast milk in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 7. Duration of breastfeeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months stopped receiving breastmilk, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 8. Initiation of formula feeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months started to receive formula, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 9. Proportion of children aged 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

- 10. Initiation of complementary feeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months started to receive solid, semi-solid or soft foods, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 11. Minimum dietary diversity: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received foods from at least 4 food groups in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 12. Minimum meal frequency: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods the minimum number of times or more in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 13. Minimum acceptable diet: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 14. Consumption of iron-rich or iron-fortified foods: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received an iron-rich or iron-fortified food or supplement that contains iron in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Attitude, efficacy, and knowledge:

- 1. Breastfeeding attitude among caregivers, measured using Iowa Infant Feeding Attitude Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Efficacy in breastfeeding among breastfeeding mothers, measured using Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy Scale (Short Form) at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Efficacy in preparation to breastfeed among pregnant women, measured using Efficacy in Preparation to Breastfeed Scale at baseline and 6 months
- 4. Knowledge about breastfeeding and complementary feeding among caregivers, measured using breastfeeding knowledge questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 5. Knowledge about disease prevention and hygiene among caregivers, measured using health and hygiene knowledge questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Health care utilization:

- 1. Proportion of children aged 0 18 months that have completed vaccines according to government schedule, measured from vaccination records at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Number of prenatal visits among mothers during most recent pregnancy, measured by mother self-report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Proportion of pregnant women and mothers of children aged <18 months who used folic acid and iron supplements during current/most recent pregnancy, measured by mother self-report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

### Maternal well-being:

- 1. Social support among caregivers, measured using the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support and Breastfeeding Family Support Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Mental health among caregivers, measured using the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Perinatal depression among pregnant women and mothers, measured using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 4. Household decision-making among caregivers, measured using household bargaining questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Previous secondary outcome measures:

#### Child health:

- 1. Body mass index (BMI) z-scores measured by child's weight, age and height at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Anemia status (hemoglobin concentration <70 g/l) measured using HemoCue 201+ test at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Proportion of children aged <18 months who had any illness in the past 14 days, measured by caregiver report of illness symptoms at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 4. Proportion of children aged <18 months who had any unintended injuries in the past 14 days, measured by caregiver report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Feeding practices:

- 1. Early initiation of breastfeeding: proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were put to the breast within 1 hour of birth, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline and 6 months
- 2. Proportion of newborns who were given colostrum, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline and 6 months
- 3. Proportion of children aged 6 12 months who were fed breastmilk in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 4. Children ever breastfed: proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were ever breastfed, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 5. Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months: proportion of children < 6 months who received breastmilk as the predominant source of nourishment in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 6. Continued breastfeeding at 1 year: proportion of children aged 12 15 months who received breast milk in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 7. Duration of breastfeeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months stopped receiving breastmilk, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 8. Initiation of formula feeding: the age in months when children aged 0-18 months started to receive formula, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 9. Proportion of children aged 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 10. Initiation of complementary feeding: the age in months when children aged 0 18 months started to receive solid, semi-solid or soft foods, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 11. Minimum dietary diversity: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received foods from at least 4 food groups in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 12. Minimum meal frequency: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods the minimum number of times or more in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 13. Minimum acceptable diet: proportion of children aged 6 18 months who had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 14. Consumption of iron-rich or iron-fortified foods: proportion of children aged 6 18 months

who received an iron-rich or iron-fortified food or supplement that contains iron in the previous day, measured using WHO infant and young child feeding indicators at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Attitude, efficacy, and knowledge:

- 1. Breastfeeding attitude among caregivers, measured using Iowa Infant Feeding Attitude Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Efficacy in breastfeeding among breastfeeding mothers, measured using Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy Scale (Short Form) at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Efficacy in preparation to breastfeed among pregnant women, measured using Efficacy in Preparation to Breastfeed Scale at baseline and 6 months
- 4. Knowledge about breastfeeding and complementary feeding among caregivers, measured using breastfeeding knowledge questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 5. Knowledge about disease prevention and hygiene among caregivers, measured using health and hygiene knowledge questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Health care utilization:

- 1. Proportion of children aged 0 18 months that have completed vaccines according to government schedule, measured from vaccination records at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Number of prenatal visits among mothers during most recent pregnancy, measured by mother self-report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Proportion of pregnant women and mothers of children aged <18 months who used folic acid and iron supplements during current/most recent pregnancy, measured by mother self-report at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Maternal well-being:

- 1. Social support among caregivers, measured using the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support and Breastfeeding Family Support Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 2. Mental health among caregivers, measured using the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 3. Perinatal depression among pregnant women and mothers, measured using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12 months
- 4. Household decision-making among caregivers, measured using household bargaining questions developed by the research team at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

#### Completion date

30/10/2022

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Community-level inclusion criteria:

- 1. Located within four selected nationally designated poverty counties
- 2. Support from the township health centers and county maternal and child hospitals
- 3. At least one CHW candidate who is willing to participate in the training for the Healthy Future program
- 4. At least 12 households with pregnant women or children under 12 months of age

#### Individual-level inclusion criteria:

- 1. Pregnant women or caregivers of children 0-6 months of age
- 2. Wiling to participate in the Healthy Future program
- 3. Willing to participate in the impact evaluation, including the household surveys, anthropometric measures, and hemoglobin tests
- 4. Able and willing to give informed consent

#### Participant type(s)

Mixed

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Mixed

#### Sex

All

#### Total final enrolment

1689

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Babies over 6 months at the time of enrollment
- 2. Babies and pregnant women who do not live in the township most of the time (e.g., live in the county seat; have a home in the township but typically stay somewhere else for work)
- 3. Infants with serious congenital diseases, infectious diseases, genetic metabolic diseases or other disabilities

#### Date of first enrolment

25/07/2021

#### Date of final enrolment

27/05/2022

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

China

United States of America

# Study participating centre Sichuan University West China School of Public Health

Department of Health and Human Behavior Qingyang Qu, Shuncheng St, 25210B1-B2

Chengdu China 610017

# Study participating centre Stanford Center on China's Economy and Institutions

616 Jane Stanford Way Stanford United States of America 94305

#### Study participating centre Stanford Medical School

Department of Pediatrics 291 Campus Drive Stanford United States of America 94305

# Study participating centre University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill

Gillings School of Global Public Health 135 Dauer Drive Chapel Hill United States of America 27599

# Study participating centre University of Nevada

Reno School of Public Health 1664 N. Virginia Street Reno United States of America 89557

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Stanford University

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/00f54p054

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Other

#### Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded

# **Results and Publications**

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

De-identified participant data can be made available upon reasonable request from Dr Sean Sylvia (sysylvia@email.unc.edu) or Dr Yunwei Chen (ywchenn@stanford.edu) after June 2024. Participant data include household survey data and program administrative data.

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient- facing?
Protocol article		20/01 /2023	20/11 /2024	Yes	No
Other publications	Maternal empowerment, feeding knowledge, and infant nutrition	07/06 /2024	07/06 /2024	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11 /2025	11/11 /2025	No	Yes
Protocol file			21/07 /2021	No	No