Effect of coital activity on onset of labour in women scheduled for labour induction: a randomised controlled trial

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 14/06/2007 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 26/06/2007 Completed [X] Results [] Individual participant data Last Edited Condition category 10/10/2014 Pregnancy and Childbirth

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Peng Chiong Tan

Contact details

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology University of Malaya Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur Malaysia 50603

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

492.7

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Pregnant women with an appointment for labour induction at term:

- 1. Will respond to counseling to have vaginal sex as frequently as possible to promote labour onset, and
- 2. Spontaneous labour onset rate will increase as result

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Medical Ethics Committee University Malaya Medical Centre, 15/03/2005, ref: HU-61/12/1-1

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Pregnancy: labour induction

Interventions

Counselling to encourage vaginal sex versus no counselling.

Intervention:

- 1. Counselling by a single investigator (identifiable to women as a doctor) after recruitment
- 2. Inform women that sexual intercourse is safe and can promote onset of labour
- 3. Inform women that induced labour is associated with operative delivery and is a more prolonged process compared to spontaneous labour
- 4. Advise women to have vaginal sex as frequently as possible before their appointment for labour induction to promote labour
- 5. Ask women to keep a daily diary on vaginal sexual intercourse and any orgasms (marking day when vaginal sex occurs and similarly orgasm if any)

The above intervention/counselling usually takes about 5 to 15 minutes depending on queries. If there are any queries, the response is generally supportive of having sex. The aim is to encourage vaginal sex to promote labour.

Control:

- 1. Interaction with same investigator
- 2. Keep session as brief as possible
- 3. Inform women that sexual intercourse is safe but the effect on labour onset is unclear
- 4. Ask women to keep diary as above

The above process usually takes about five minutes - most of the time will be on instructions about diary entries. If there are any queries, refer women to standard information leaflet (made available to all trial women - neutral content). The aim is not to influence coital activity in control women.

The intervention comprises of a single counselling session as above. Providers are blind to randomisation. The appointments for labour induction are typically made for within the week ahead. Diaries are collected as soon as possible after delivery. Other outcome measures extracted from clinical notes after delivery. Women who miss their appointment for labour induction are contacted by telephone for information.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

- 1. Reported coital activity from diary (kept from recruitment to delivery expected to be only days worth in most instances)
- 2. Labour onset on or before appointment for labour induction: spontaneous labour (defined as spontaneous uterine contractions resulting in cervical dilatation of at least 3 cm) or pre-labour rupture of membranes on or before appointment date for labour induction

Primary outcomes should typically be resolved within one week of recruitment (no requirement for long term follow up).

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Reported orgasms
- 2. Mode of delivery
- 3. Recruitment to birth admission interval
- 4. Initial Bishop Score at the admission for birth
- 5. Pre-labour rupture of membranes
- 6. Use of dinoprostone
- 7. Use of oxytocin infusion during labour
- 8. Maternal fever
- 9. Epidural use in labour
- 10. Meconium stained liquor
- 11. Delivery blood loss

- 12. Neonatal admission
- 13. Apgar score at five minutes
- 14. Umbilical cord blood pH

Secondary outcomes are events during labour, at delivery or during the usually short hospital confinement after birth. No data is collected after hospital discharge.

Overall study start date

01/12/2005

Completion date

31/08/2007

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Pregnant women:

- 1. Given an appointment for non urgent labor induction at term
- 2. Viable foetus
- 3. Singleton
- 4. Cephalic presentation
- 5. Intact membranes

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

209

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Previous caesarean section
- 2. Known gross foetal anomaly

Date of first enrolment

01/12/2005

Date of final enrolment

31/08/2007

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Malaysia

Study participating centre Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Kuala Lumpur Malaysia 50603

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Malaya Medical Centre (Malaysia)

Sponsor details

Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur Malaysia 50603

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

Website

http://www.ummc.edu.my

ROR

https://ror.org/00vkrxq08

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

University of Malaya (Malaysia)

Alternative Name(s)

University of Malaya, University Malaya, Malayan University, UM

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

Location Malaysia

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/10/2007		Yes	No