# A pilot study to investigate the feasibility and acceptability of a cognitive behavioural suicide prevention therapy for people in acute psychiatric wards.

Submission date	Recruitment status  No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
22/04/2015		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
22/04/2015	Completed	[X] Results		
<b>Last Edited</b> 17/05/2023	Condition category  Mental and Behavioural Disorders	Individual participant data		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Suicide is a major cause of preventable death with patients in acute psychiatric wards being oat particularly high risk. Many patients experience repeated episodes of suicidal behaviour causing great mental distress and heavy use of NHS services. However there is little research investigating treatments that work in helping patients address issues that lead to suicide. This study investigates issues concerning the introduction of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for suicide prevention for patients in acute psychiatric wards who are a very high risk group in a setting where use of psychological therapies is uncommon and requires evaluation.

#### Who can participate?

Adult (aged between 18-65) inpatients on an acute psychiatric ward.

#### What does the study involve?

Researchers first observe ward life and investigate "usual patient journeys" to identify best ways of introducing the new therapy. Participants are then randomly allocated into one of two groups. Those in group 1 receive their usual treatment. Those in group 2 receive their usual treatment and cognitive behavioural suicide prevention therapy (CBSP). Ward staff and patients are asked about their views before and after introduction of the new therapy. Participants also complete questionnaires to identify how they feel (mood, suicidal ideas, functioning and general wellbeing). These assessments are made before treatment begins, after 6 weeks and then after 6 months. Staff and patients are interviewed to give their views of the new therapy, how it fits into ward routines, whether they like the therapy and if they feel any benefits or otherwise. We also identify costs of NHS treatment for both groups and make comparisons.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at time of registration Where is the study run from?
Manchester Mental Health & Social Care Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? May 2014 to December 2015

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact? Ms Sarah Jones.

## Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Ms Sarah Jones

#### Contact details

Manchester Mental Health & Social Care Trust Rawnsley Building Manchester Royal Infirmary Oxford Road Manchester United Kingdom M13 9WL

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 15409

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

A pilot study to investigate the feasibility and acceptability of a cognitive behavioural suicide prevention therapy for people in acute psychiatric wards: a randomised controlled trial

#### Acronym

**INSITE Phase 2** 

#### Study objectives

This feasibility study investigates issues concerning the introduction of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for suicide prevention for patients in acute psychiatric wards who are a very high risk group in a setting where use of psychological therapies is uncommon and requires evaluation.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

First MREC approval date 21/08/2013, ref: 13/NW/0504MHRNB;

#### Study design

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Treatment

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

Other

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Mental Health; Subtopic: Suicide and self-harm; Disease: Suicide and self harm

#### **Interventions**

Pilot study to investigate the feasibility of cognitive behavioural suicide prevention therapy (CBSP). Participants will be randomly allocated to two treatment arms - treatment as usual or treatment as usual plus CBSP Intervention.

Follow Up Length: 5 month(s); Study Entry: Single Randomisation only

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Primary outcome measure

The Suicidal Behaviours Questionnaire – revised (SBQ-R); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Basic Emotions Scale (BES; Power, 2006); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 2. Beck Scale for Suicidal Ideation (BSS; Beck & Steer, 1991); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up and 6 month follow up

- 3. Calgary Depression Scale (Addington et al, 1990); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 4. Coping in Stressful Situations (Endler & Parker, 1990); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 5. EG-5D (Eurogol Group, 1990); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 6. Personal and Social Performance Scale (Morosoni et al 2000); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 7. Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay, Opler & Fiszbein, 1987); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 8. Psychotic Symptoms Rating Scale (Haddock et al, 1999); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 9. Sleep Condition Indicator (SCI; Espie et al, 2013); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 10. The Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHS; Beck, 1988); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 11. The Defeat Scale (Gilbert & Allan, 1998); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 12. The Difficulties in Emotional Regulation Scale (Gratz & Roemer, 2004); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 13. The Entrapment Scale (Gilbert & Allan, 1998); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 14. The Forms of Self Criticising/Attacking & Self Reassuring Scale; Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 15. The Implicit Beliefs About Emotions Scale (IBES; Tamir et al 2004); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 16. The Self Concept Questionnaire (Robson, 1989); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 17. The Suicide Probability Scale (SPS; Cull & Gill 1988); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 18. The World Health Organisation Quality of Life Assessment, brief version (WHOQOL-BREF; Skevington, L; Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week follow up, 6 month follow up
- 19. Views on Inpatient Care (VOICE; Evans et al, 2012); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week/6 month follow up (dependent on hospital admission dates
- 20. Views on Therapeutic Environments (VOTE; Laker et al, 2012); Timepoint(s): Baseline, 6 week /6 month follow up (depending on hospital admission dates)
- 21. Working Alliance Inventory (Horvath, 1992); Timepoint(s): Taken at 2 time points during course of therapy

### Overall study start date

01/05/2014

#### Completion date

31/12/2015

# **Eligibility**

## Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Inpatients on an acute psychiatric ward
- 2. Adults aged 18-65 years
- 3. Mental capacity to provide informed consent
- 4. Positive risk of suicide verified SBQ-R

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 Years

#### Upper age limit

65 Years

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

Planned Sample Size: 60; UK Sample Size: 60; Description: Study team advised new sample size is 60 - 30 in interventional group and 30 in treatment as usual group 19/11/13

#### Total final enrolment

51

#### Key exclusion criteria

Planned discharge within next 7 days.

#### Date of first enrolment

01/05/2014

#### Date of final enrolment

31/12/2015

## Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

## Study participating centre

Manchester Mental Health & Social Care Trust

Rawnsley Building Manchester Royal Infirmary Oxford Road Manchester United Kingdom M13 9WL

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Manchester Mental Health & Social Care Trust (UK)

#### Sponsor details

Rawnsley Building Manchester Royal Infirmary Oxford Road Manchester England United Kingdom M13 9WL

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Government

#### **Funder Name**

National Institute for Health Research

#### Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

**United Kingdom** 

## **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article	protocol	11/02/2016		Yes	No
Other publications	qualitative results	16/10/2018		Yes	No
Results article		01/01/2019	17/05/2023	Yes	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No