The efficacy of different local anaesthetic solutions/techniques in producing painless sensation in patients suffering 'hot' mandibular tooth pulps

Submission date 13/09/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	 Prospectively registered Protocol
Registration date 11/11/2005	Overall study status Completed	 Statistical analysis plan [X] Results
Last Edited 28/10/2010	Condition category Oral Health	[] Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr John Martin Whitworth

Contact details

School of Dental Sciences Newcastle University Newcastle United Kingdom NE2 4BW +44 (0)191 222 78 25 j.m.whitworth@ncl.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 05/Q0906/21

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

The null hypothesis: Supplementary repeat inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB), local infiltration, intraligamentary injection and intraosseous injection after failed IANB are equally effective and pain free in securing anaesthesia for the pulps of irreversibly pulpitic mandibular teeth.

Ethics approval required Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s) Not provided at time of registration

Study design Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s) Not specified

Study type(s) Treatment

.....

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Irreversible pulpitis teeth

Interventions

The power calculation revealed that a sample size using 50 volunteer patients in each supplementary technique (four arms) will have 80% power to detect an effect size of 0.57 in a continuous outcome measure assuming a significance level of 5%. When an initial inferior alveolar nerve block injection (IANB) fails to secure pulp anaesthesia, patients will be randomised to receive one of four supplementary injections (four arms), namely:

- 1. Repeat IANB with 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine
- 2. Intraligamentary injection with 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine
- 3. Intraosseous injection with 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine
- 4. Local infiltration with 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine

Intervention Type

Other

Phase Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

To evaluate the effectiveness of initial IANB in profoundly anaesthetising irreversibly pulpitic and adjacent non-pulpitic.

Secondary outcome measures

To evaluate the effect of supplementary local anaesthetic techniques after failure of pulp anaesthesia by IANB in patients suffering mandibular irreversible pulpitis.

Overall study start date

27/06/2005

Completion date 30/06/2007

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients of 16 years of age and over 2. Any mandibular tooth with irreversible pulpitis and an asymptomatic vital tooth on the opposite site of the arch to act as an internal control of pulp tester function

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group Adult

Sex Both

Target number of participants 500

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients with allergies or sensitivities to lidocaine/articaine or other ingredients in the anaesthetic solution

2. Patients who are unable to provide informed consent

3. Relevant medical history, which may compromise the welfare of the patient (e.g. unstable angina) or which may compromise data collection (e.g. facial paraesthesia)

Date of first enrolment

27/06/2005

Date of final enrolment

30/06/2007

Locations

Countries of recruitment England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre School of Dental Sciences Newcastle United Kingdom NE2 4BW

Sponsor information

Organisation The Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

Research and Development Department Room 3.037 Royal Victoria Infirmary Queen Victoria Road Newcastle Upon Tyne England United Kingdom NE1 4LP +44 (0)191 232 5131 jane.varey@nuth.northy.nhs.uk

Sponsor type Hospital/treatment centre

ROR https://ror.org/05p40t847

Funder(s)

Funder type Government **Funder Name** Student fees

Funder Name Support services from NHS

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Results article</u>	results	01/04/2006		Yes	No