

Intensive monitoring of post-surgery pain in major ambulatory surgery

Submission date 13/11/2025	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 01/12/2025	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 01/12/2025	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Moderate to severe acute postoperative pain (APP) during part of the postoperative period is a barrier that hinders the central objective of perioperative medicine, which is to achieve an optimal postoperative recovery process. Despite advances in perioperative medicine, a large proportion of post-operative patients continue to suffer from moderate to severe APS during many of the days of their convalescence. This poor control of APS, in addition to causing suffering and exposing the patient to an increased risk of complications, could impair the quality of post-surgical recovery, according to some authors. It is of utmost interest in this field to corroborate whether the implementation of measures to better control the degree of acute pain are related to a better quality of post-surgical recovery. Currently, the growing trend to take into account the perspective of patients in their care has prompted the development of various patient-centred measurement tools that, among other aspects, assess the quality of post-surgical recovery. Several scales have been designed. The most widely used are the Quality of postoperative Recovery (QoR-40, QoR-9 and QoR-15 scales. The latter, with a range of 0 to 150, was developed to simplify assessment, especially in telephone follow-ups, and has a reliability similar to its predecessor, the QoR-40.

The study objectives are to demonstrate that the use of an intensive follow-up protocol improves the quality of postoperative recovery (QoR-15) two days after surgery compared to the use of the standard follow-up protocol.

Who can participate?

Adult patients aged 18 years and older scheduled for the surgeries: laparoscopic or open inguinal hernia repairs, laparoscopic cholecystectomies, haemorrhoid surgeries, knee arthroscopies, and shoulder arthroscopies.

What does the study involve?

Participants will be randomly allocated to two groups:

Intervention group (Intensive monitoring):

Participants receive the usual follow-up call the morning after surgery, plus daily phone calls to monitor pain until it is resolved.

Control group (Standard monitoring):

Participants receive only the routine follow-up call the morning after surgery.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The benefits could be better quality of recovery and better pain management, and there are no risks for the participants.

Where is the study run from?

Maresme Health Consortium, Spain.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

February 2025 to August 2025.

Who is funding the study?

Maresme Health Consortium, Spain.

Who is the main contact?

Mr Sergio Vitale, svitale@cscdm.cat

Contact information

Type(s)

Principal investigator

Contact name

Mr Sergio Vitale

Contact details

Carretera de Cirera, 230.

Mataró

Spain

08304

+34937417700

svitale@cscdm.cat

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mrs Isabel Fabregas

Contact details

Carretera de Cirera, 230.

Mataró

Spain

08304

+34937417700

mfabregas@cscdm.cat

Type(s)

Public

Contact name

Mrs Elisabet Palomera

Contact details

Carretera de Cirera, 230

Mataró

Spain

08304

+34937417700

epalomera@csgm.cat

Additional identifiers**Study information****Scientific Title**

Intensive monitoring of post-surgery pain in major ambulatory surgery: viability study and results

Study objectives

Demonstrate that the use of the intensive follow-up protocol improves the quality of postoperative recovery (QoR-15) two days after surgery compared to the use of the standard follow-up protocol.

Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

Ethics approval(s)

approved 24/02/2025, Comitè d'Ètica d'Investigació Clínica amb Medicaments de l'Hospital de Mataró, Consorci Sanitari del Maresme. (Carretera de Cirera, 230., Mataró, 08304, Spain; +34937417730; assajosclinics@csgm.cat), ref: CEIm 04/25

Primary study design

Interventional

Allocation

Randomized controlled trial

Masking

Blinded (masking used)

Control

Historical

Assignment

Parallel

Purpose

Health services research, Supportive care

Study type(s)

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Hernioplasty
Cholecystectomy, laparoscopic
Haemorrhoidal surgery
knee arthroscopy
Shoulder arthroscopy

Interventions

Participants were randomised using the REDCap platform to the following groups:
Intervention group: Intensive monitoring group. Routine follow-up (telephone call on the morning after surgery) and Intensive follow-up of acute post-surgery pain (telephone call every day since pain resolution).
Control group: Standard monitoring group. Routine follow-up (telephone call on the morning after surgery).

The duration of follow-up was 14 days, with Routine follow-up (telephone call on the morning after surgery) and Intensive follow-up of acute post-surgery pain (telephone call every day since pain resolution) for the Intervention group and Routine follow-up (telephone call on the morning after surgery) for the control group. Telephone follow-up was performed by some doctors and one nurse. The follow-up for the outcomes registry was at 48 hours post-surgical, on the 7th and 14th days.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

1. Quality of Recovery measured using the Quality of Postoperative Recovery (QoR-15) at baseline (pre-surgery) and 48 hours, 7 days and 14 days post-surgery
2. Pain Control measured using a Visual Analogic Scale (VAS) of pain at baseline (pre-surgery) and 48 hours, 7 days and 14 days post-surgery
3. Satisfaction of pain control measured using a 4-level Likert scale, according to the patient's criteria: dissatisfied, slightly dissatisfied, fairly satisfied, completely satisfied, at baseline (pre-surgery) and 48 hours, 7 days and 14 days post-surgery
4. Adherence to prescribed analgesic treatment measured using a 4-level Likert scale according to the patient's criteria: no adherence, low adherence, moderate adherence, and total adherence, at baseline (pre-surgery) and 48 hours, 7 days and 14 days post-surgery

Key secondary outcome(s)

Completion date

15/08/2025

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients ≥ 18 years old
2. ASA I – III
3. Scheduled for the surgeries: Laparoscopic or open inguinal hernia repairs, Laparoscopic cholecystectomies, Haemorrhoid surgeries, Knee arthroscopies, Shoulder arthroscopies
4. Willingness to be contacted by telephone during the duration of the study
5. Informed written consent to participate in this study

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Mixed

Lower age limit

18 years

Upper age limit

100 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

280

Key exclusion criteria

1. Lack of proficiency in Spanish
2. Undergoing study or follow-up for moderate to severe cognitive impairment
3. Pregnant or breastfeeding patients
4. Patients on major opioid medication
5. Patients with a history of dependence/abuse of alcohol or illicit drugs at present
6. Patients under active follow-up by the chronic pain unit

Date of first enrolment

25/02/2025

Date of final enrolment

05/08/2025

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

Spain

Study participating centre

Universitary Mataró Hospital. Consorci Sanitari del Maresme.

Mataró

Spain

Sponsor information

Organisation

Maresme Health Consortium

ROR

<https://ror.org/015jrhc82>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Funder Name

Consorti Sanitari del Maresme

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available