

# Sub-acromial spacer for tears affecting rotator cuff tendons (START:REACTS)

<b>Submission date</b> 05/03/2018	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 06/04/2018	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 10/05/2024	<b>Condition category</b> Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Current plain English summary as of 06/04/2020:

### Background and study aims

Within the shoulder there are a group of small muscles and tendons called the rotator cuff. Tears of the rotator cuff tendons are very common. They can be very painful and it can be difficult to move the shoulder normally. Many tears of the tendons can be repaired but some tears cannot. When a tear cannot be repaired, one common treatment is a keyhole operation to clear space around the tendons and remove the painful tissue. This is called an arthroscopic debridement. It is not known whether this operation helps in every case, but it is low risk and is thought to benefit most people with rotator cuff tears. A new device has recently been introduced in the UK with the aim of improving outcomes from surgery for this condition. It is a balloon made out of a biodegradable synthetic material (free of animal products), called the InSpace balloon. It is inserted at the end of an arthroscopic debridement operation and is filled with water. It is thought to act as a cushion inside the joint. It dissolves after about three months, by which time the patient has had a chance to strengthen the other muscles to give a longer lasting effect. It is not yet known whether it is any better or worse than the standard arthroscopic debridement operation. The aim of this study is to find out whether it is better to have an arthroscopic debridement operation, or the same operation with the addition of the InSpace balloon, in patients with a tear of the rotator cuff muscles that cannot be repaired. The National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has studied the balloon and decided that it should only be used in research to determine if it works. The study will look at which operation is best at reducing pain and improving movement, strength, and quality of life, and whether the balloon is worth the additional cost.

### Who can participate?

Patients with rotator cuff tears that cannot be repaired

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to be treated with arthroscopic debridement either with or without the InSpace balloon. Arthroscopic debridement is a keyhole operation involving two or three small incisions (cuts) mostly 1cm, the biggest is about 1.5cm, around the shoulder. The surgeon looks around the main shoulder joint, they take away loose or inflamed tissue, and

shave some of the bone to create space to allow more movement and reduce pain. The surgeon may also choose to cut the end of the biceps tendon, which can help with pain. It is a low risk operation and most people are able to go home the same day. The recovery from this procedure takes between 6 weeks and 3 months. Arthroscopic debridement with the InSpace balloon is the same operation as an arthroscopic debridement, but at the end of the procedure the InSpace balloon is inserted. The balloon is made of a biodegradable material and takes only a few minutes to insert. As the incisions and postoperative physiotherapy are the same, neither the participant nor the person assessing the results know which treatment has been given. This ensures a fair and unbiased comparison. Participants are contacted at 3, 6 and 12 months to collect outcome measures as part of the follow-up questionnaires. Questionnaires are also used to assess disability, quality of life, and costs, including lost earnings. A sub-group of 56 participants in the main study will also have shoulder scans taken 8 weeks and 6 months after surgery to assess the way the balloon is thought to work. Two years after the operation participants complete a questionnaire about their shoulder and general health.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no specific benefits of taking part. Both treatments are designed to help the shoulder recover. By taking part in the study participants are helping to decide about the best treatment for people in the future. There are general risks with any shoulder operation, such as infection, stiffness, frozen shoulder (a very stiff shoulder, which recovers), worsened pain, blood clots, wound healing problems or anaesthetic problems (including death). These risks are all small and are from the operation that everyone in this study has. The additional risk from taking part in the study is also small. The shoulder balloon can be put in the wrong place, or move after the operation and occasionally may have to be surgically removed, or can cause inflammation in the shoulder. These problems are uncommon and have occurred in less than 5% (1 in 20) of people who have had the balloon so far.

Where is the study run from?

The study is run from the Warwick Clinical Trials Unit – University of Warwick (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

February 2018 to December 2021

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Dr Elke Gemperle Mannion

start@warwick.ac.uk

---

Previous plain English summary:

Background and study aims

Within the shoulder there are a group of small muscles and tendons called the rotator cuff. Tears of the rotator cuff tendons are very common. They can be very painful and it can be difficult to move the shoulder normally. Many tears of the tendons can be repaired but some tears cannot. When a tear cannot be repaired, one common treatment is a keyhole operation to clear space around the tendons and remove the painful tissue. This is called an arthroscopic debridement. It is not known whether this operation helps in every case, but it is low risk and is thought to benefit most people with rotator cuff tears. A new device has recently been

introduced in the UK with the aim of improving outcomes from surgery for this condition. It is a balloon made out of a biodegradable synthetic material (free of animal products), called the InSpace balloon. It is inserted at the end of an arthroscopic debridement operation and is filled with water. It is thought to act as a cushion inside the joint. It dissolves after about three months, by which time the patient has had a chance to strengthen the other muscles to give a longer lasting effect. It is not yet known whether it is any better or worse than the standard arthroscopic debridement operation. The aim of this study is to find out whether it is better to have an arthroscopic debridement operation, or the same operation with the addition of the InSpace balloon, in patients with a tear of the rotator cuff muscles that cannot be repaired. The National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has studied the balloon and decided that it should only be used in research to determine if it works. The study will look at which operation is best at reducing pain and improving movement, strength, and quality of life, and whether the balloon is worth the additional cost.

#### Who can participate?

Patients with rotator cuff tears that cannot be repaired

#### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to be treated with arthroscopic debridement either with or without the InSpace balloon. Arthroscopic debridement is a keyhole operation involving two or three small incisions (cuts) mostly 1cm, the biggest is about 1.5cm, around the shoulder. The surgeon looks around the main shoulder joint, they take away loose or inflamed tissue, and shave some of the bone to create space to allow more movement and reduce pain. The surgeon may also choose to cut the end of the biceps tendon, which can help with pain. It is a low risk operation and most people are able to go home the same day. The recovery from this procedure takes between 6 weeks and 3 months. Arthroscopic debridement with the InSpace balloon is the same operation as an arthroscopic debridement, but at the end of the procedure the InSpace balloon is inserted. The balloon is made of a biodegradable material and takes only a few minutes to insert. As the incisions and postoperative physiotherapy are the same, neither the patient nor the person assessing the results know which treatment has been given. This ensures a fair and unbiased comparison. Patients are seen at 3, 6 and 12 months to measure their strength, range of motion and pain. Questionnaires are also used to assess disability, quality of life, and costs, including lost earnings. A group of 56 patients also have shoulder scans taken 6 weeks and 6 months after surgery to assess the way the balloon is thought to work. Two years after the operation participants complete a questionnaire about their shoulder and general health.

#### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no specific benefits of taking part. Both treatments are designed to help the shoulder recover. By taking part in the study participants are helping to decide about the best treatment for people in the future. There are general risks with any shoulder operation, such as infection, stiffness, frozen shoulder (a very stiff shoulder, which recovers), worsened pain, blood clots, wound healing problems or anaesthetic problems (including death). These risks are all small and are from the operation that everyone in this study has. The additional risk from taking part in the study is also small. The shoulder balloon can be put in the wrong place, or move after the operation and occasionally may have to be surgically removed, or can cause inflammation in the shoulder. These problems are uncommon and have occurred in less than 5% (1 in 20) of people who have had the balloon so far.

#### Where is the study run from?

1. University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
2. Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

3. North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
4. Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
5. The Robert Jones and Agnes Hunt NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
6. Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)
7. London North West Healthcare NHS Trust (UK)
8. The Royal Orthopaedic Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
9. Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
10. North Bristol NHS Trust (UK)
11. Stockport NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
12. Morriston Hospital (UK)
13. Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
14. Queen Elizabeth University Hospital (UK)
15. Cardiff and the Vale Orthopaedic Centre (CAVOC) (UK)
16. Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
17. Shrewsbury and Telford NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
18. Royal Gwent Hospital (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
February 2018 to December 2021

Who is funding the study?  
National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Elke Gemperle Mannion  
start@warwick.ac.uk

### **Study website**

<https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/med/research/ctu/trials/startreacts>

## **Contact information**

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Elke Gemperle Mannion

**Contact details**  
Warwick Clinical Trials Unit  
University of Warwick  
CSRL  
UHCW  
Coventry  
United Kingdom  
CV2 2DX  
-  
start@warwick.ac.uk

## **Additional identifiers**

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

37199

## **Study information**

### **Scientific Title**

Sub-acromial spacer for Tears Affecting Rotator cuff Tendons (START:REACTS): a randomised, efficient, adaptive clinical trial in surgery

### **Acronym**

START:REACTS

### **Study objectives**

The aim of this study is to compare arthroscopic debridement (the standard treatment) to arthroscopic debridement with the InSpace balloon on shoulder function, pain and quality of life following shoulder surgery for rotator cuff tears that cannot be repaired.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

West Midlands – Coventry and Warwickshire Research Ethics Committee, 13/02/2018, ref: 18 /WM/0025

### **Study design**

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Treatment, Device, Imaging, Complex Intervention, Management of Care, Surgery, Rehabilitation

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Study setting(s)**

Hospital

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Injury of muscle(s) and tendon(s) of the rotator cuff of shoulder

**Interventions**

Current interventions as of 06/04/2020:

Participants will be randomly allocated to arthroscopic debridement (the standard treatment) or arthroscopic debridement with the InSpace balloon. As the incisions and postoperative physiotherapy are the same, neither the participant nor the person assessing the results will know which treatment has been given. This will ensure a fair and unbiased comparison. Participants will be contacted at 3, 6, and 12 months for the follow-up questionnaires are used to assess disability, quality of life, and costs, including lost earnings. A group of 56 participants will also have shoulder scans taken 8 weeks and 6 months after surgery, to assess the way the balloon is thought to work. This study will determine whether the use of this device improves shoulder function, pain and quality of life following shoulder surgery for rotator cuff tears that cannot be repaired.

---

Previous interventions:

Patients will be randomly allocated to arthroscopic debridement (the standard treatment) or arthroscopic debridement with the InSpace balloon. As the incisions and postoperative physiotherapy are the same, neither the patient nor the person assessing the results will know which treatment has been given. This will ensure a fair and unbiased comparison. Patients will be seen at 3, 6, and 12 months to measure strength, range of motion and pain. Questionnaires are used to assess disability, quality of life, and costs, including lost earnings. A group of 56 patients will also have shoulder scans taken 6 weeks and 6 months after surgery, to assess the way the balloon is thought to work. This study will determine whether the use of this device improves shoulder function, pain and quality of life following shoulder surgery for rotator cuff tears that cannot be repaired.

**Intervention Type**

Device

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

InSpace balloon

**Primary outcome measure**

Current primary outcome measure as of 06/04/2020:

The Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS) at 12 months after surgery.  
As participants are randomised during surgery this means 12 months after randomisation. The original study design was based around the Constant score, which is a face to face measure taken in hospital clinics. In light of the coronavirus outbreak in March 2020, the researchers, supported by the trial's Steering and Data Monitoring Committees as well as the funders, decided to revise the primary outcome from the Constant Score to the Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS) which is a Patient Reported Outcome Measure (PROM). This will enable the continuation

of the study without exposing participants to unnecessary risks during the height of the pandemic. The Oxford Shoulder Score is simple to complete and has proved to be valid and reliable in determining the outcome from shoulder surgery.

---

Previous primary outcome measure:

Shoulder function measured with the Constant-Murley score collected 12 months after surgery (note that in this study, randomisation occurs at the time of surgery, so this is also 12 months after randomisation)

### **Secondary outcome measures**

Current secondary outcome measures as of 06/04/2020:

1. The Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS) at baseline, three, six, and 24 months
2. Shoulder function is measured using the Constant-Murley score at baseline, 3 and 6 months and 12 months
3. Range of pain-free shoulder movement will be measured with a (12.5 in) goniometer at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
4. Strength of the shoulder in abduction and flexion will be measured at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months using a supplied IsoForceControl EVO2 dynamometer (Herkules Kunststoff, Switzerland)
5. Patient reported functional outcome will also be measured using the Western Ontario Rotator Cuff Index. This is a disease specific questionnaire looking at physical symptoms, sports /recreation, work, lifestyle and emotions. This will be collected at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
6. Health utility will be measured using the 5Q-5D-5L. This will be collected at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 and 24 months
7. Healthcare resource – a set of questions to collect information associated with healthcare, personal and social services costs related to the interventions being compared. This will be collected at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
8. Patient global assessment of change (PGIC) will be measured on a 7-point scale at 3, 6 and 12 and 24 months
9. Analgesia use – this will be a set of questions to assess use of analgesia and frequency by the participant. This will be collected at 3, 6 and 12 months
10. MRI Scans 56 for participants in the MRI sub-study eight weeks and six months post-surgery)
11. Adverse events will be collected from site reports as they occur throughout the first 12 months after randomisation, and from participants in the 3, 6 and 12 month questionnaires

---

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. Shoulder function measured using the Constant-Murley score at baseline, 3 and 6 months
2. Range of pain-free shoulder movement measured with a goniometer at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
3. Strength of the shoulder in abduction and flexion measured at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
4. Patient-reported functional outcome measured using the Oxford Shoulder Score at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
5. Patient-reported functional outcome measured using the Western Ontario Rotator Cuff Index at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
6. Health utility measured using the 5Q-5D-5L at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
7. Resource use, measured using a set of questions to collect information associated with

healthcare, personal and social services costs related to the interventions being compared, collected at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months

8. Patient global assessment of change (PGIC) measured on a 7-point scale at 3, 6 and 12 months

9. Analgesia use, measured using a set of questions at 3, 6 and 12 months

**Overall study start date**

01/02/2018

**Completion date**

31/12/2021

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

Male and female patients presenting themselves to one of the participating hospitals with a potentially irreparable rotator cuff tendon tear will be assessed for eligibility into the study.

The eligibility criteria are:

1. Rotator cuff tear deemed by the treating clinician to be technically irreparable (to be confirmed intra-operatively)
2. Intrusive symptoms (pain and loss of function) which in the opinion of the treating clinician warrants surgery
3. Non-operative management has been unsuccessful

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

Planned Sample Size: 221; UK Sample Size: 221

**Total final enrolment**

117

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Advanced gleno-humeral osteoarthritis on pre-operative imaging (in the opinion of the treating clinician). Advanced gleno-humeral OA may be interpreted as Kellgren Lawrence grade 3 or 4 changes on routine pre-operative radiographs(64), or the MRI equivalent if radiographs have not been taken
2. Subscapularis deficiency\*, defined as a tear involving more than the superior 1cm (approximately) of the subscapularis if repaired, or any tear that is not repaired. Minor, repairable, upper border tears are common and a repairable upper-border tear is not considered a contra-indication by the manufacturer
3. The treating surgeon determines that interposition grafting or tendon transfers are indicated. Some surgeons prefer to treat younger, more active patients with operations designed to restore or replace rotator cuff function. There is no established age criterion for this, however



and the decision is based on multiple factors including age, co-morbidities, occupation, level of activity, and surgeon preference

4. Pseudoparalysis, as determined by the treating clinician

5. Unrelated, symptomatic ipsilateral shoulder disorder that would interfere with strength measurement or ability to perform rehabilitation

6. Other neurological or muscular condition that would interfere with strength measurement or ability to perform rehabilitation, in the opinion of the treating clinician

7. Previous proximal humerus fracture that could influence shoulder function, as determined by the treating clinician

8. Previous entry into the present trial (i.e. other shoulder)

9. Unable to complete trial procedures

10. Age under 18

11. Unable to consent to the trial

12. Unfit for surgery as defined by the treating clinician

**Date of first enrolment**

01/06/2018

**Date of final enrolment**

30/07/2020

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

Wales

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust**

Clifford Bridge Rd

Coventry

United Kingdom

CV2 2DX

**Study participating centre**

**Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust**

Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital

Barrack Rd

Exeter

United Kingdom

EX2 5DW

**Study participating centre**

**North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust**

University Hospital of Hartlepool  
Holdforth Road  
Hartlepool  
United Kingdom  
TS24 9AH

**Study participating centre**

**Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust**

Guy's Hospital  
Great Maze Pond  
London  
United Kingdom  
SE1 9RT

**Study participating centre**

**The Robert Jones and Agnes Hunt NHS Foundation Trust**

Gobowen  
Oswestry  
United Kingdom  
SY10 7AG

**Study participating centre**

**London North West Healthcare NHS Trust**

Northwick Park Hospital  
Watford Road  
Harrow  
United Kingdom  
HA1 3UJ

**Study participating centre**

**Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

Addenbrooke's Hospital  
Hills Road  
Cambridge  
United Kingdom  
CB2 0QQ

**Study participating centre**

**North Bristol NHS Trust**

Southmead Hospital  
Southmead Road  
Westbury-on-Trym  
Bristol  
United Kingdom  
BS10 5NB

**Study participating centre**

**Cardiff and the Vale Orthopaedic Centre (CAVOC)**

University Hospital Llandough  
Penlan Road, Penarth  
Cardiff  
United Kingdom  
CF64 2XX

**Study participating centre**

**Royal Gwent Hospital**

Cardiff Rd  
Newport  
United Kingdom  
NP20 2UB

**Study participating centre**

**The Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

Castle Lane East  
Bournemouth  
United Kingdom  
BH7 7DW

**Study participating centre**

**Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

Armthorpe Road  
Doncaster  
United Kingdom  
DN2 5LT

**Study participating centre**

**Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital**

Brockley Hill  
Stanmore

United Kingdom  
HA7 4LP

**Study participating centre**  
**Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust**  
Odstock Road  
Salisbury  
United Kingdom  
SP2 8BJ

**Study participating centre**  
**University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust**  
Tremona Road  
Southampton  
United Kingdom  
SO16 6YD

**Study participating centre**  
**West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust**  
Hardwick Lane  
Bury St. Edmunds  
United Kingdom  
IP33 2QZ

**Study participating centre**  
**Wrexham Maelor Hospital**  
Croesnewydd Road  
Wrexham  
United Kingdom  
LL13 7TD

**Study participating centre**  
**Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**  
Higher Kingston  
Yeovil  
United Kingdom  
BA21 4AT

**Study participating centre**

**Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**  
Galsworthy Road  
Kingston Upon Thames  
United Kingdom  
KT2 7QB

**Study participating centre**  
**Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**  
Derby Road  
Nottingham  
United Kingdom  
NG7 2UH

**Study participating centre**  
**Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS Trust**  
Lyndon  
West Bromwich  
United Kingdom  
B71 4HJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust**  
Tonbridge Rd  
Tunbridge Wells  
United Kingdom  
TN2 4QJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Nevil Hall Hospital**  
Brecon Rd  
Abergavenny  
United Kingdom  
NP7 7EG

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**  
University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust

**Sponsor details**

Clifford Bridge Road  
Coventry  
England  
United Kingdom  
CV2 2DX

**Sponsor type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Website**

<http://www.uhcw.nhs.uk/>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/025n38288>

**Organisation**

University of Warwick

**Sponsor details**

Warwick Clinical Trials Unit  
CSRL  
UHCW  
Coventry  
England  
United Kingdom  
CV2 2DX

-

[start@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:start@warwick.ac.uk)

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies Co-ordinating Centre (NETSCC); Grant Codes: 16/61/18

**Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

1. Protocol is not available for publication yet. It is expected to be available on the NIHR website: <https://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk>
2. Planned publication of the results in a high-impact peer reviewed journal. Publication intended for December 2022, although as this is an adaptive study that timeline may alter.

## Intention to publish date

21/04/2022

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	study design investigation	09/12/2019	10/12/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	01/05/2020	27/11/2020	Yes	No
<a href="#">Plain English results</a>			22/04/2022	No	Yes
<a href="#">Results article</a>		21/04/2022	22/04/2022	Yes	No
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/08/2023	10/05/2024	Yes	No