Medical versus surgical termination of pregnancy at 13 - 20 weeks

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
23/02/2010		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
06/04/2010		[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
01/08/2012	Pregnancy and Childbirth			

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Stephen Robson

Contact details

Institute of Cellular Medicine 3rd floor, William Leech Building Medical School **Newcastle University** Newcastle upon Tyne United Kingdom NE2 4LP s.c.robson@ncl.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

SCR2000/1

Study information

Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial comparing medical versus surgical termination of pregnancy at 13 - 20 weeks

Study objectives

Compared to surgical termination of pregnancy (STOP), medical termination of pregnancy (MTOP) would be associated with greater psychological distress at 2 weeks after the procedure, as measured by the Impact of Events Scale (IES) at 13 - 20 weeks gestation.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Joint Ethics Committee of the Newcastle and North Tyneside Health Authority approved on the 26th April 2000 (ref: 2000/63)

Study design

Single centre randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Termination of unwanted pregnancy

Interventions

Women randomised to STOP:

All nulliparous women and multiparous women greater than 17 weeks' gestation were primed with Gemeprost 1 mg vaginally 3 and 6 hours prior to the anticipated time of STOP. Multiparous women between 13+0 and 16+6 weeks were primed with Gemeprost 1 mg vaginally 3 hours prior to the anticipated time of STOP. All STOPs were performed under general anaesthesia by one experienced surgeon. Cases between 13+0 and 14+6 vacuum aspiration was performed Cases greater than or equal to 15+0 weeks dilatation and evacuation was performed.

Women randomised to MTOP:

Women were given mifepristone 200 mg orally. 36 - 48 hours later misoprostol 800 µg was administered vaginally followed by 400 µg vaginally or orally (depending on amount of vaginal bleeding) every 3 hours up to a maximum of 4 doses. If abortion had not occurred by midnight a further dose of mifepristone 200 mg orally was administered followed by gemeprost 1 mg vaginally 3 hourly from 0800 hours up to a maximum of 5 doses. If abortion had still not occurred by 0800 hours the following morning the MTOP was deemed to have failed and STOP arranged.

All women received periabortion antibiotic prophylaxis with doxycycline 100 mg orally twice daily, commencing on the day prior to abortion. Women having STOP also received metronidazole 1 g rectally at the time of abortion. All women were invited back for follow up at two weeks post-procedure.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Phase IV

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Mifepristone, misoprotol

Primary outcome measure

Impact of Event Scale (IES) at two weeks after the procedure. This 15 item scale has 7 intrusion and 8 avoidance items.

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Clinical effectiveness of procedure, measured at two weeks post-procedure
- 2. Complications, measured at two weeks post-procedure
- 3. Procedure specific symptoms, measured at two weeks post-procedure
- 4. Acceptability, measured at two weeks post-procedure
- 5. General health Questionnaire-12 item (GHQ-12), measured at baseline and two weeks post-procedure
- 6. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), measured at baseline and two weeks postprocedure
- 7. Satisfaction with care received before during and after procedure (excellent/very good/good/fair/poor), measured at two weeks post-procedure

Overall study start date

01/05/2000

Completion date

31/01/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Women accepted for termination of pregnancy (TOP) under clause C of the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act (1990) amendment of the Abortion Act (1967)
- 2. Pregnancies between 13+0 and 19+6 weeks' gestation at the time of abortion

3. Aged over 16 years; women under 16 years of age were eligible for inclusion if deemed Fraser competent by the clinical practitioner and where a parent or guardian was present and also willing to give written consent

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

130

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Foetal congenital abnormality
- 2. Medical disease precluding MTOP
- 3. Unable to speak English (less than 5% of women presenting for TOP)

Date of first enrolment

01/05/2000

Date of final enrolment

31/01/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Institute of Cellular Medicine

Newcastle upon Tyne United Kingdom NE2 4LP

Sponsor information

Organisation

Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

The Freeman Hospital
High Heaton
Newcastle upon Tyne
England
United Kingdom
NE7 7DN
Jennifer.Walker@nuth.nhs.uk

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

Website

http://www.newcastle-hospitals.org.uk/

ROR

https://ror.org/05p40t847

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

Newcastle University (UK)

Alternative Name(s)

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summaryNot provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/11/2010		Yes	No