

# Comparing ventilation strategies for patients with de novo hypoxemic respiratory failure

<b>Submission date</b> 19/05/2019	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 11/07/2019	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 16/08/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Respiratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Noninvasive mechanical ventilation (NIMV) is an effective treatment for insufficiency with acute respiratory failure of various etiologies. When NIMV is initiated in patients with acute respiratory failure, ventilatory parameters (variations of blood gases, tidal volumes, volume min. and in some cases, flow and pressure monitoring from the mask to the ventilatory circuit) are classically determined during the clinical evaluation. The conventional way of NIMV in this approach has been based on the elevations of the support pressure levels programmed by the operator. Different ventilatory modes have been used, such as spontaneous, spontaneous/time (S/T), PCV, PAV and NAVA; these last two with better adaptation of patient to the ventilator and better clinical results.

The ventilatory strategy BiPAP S/T–AVAPS allows the use of a fixed preprogrammed tidal volume, which is kept constant by virtue of the inspiratory pressure variations. The fan estimates the volume delivered and adjusts its parameters to ensure a predetermined destination volume. The initial information on the use of this new ventilatory strategy with BiPAP S/T plus AVAPS was focused on patients with chronic respiratory affectation, obstructive sleep apnea or patients with alveolar hypoventilation. However, this technique began to be used in patients with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure. In 2013, Briones-Claudett et al. observed a rapid recovery of the sensorium, as measured by the Glasgow coma scale in patients with infectious exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) subjected to BiPAP S/T–AVAPS (Briones-Claudett, 2013b). Subsequently, other authors have observed similar results when used in patients with COPD (Cao Z, 2016), (Çiftci F, 2017), exacerbated (Thanthitaweewat V, 2018).

We recently evaluated this mode in patients with "novo" hypoxemic respiratory failure and observed that it provides a better proximity to protective ventilation in a select group of subjects with mild to moderate hypoxemic respiratory insufficiency and spontaneous breathing to control the tidal volume exhaled and inspired pressures (Briones-Claudett KH, 2018d). The main objective of this study is to compare the results of the use of guaranteed volume support pressure (AVAPS) versus high nasal flow in patients with mild to moderate "de novo" hypoxemic respiratory failure.

We designed this study to compare the use of ventilatory strategy BiPAP S/T vs BiPAP S/T–AVAPS in mild to moderate hypoxemic respiratory failure by a case-control study.

Who can participate?

It is a retro - prospective, control case study (control - matched) in which all patients were admitted during the period between January 2010 — December 2013. The patients chosen for the study will be those who present with de novo hypoxemic respiratory failure ranging from mild ( $\text{PaO}_2 / \text{FiO}_2$ : 200-300mmHg) to moderate ( $\text{PaO}_2 / \text{FiO}_2$ : 100-200mmHg), mild to moderate with  $\text{PaO}_2 / \text{FiO}_2$  less than or equal to 300.

What does the study involve?

Comparisons were made between the 2 groups BiPAP S/T or BiPAP S/T AVAPS, patients were divided according to de novo hypoxemic respiratory failure in mild to moderate.

The use of those technique that offers the alternative of avoiding endotracheal intubation with fewer days of hospital stay and fewer complications.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The main benefits are related to the use of non-invasive ventilation such as the use of additional medication (sedation) and a tube in the mouth that predisposes to major infections. On the other hand, this technique diminishes the work of the respiratory muscles giving rest the same in comparison with the conventional treatment (use of mask of oxygen). The risks are related to the facial complications that could arise from the use of the mask and the airway pressure.

Where is the study run from?

The study was approved by the Department of Teaching and Research of the Santa María Clinic and Hospital Militar with registration number Santa Mara: approval March 8, 2013 serial: 2013 (3), these 2 hospitals participated in this study. Fifty-six patients were recruited for this study 29 from the BiPAP S/T plus AVAPS group and 29 from the control group (BiPAP S/T alone). The person responsible for the decision to participate in the study will be the patient or his surrogate in case he / she cannot make the decision. The study is carried out based on CONSORT regulations.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2013 to January 2016.

Who is funding the study?

Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Who is the main contact?

Killen H. Briones Claudett  
killen.brionesc@ug.edu.ec

Michelle Grunauer

mgrunauer@usfq.edu.ec

**Study website**

N/A

**Contact information**

**Type(s)**

Public

**Contact name**

Prof Killen Harold Claudett

**ORCID ID**

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7778-0362>

**Contact details**

Ciudadela Universidad de Guayaquil  
Facultad de Ciencias Médicas  
Av. Kennedy y Av. Delta  
Guayaquil  
Ecuador  
090154  
+593977102550  
[killen.brionesc@ug.edu.ec](mailto:killen.brionesc@ug.edu.ec)

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Prof Michelle Grunauer

**ORCID ID**

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5821-7603>

**Contact details**

School of Medicine  
Universidad San Francisco de Quito  
Valle de Cumbaya  
Quito  
Ecuador  
170901.  
+593 (0)9 98938210  
[mgrunauer@usfq.edu.ec](mailto:mgrunauer@usfq.edu.ec)

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Dr Mariuxi del Pilar Cabrera Baños

**ORCID ID**

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6502-9547>

**Contact details**

Intensive Care Unit, Santa Maria Clinic  
Lorenzo de Garaycoa 3209 y Argentina  
Guayaquil

Ecuador  
5934  
-  
dra.maricabrera\_21@hotmail.com

## Additional identifiers

### EudraCT/CTIS number

Nil known

### IRAS number

### ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

### Secondary identifying numbers

8/03/2013 serial: 2013 (3),

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Noninvasive mechanical ventilation with guaranteed support pressure with medium volume (AVAPS) vs BiPAP S/T in Novo hypoxemic respiratory failure

### Acronym

N/A

### Study objectives

(H0) Null hypothesis—The use of the ventilatory strategy with BiPAP S/T–AVAPS does not offer better results than the noninvasive ventilatory strategy with only BiPAP S/T in patients with mild to moderate de novo hypoxemic respiratory failure.

(H1) Alternative hypothesis—The use of the ventilatory strategy with BiPAP S/T–AVAPS offers better results than the noninvasive ventilatory strategy with only BiPAP S/T in patients with mild to moderate de novo hypoxemic respiratory failure.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Approved 08/03/2013, the Department of Teaching and Research of the Santa María Clinic and Hospital Militar (Lorenzo de Garaycoa 3209 y Argentina; 2404650-2401767; 0992248556001), ref: 01/12/2013.

### Study design

Two centre, bservational, case-control study

### Primary study design

Observational

### Secondary study design

Case-control study

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet**

See additional files (Spanish)

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

"de novo" hypoxemic respiratory failure

**Interventions**

**PAIRING**

Patients with BiPAP S/T were paired with those of BiPAP AVAPS, according to age, within 5 points, their arterial pH 2 points APACHE II 5 points and PaO<sub>2</sub> / FiO<sub>2</sub> 10 points and pCO<sub>2</sub> of 5 points.

**INTERVENTION**

Patients assigned to the intervention group will be those who receive NIMV with Guaranteed Volume Support Pressure (AVAPS) during 12 hours a day for at least 24 hours.

**VENTILATORY STRATEGY IN AVAPS MODE**

Ventilation parameters were initially programmed in the BiPAP S/T mode with AVAPS with maximum inspiratory positive pressure (IPAP) programmed in the device of 14-26 cm H<sub>2</sub>O and a minimum programmed IPAP of 8 cm H<sub>2</sub>O with a positive expiratory pressure (EPAP) of 6 to 8 cm H<sub>2</sub>O.

The programmed tidal volume was 6 to 8 ml/kg of ideal body weight with the following formula:  $55.5 \pm 2.3$  (height in inches - 60) for men and  $45.5 \pm 2.3$  (height in inches - 60) for women. The respiratory rate was 14 to 20 breaths/min; the rise time was 300 to 400 ms; The inspiratory time was 0.8 to 1.2 s. Inspired fraction of oxygen was programmed to maintain a SaO<sub>2</sub> above 90%. The maximum IPAP, exhaled tidal volume (V<sub>t</sub>exh), V<sub>min</sub> and leaks were controlled through the fan software. The synchronization of BiPAP with AVAPS and Autotrak (Respironics Inc., Murrysville, Pennsylvania, USA) was used along with a series of Mirage IV (Resmed) facial masks.

**VENTILATORY PARAMETERS OF THE CONTROL GROUP. BiPAP S/T**

Ventilatory parameters were initially programmed in S/T mode. IPAP was programmed at 12 -20 cm H<sub>2</sub>O according to the doctor's assessment, EPAP was programmed at 6 cm H<sub>2</sub>O. The respiratory rate was set at 15 breaths/min, rise time set at 300 to 400 ms, and inspiratory time was 0.6 to 1.2s. The IPAP was progressively increased in 2 cm increments of H<sub>2</sub>O according to the prescriptions of the attending physician. O<sub>2</sub> supplements were added through an O<sub>2</sub> adapter close to the mask, with the aim of maintaining SaO<sub>2</sub> above 90%. Exhaled current volume (EVT), V<sub>min</sub> and leaks were controlled through the fan software. We use BiPAP Synchrony with AVAPS and Autotrak (Respironics Inc., Murrysville, Pennsylvania, USA) and a series of Mirage IV (Resmed) masks. and the Confourt Series II mask (Respironics).

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Primary outcome measure**

1. Superiority of the ventilatory strategy with BiPAP S/T–AVAPS compared to the noninvasive ventilatory strategy with BiPAP S/T is measured using the percentage of success or failure for each strategy.
2. Mortality.

**Secondary outcome measures**

1. Percentage of patients that undergo intubation.
2. Days of hospital stay.
3. Days of mechanical ventilation using the ventilatory strategy with BiPAP S/T–AVAPS vs noninvasive ventilatory strategy with BiPAP S/T.

**Overall study start date**

01/01/2013

**Completion date**

10/06/2016

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age, 18 years or older.
2. Showed signs of acute respiratory failure in the emergency room (RR,> 25 breaths per minute, use of accessory muscles) for "de novo" hypoxemic respiratory failure (not produced by acute exacerbations of COPD, disease chronic pulmonary, or congestive heart failure), with PaO<sub>2</sub> / FIO<sub>2</sub> from mild to moderate according to the thresholds defined by the Berlin criteria for ARDS (9,10).

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

58

**Total final enrolment**

58

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Facial deformity.
2. Obstruction in the upper airway by surgery or trauma.
3. Alterations in the central nervous system.

5. Hypercapnia encephalopathy.
6. Cardiogenic pulmonary edema, pneumothorax, pulmonary embolism thrombus, hemoptysis or shock septic.
7. Urgent intubation due to cardiorespiratory arrest and hemodynamic instability with systolic pressure less than 80 mmHg.
8. Demonstrated hemodynamic instability.
9. Demonstrated excess respiratory secretions.
10. Did not cooperate or were agitated.
11. Could not use the interface device.
12. Had recently undergone upper airway surgery.
13. Had received NIV with "Do not resuscitate" orders.

**Date of first enrolment**

01/03/2013

**Date of final enrolment**

31/12/2016

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Ecuador

**Study participating centre**

**Killen Harold Briones Claudett**

Universidad de Guayaquil, Facultad de Ciencias Médicas, Guayaquil

Av. Kennedy y Av. Delta

090514. Guayaquil - Ecuador

Guayaquil

Ecuador

090154

**Study participating centre**

**Centro Fisiológico-Respiratorio Briones-Claudett**

Calle: Abel Castillo Romero y Av. Juan Tanca Marengo

GUAYAQUIL

Ecuador

593

**Study participating centre**

**Hospital General IESS Babahoyo**

Juan Anoleto

Babahoyo

Ecuador

120150

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Universidad San Francisco de Quito

## Sponsor details

School of Medicine

Valle de los Chillos en Cumbaya

Diego de Robles y Vía Interoceánica

Quito

Ecuador

170901.

59322977900

globalhealth@usfq.edu.ec

## Sponsor type

University/education

## Website

<http://www.usfq.edu.ec>

## ROR

<https://ror.org/01r2c3v86>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

University/education

## Funder Name

Universidad San Francisco de Quito

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer-reviewed journal.

## Intention to publish date

31/10/2020

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan



The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study during this study will be included in the subsequent results publication.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Other

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>		09/07/2019	16/07/2019	No	Yes
<a href="#">Results article</a>		03/08/2022	16/08/2022	Yes	No