# Staphylococcus aureus Network Adaptive Platform trial (SNAP)

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
03/12/2022	Recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
05/09/2023	Ongoing	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
04/10/2023	Infections and Infestations	<ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul>

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Bacteraemia is a dangerous condition occurring when bacteria enter someone's blood (infection in the blood). Bacteria called Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) can cause S. aureus bacteraemia (SAB). Up to a third of people with SAB die within 3 months, even when treated with antibiotics. The aim of the research is to find out which treatments for this illness are best and if we can reduce the number of deaths from this disease.

The sort of questions we want to answer are:

- 1. What is the best main antibiotic treatment for S. aureus bacteraemia? This is being explored in a part of the trial (domain) called the 'backbone' (or main) antibiotic.
- 2. Would it be better to add an extra antibiotic to treat the condition? This domain is called Adjunctive (additional) antibiotic(s).
- 3. Once patients are feeling better do we need to continue antibiotics via a drip (usually in hospital) or could we give patients tablet antibiotics to take at home instead? This domain is called 'early oral switch' where some people are moved to tablet antibiotic(s) early.

Who can participate?

Patients infected with Staphylococcus aureus.

#### What does the study involve?

To work out which medicine is best researchers use clinical trials called a randomised controlled trial (RCT). The treatments are chosen randomly (like the flip of a coin) and one person may receive more than one treatment. Some patients then receive one or more medicines, whilst other patients receive different treatment(s). This means the same patient can be in more than one part of the trial. In this trial the drugs used are already licensed drugs used around the world to treat patients with this disease.

This is an international adaptive study so the researchers analyse the results as the study goes on rather than just at the end. If the results show any of the treatments do not work as well as others, they will be removed from the study. Similarly, new arms may be added. The initial length of the trial is 4 and a half years. Each person would be in the trial for 3 months with their data collected & stored longer.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Benefits:

Not provided at time of registration

Risks:

This trial is considered to be relatively low risk due to the fact that all of the interventions are already known treatments for S. aureus infections. No matter what arm participants are randomised to, they will be receiving treatments that are already licensed in the UK and known to be efficacious.

However, as with any medications, there are still risks, and there are a number of possible side effects in particular relation to antibiotic treatment. The PIS highlights that while risks are low with already licensed medications, these can still occur and outline some of the likely side effects. Where the combination of antibiotics may increase particular risks, this is highlighted in the PIS, and details are provided on the additional monitoring that will be undertaken as a result. Data privacy poses another potential risk, however, this will be kept to a minimum through use of a secure database with tightly regulated access. Participant data will be pseudonymised before being entered into the study database, and this will only be viewable by the study site and the central trial and data managers. Any directly identifiable data will be restricted to the study site team, and to monitors to facilitate data checks.

Due to the severity of SAB, consent by the participant's authorised representative could also be a risk. In this situation the patient may have been consented to a study that they might not have consented to themselves. All patients will be approached to give their own consent as soon as feasibly possible in their recovery, and may withdraw their participation if they choose at any time in the trial.

Where is the study run from?
Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit, University College London (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? November 2022 to December 2029

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) (UK).

Who is the main contact?

Dr Anna Goodman, anna.goodman@gstt.nhs.uk
mrcctu.snap@ucl.ac.uk

# **Contact information**

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr SNAP Study team

#### Contact details

MRC CTU at UCL, ICTM 2nd Floor, 90 High Holburn London United Kingdom WC1V 6LJ

# Additional identifiers

# **EudraCT/CTIS** number

2022-001238-13

#### IRAS number

1005342

#### ClinicalTrials.gov number

NCT05137119

## Secondary identifying numbers

CT19029, IRAS 1005342, CPMS 58551

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Staphylococcus aureus Network Adaptive Platform trial (SNAP)

#### Acronym

**SNAP** 

# **Study objectives**

The objective of SNAP is to identify the effect of a range of clinical interventions on all-cause 90-day mortality in patients with SAB

# Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

# Ethics approval(s)

Approved 30/08/2023, London – Hampstead Research Ethics Committee (2 Redman Place, Stratford, London, E20 1JQ, United Kingdom; +44 207 104 8248; hampstead.rec@hra.nhs.uk), ref: 23/LO/0033

# Study design

Interventional randomized controlled adaptive platform trial

# Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Platform trial

# Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

#### Participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia

#### **Interventions**

SNAP is a multicentre, pragmatic, multi-arm, open-label adaptive platform trial which aims to identify the effects of a range of clinical interventions on patients with Staphylococcus aureus Bacteraemia (SAB) in order to improve clinical outcomes. Participants will be randomly assigned to 1 arm within each domain for which they are eligible using a web-based module.

#### Currently available domains:

- Adjunctive Treatment Domain:

If the participant is deemed eligible they will be randomised to receive either:

- 1. Usual care plus clindamycin (5 days) Intravenous clindamycin (or lincomycin) 600 mg every 8 h for 5 days.
- 2. Usual care alone
- Antibiotic Backbone Domain:

If the participant is deemed eligible they will be randomised within silos based on the antimicrobial susceptibility.

For PSSA, they will be randomised to:

- 1. Benzylpenicillin Intravenous benzylpenicillin 1.8 g (3 million units) every 4 or 6 h. The minimum protocol duration of allocated study treatment is 14 days for those not allocated to early oral switch, and 5 days for those allocated to early oral switch.
- 2. (Flu)cloxacillin Either intravenous flucloxacillin/cloxacillin 2 g every 4 or 6 h. The minimum protocol duration of allocated study treatment is 14 days for those not allocated to early oral switch, and 5 days for those allocated to early oral switch.

For MSSA, they will be randomised to:

- 1. Cefazolin Intravenous cefazolin 2 g every 6 or 8 h. The minimum protocol duration of allocated study treatment is 14 days for those not allocated to early oral switch, and 5 days for those allocated to early oral switch.
- 2. (Flu)cloxacillin as above

For MRSA, they will be randomised to

- 1. Combination of usual care (vancomycin or daptomycin) plus cefazolin (7 days) In addition to standard treatment an intravenous  $\beta$ -lactam will be added for the first 7 calendar days following randomisation (day 1 being the day of randomisation hence patients will receive 6-7 days of  $\beta$ -lactam). This  $\beta$ -lactam will be intravenous cefazolin 2 g every 8 h.
- 2. Usual care alone
- Early Oral Switch Domain:

If the participant is deemed eligible, and clinically stable at Day 7 or 14, they will be randomised to receive either:

- 1. Oral antibiotic regimen Switch from intravenous backbone antibiotic for MRSA or MSSA or PSSA to oral antibiotics at the treating clinicians discretion on trial Day 7 (+/- 2 days) or trial Day 14 (+/- 2 days).
- 2. Continued intravenous antibiotic therapy.

#### Intervention Type

#### Phase

Phase IV

# Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Benzylpenicillin, cefazolin, flucloxacillin, vancomycin, daptomycin, clindamycin, amoxicillin, flucloxacillin, cefalexin, linezolid, moxifloxacin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, rifampicin, trimethoprim plus sulfamethoxazole (TMP+SMX), fusidic acid, clindamycin, co-amoxiclav

#### Primary outcome measure

All-cause mortality at 90 days after platform entry determined through a search of hospital databases for a record of a participant's death, or follow-up contact with the participant's community healthcare provider, or follow-up contact with the patient or their nominated carer, or linkage with death registries.

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. All-cause mortality at 14, 28 and 42 days after platform entry;
- 2. Duration of survival censored at 90 days after platform entry;
- 3. Length of stay of acute index inpatient hospitalisation for those surviving until hospital discharge (excluding HITH/COPAT/OPAT/rehab) truncated at 90 days after platform entry. Acute index hospitalisation is defined as a continuous admission to an inpatient healthcare facility where the patient was recruited;
- 4. Length of stay of total index hospitalisation for those surviving until hospital discharge (including HITH/COPAT/OPAT/rehab) truncated at 90 days after platform entry. Total index hospitalisation is defined as a continuous admission to any healthcare facility, including rehabilitation hospitals, and hospital-in-the-home or outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy services;
- 5. Time to being discharged alive from the total index hospitalisation (including HITH/COPAT /OPAT/rehab) truncated at 90 days after platform entry (and all deaths within 90 days will be considered '90 days');
- 6. Microbiological treatment failure defined as positive sterile site culture for S. aureus [of the same silo as the index isolate] between 14 and 90 days after platform entry). A sterile site means any sites deep to the skin and skin structures, including deep visceral and musculoskeletal abscesses that have been obtained in a sterile manner;
- 7. Diagnosis of new foci between 14 and 90 days after platform entry. The presence of new foci will be determined by the site investigator and can incorporate clinical, radiological, microbiological and pathological findings;
- 8. C. difficile diarrhoea as determined by a clinical laboratory in the 90 days following platform entry for participants ≥2 years of age. This means a stool submitted to a clinical laboratory has tested positive for C. difficile toxin or toxin gene;
- 9. Serious adverse reactions (SARs) in the 90 days following platform entry;
- 10. Health economic costs up to 1 year as detailed in the health economics appendix;
- 11. Proportion of participants who have returned to their usual level of function at day 90 as determined by whether the modified functional bloodstream infection score (FBIS) remained the same or improved between baseline and 90 days after platform entry

# Overall study start date

30/11/2022

# Completion date

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Staphylococcus aureus complex grown from ≥1 blood culture
- 2. Admitted to participating hospital at anticipated time of eligibility assessment
- 3. Appropriate consent has been obtained for the patient's participation in the trial

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

Both

# Target number of participants

7,000

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Time of anticipated platform entry is greater than 72 h post collection of the index blood culture
- 2. Polymicrobial bacteraemia, defined as more than one organism (at species level) in the index blood cultures, excluding those organisms judged to be contaminants by the treating clinicians
- 3. Patient currently being treated with a systemic antibacterial agent that cannot be ceased or substituted for interventions allocated within the platform (unless antibiotic is listed in Table 1, which specifies allowed antibiotics with limited absorption from the gastrointestinal tract or negligible antimicrobial activity against S. aureus)
- 4. Known previous participation in SNAP
- 5. Known positive blood culture for S. aureus (of the same silo: PSSA, MSSA or MRSA) between 72 h and 180 days prior to the time of eligibility assessment
- 6. Treating team deems enrolment in the study is not in the best interest of the patient
- 7. Treating clinician believes that death is imminent and inevitable
- 8. Patient is for end-of-life care and antibiotic treatment is considered not appropriate
- 9. Patient <18 years of age and paediatric recruitment not approved at recruiting site
- 10. Patient has died since the collection of the index blood culture

# Date of first enrolment

18/02/2022

#### Date of final enrolment

30/06/2027

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Australia

Canada

Israel

Netherlands

New Zealand

Singapore

South Africa

United Kingdom

# Study participating centre

-

United Kingdom

-

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

University College London

# Sponsor details

Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit 90 High Holborn London England United Kingdom WC1V 6LJ

\_

mrcctu.snap@ucl.ac.uk

# Sponsor type

University/education

#### Website

http://www.ucl.ac.uk/

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/02jx3x895

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Government

#### **Funder Name**

National Institute for Health and Care Research

#### Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

United Kingdom

# **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Peer reviewed scientific journals
Internal report
Conference presentation
Publication on website
Submission to regulatory authorities

Access to raw data and right to publish freely by all investigators in study or by Independent Steering Committee on behalf of all investigators

We will develop a dissemination plan with our PPI lead and the PAG. Based in a MRC CTU we will engage with the local PPI team and CTU support. We will engage stakeholders in both the patient/carer and professional communities. We will engage patient/carer communities through our PAG working with other societies that target those affected by this condition (e.g., UK sepsis trust) or those at risk (e.g., Diabetes UK, Macmillan). We will engage with such stakeholders early in the trial, so they are aware of the expectation of outputs and ready to engage with results quickly.

The format of outputs will be appropriate for users. We will aim for it to increase awareness of SAB in addition to any trial findings. The PAG will advise on PPI outputs and the SNAP website have a site for patients/carers. With consent we plan to email results to those who participated within the trial and our PAG would disseminate results via social media and identifiable influencers who may speak about their experience, e.g., providing patient perspectives for publications and on social media.

We intend to produce clear findings to several key clinical questions in SAB and to produce these as

they emerge. We will produce at least 5 high-impact papers. We will additionally produce a cost-effectiveness publication for the UK and HTA report. We will produce a UK focused clinical impact paper.

# Intention to publish date

31/12/2030

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Research teams may approach the SNAP leadership with a formal data sharing request detailing the specific requirement, proposed research, qualification of researchers and publication plan if they are interested in using SNAP data. The request will be reviewed by the International Trial Steering Committee. If approved and once any additional ethics has been obtained data would be shared. Any data transfer will be anonymised, and considerations will be taken if non-aggregated data is requested. All participants will be consented for future use of their data.

# IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request