The efficacy of different local anaesthetic solutions/techniques in producing painless sensation in patients suffering 'hot' mandibular tooth pulps

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered	
13/09/2005		☐ Protocol	
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan	
11/11/2005	Completed	[X] Results	
Last Edited 28/10/2010	Condition category Oral Health	[] Individual participant data	

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr John Martin Whitworth

Contact details

School of Dental Sciences Newcastle University Newcastle United Kingdom NE2 4BW +44 (0)191 222 78 25 j.m.whitworth@ncl.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

05/Q0906/21

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

The null hypothesis: Supplementary repeat inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB), local infiltration, intraligamentary injection and intraosseous injection after failed IANB are equally effective and pain free in securing anaesthesia for the pulps of irreversibly pulpitic mandibular teeth.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Irreversible pulpitis teeth

Interventions

The power calculation revealed that a sample size using 50 volunteer patients in each supplementary technique (four arms) will have 80% power to detect an effect size of 0.57 in a continuous outcome measure assuming a significance level of 5%. When an initial inferior alveolar nerve block injection (IANB) fails to secure pulp anaesthesia, patients will be randomised to receive one of four supplementary injections (four arms), namely:

- 1. Repeat IANB with 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine
- 2. Intraligamentary injection with 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine
- 3. Intraosseous injection with 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine
- 4. Local infiltration with 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

To evaluate the effectiveness of initial IANB in profoundly anaesthetising irreversibly pulpitic and adjacent non-pulpitic.

Secondary outcome measures

To evaluate the effect of supplementary local anaesthetic techniques after failure of pulp anaesthesia by IANB in patients suffering mandibular irreversible pulpitis.

Overall study start date

27/06/2005

Completion date

30/06/2007

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients of 16 years of age and over
- 2. Any mandibular tooth with irreversible pulpitis and an asymptomatic vital tooth on the opposite site of the arch to act as an internal control of pulp tester function

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

500

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with allergies or sensitivities to lidocaine/articaine or other ingredients in the anaesthetic solution
- 2. Patients who are unable to provide informed consent
- 3. Relevant medical history, which may compromise the welfare of the patient (e.g. unstable angina) or which may compromise data collection (e.g. facial paraesthesia)

Date of first enrolment

27/06/2005

Date of final enrolment

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre School of Dental Sciences

Newcastle United Kingdom NE2 4BW

Sponsor information

Organisation

The Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

Research and Development Department Room 3.037 Royal Victoria Infirmary Queen Victoria Road Newcastle Upon Tyne England United Kingdom NE1 4LP +44 (0)191 232 5131 jane.varey@nuth.northy.nhs.uk

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

ROR

https://ror.org/05p40t847

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Student fees

Funder Name

Support services from NHS

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/04/2006		Yes	No