Feedback of OutCome to Users and Staff

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
07/01/2003		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed Condition category	Statistical analysis plan		
07/01/2003		[X] Results		
Last Edited		Individual participant data		
18/12/2017	Mental and Behavioural Disorders			

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Mike Slade

Contact details

MRC Clinicial Scientist Fellow
Health Services Research Department
Institute of Psychiatry
Denmark Hill
London
United Kingdom
SE5 8AF
+44 (0)20 7848 0795
m.slade@iop.kcl.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

 ${\bf Clinical Trials. gov\ number}$

Secondary identifying numbers G108/390

Study information

Scientific Title

Feedback of OutCome to Users and Staff

Acronym

FOCUS

Study objectives

The FOCUS Study will test three hypotheses:

- 1. Baseline level of patient-rated unmet need will predict follow-up level of quality of life
- 2. The routine collection and feedback of outcome information for seven months will lead to 1.0 fewer patient-rated unmet needs, as measured using Camberwell Assessment of Need Short Appraisal Schedule Patient version (CANSAS-P)
- 3. The routine collection and feedback of outcome information for seven months will lead to an increase of 0.25 points in quality of life, as measured using the Manchester Short Assessment (MANSA)

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Screening

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Mental heath problems

Interventions

The measures that will be used for routine collection and feedback are:

- 1. The Manchester Short Assessment of Quality of Life (MANSA) (Priebe et al, 1999, see Abstract on PMID: 10443245)
- 2. The Camberwell Assessment of Need Short Appraisal Schedule (CANSAS) (Slade et al, 1999, The Camberwell Assessment of Need, London: Gaskell)

- 3. The Helping Alliance Scale (HAS) (Priebe & Gruyters, 1993, see Abstract on PMID: 8245923)
- 4. The Threshold Assessment Grid (TAG) (Slade et al, 2000, see Abstract on PMID: 10784370)

The staff-completed measures will be TAG, HAS-S and CANSAS-S. According to guidance notes, each completion should take 6 - 11 minutes. The patient-completed measures will be MANSA, HAS-P and CANSAS-P, and completion should take 8 - 13 minutes. The intention is that comparison of the HAS assessments will focus staff and patient on the process of care, comparison of CANSAS assessments will increase collaboration and negotiation, and feedback of the MANSA and TAG assessments will lead to an increased focus on desirable outcomes.

The intervention comprises asking staff and patients each to complete an outcome assessment form every month for six months, with identical feedback provided to both people every three months.

Staff and patients will be asked to complete monthly assessments and will receive three monthly feedback. The intervention will last six months, and follow-up assessments will be made one month later.

Evaluation:

Follow-up is at seven months. The routinely collected data will also be used to investigate the effectiveness of the intervention, supplemented by extra data collected at baseline and followup. All measures completed as part of the intervention will be assessed at baseline and followup, including the objective questions from MANSA and the qualitative questions from HAS. In addition, the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) (Overall & Gorham: Psychopharmacol Bull 1988, 24:97-99) will be used to assess symptomatology and the Health of the Nation Outcome Scale (HoNOS) (Wing et al, 1998, see Abstract on PMID: 9534825) will be used to assess social disability in more detail than the ROA measures. To identify changes in the content of care, an assessment of the care actually received will be needed. This will be assessed using the Client Service Receipt Inventory (CSRI) (Beecham & Knapp, 1992 [Costing psychiatric interventions. In Measuring mental health needs edited by Thornicroft G, Brewin C, Wing J. London: Gaskell, 1992: 163-183]), which assesses services received over the last 6 months. Since there is emerging evidence of Intelligence Quotient (IQ) as a predictor of response to different service models (Hassiotis et al, 2001, see Abstract on PMID: 11157431), intellectual functioning will be measured at baseline using the National Adult Reading Test (NART) Second Edition (Nelson, 1982 [In National Adult Reading Test {NART}: Test Manual. Windsor: NFER-Nelson]).

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

- 1. Patient-rated unmet need, as measured using CANSAS-P
- 2. Quality of life, as measured using MANSA

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Mental health problem severity
- 2. Symptoms
- 3. Social disability

Overall study start date

01/05/2000

Completion date

30/06/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients will be included who meet all three of the following criteria:

- 1. Patient is on the caseload of an adult mental team in Croydon on 1 May 2001
- 2. Patient has been on the caseload for at least three months
- 3. Patient is aged between 18 and 65 inclusive

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Upper age limit

65 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

160

Key exclusion criteria

Does not comply with above inclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

01/05/2000

Date of final enrolment

30/06/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre MRC Clinicial Scientist Fellow London United Kingdom SE5 8AF

Sponsor information

Organisation

Medical Research Council (MRC) (UK)

Sponsor details

20 Park Crescent London United Kingdom W1B 1AL +44 (0)20 7636 5422 clinical.trial@headoffice.mrc.ac.uk

Sponsor type

Research council

Website

http://www.mrc.ac.uk

Funder(s)

Funder type

Research council

Funder Name

Medical Research Council (UK)

Alternative Name(s)

Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), UK Medical Research Council, MRC

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination planNot provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Protocol article</u>	protocol	01/01/2002		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/10/2006		Yes	No