# Evaluation of the PEPFAR/USAID Community Responses program in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

Submission date	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
12/01/2017		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
25/01/2017	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[X] Individual participant data		
24/02/2023	Infections and Infestations			

### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Gender norms (roles men and women are usually expected to fulfill in society) can be harmful to a woman's health. Unfair and unequal gender expectations can lead to gender based violence (GBV), putting women at risk of being infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Community response (CR) programs (programs developed to make community level changes) seek to change harmful gender norms and improve health behaviors. Combining HIV testing centers with other health intervention and education programs can help improve access to healthcare as well as reduce HIV infections. Areas with high rates of HIV and GBV in South Africa have implemented CR programs in order to combine education about gender violence with HIV prevention. The aim of this study is to assess a CR program in order to see how well it works at preventing HIV, reducing gender based violence risks and improving the overall health of the community.

### Who can participate?

Adults living in the communities where the CR program is taking place who are able to read in English or in the local language.

# What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly selected from the areas that receive the CR program. The CR program aims to prevent HIV and sexual and gender-based violence, and includes the Stepping Stones curriculum, community engagement, and awareness-raising around GBV and HIV. Participants fill out four surveys regarding the CR program, one at the beginning of the program and then a further three times at ten month intervals. These surveys assess the knowledge they have gained about HIV, healthcare services, gender norms and sexual based violence in order to see how well the CR program is working.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no direct benefits to participants, but the program can help prevent HIV and GBV. The

main risks of participation are possible breaches of participant confidentiality, as well as exposure to sensitive questions about sex, HIV and other STIs, and GBV during the study interviews. As a result, some of the participants may become uncomfortable or upset.

### Where is the study run from?

The study is run from the Population Council (USA) and MatCH Research Unit at the University of the Witwaterstrand (South Africa) and takes place in informal settlements in eThekwini and Ugu in KwaZulu-Natal Province (South Africa)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? September 2015 to August 2019

Who is funding the study?
United States Agency for International Development (USA)

Who is the main contact? Stephanie Psaki

# Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Stephanie Psaki

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0332-7653

### Contact details

Population Council One Dag Hammarskjold Plaza New York United States of America 10017

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Julie Pulerwitz

### Contact details

Population Council 4301 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 280 Washington, DC United States of America 20008

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Paul Hewett

### Contact details

Population Council 4301 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 280 Washington, DC United States of America 20008

### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Mags Beksinska

### Contact details

MatCH Research Unit University of Witwatersrand 34 Essex Terrace 2nd Bldg, Ground Floor Westville Durban South Africa 3692

# Additional identifiers

## Protocol serial number

PC741

# Study information

### Scientific Title

Evaluation of the PEPFAR/USAID Community Responses program among adults in informal settlements in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

# Study objectives

The primary goal of the proposed Community Responses (CR) program evaluation is to determine the extent to which the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) /South Africa-funded CR program (and particularly the effects of community-based HIV/gender-based violence (GBV) prevention programming, which include a component to create demand for related services) is effective at reducing HIV and sexual and GBV risk, and improving related service utilization.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

- 1. Population Council Institutional Review Board, 05/10/2016, ref: protocol #741
- 2. The Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC), University of Witwatersrand, 11/01/2017, ref: R14/49

### Study design

Multi-centre longitudinal cohort study

### Primary study design

Observational

### Study type(s)

Prevention

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

HIV/AIDS, Sexual and gender-based violence (SGBV)

### **Interventions**

All participants are living in communities where the Community Responses program will take place during follow-up, although the timing of program start-up has been randomly staggered. The program, implemented by CCI in South Africa, includes several overlapping components:

- 1. Standardized HIV prevention interventions
- 2. Structural interventions for gender norms for HIV and GBV prevention
- 3. SGBV prevention activities
- 4. Community dialogues

Adult men and women living in intervention (Community Responses program) communities where the intervention are randomly selected and surveyed at approximate 10 month intervals (baseline, 10, 20 and 30 months). Information will be collected on the following topics: demographic and household socio-economic status, HIV knowledge and use of services, sexual behaviour, gender norms and sexual relationship power, and experience and perpetration of gender-based violence. This information will be used to determine whether the intervention (Community Responses program) is effective in achieving the key outcomes of interest, and to understand any variations in effects between groups.

Routine program monitoring data collected by the organization implementing the intervention is also collected in order to assess the level of exposure to the program within each community.

### Intervention Type

Behavioural

## Primary outcome(s)

- 1. Percentage of participants who have obtained the results of an HIV test within the previous six months is assessed using self-reported data from a questionnaire administered at baseline and three follow-up rounds, with 10 months between each round
- 2. Percentage of HIV-positive participants who have accessed HIV care and treatment services within the previous six months is assessed using self-report data from a questionnaire administered at baseline and three follow-up rounds, with 10 months between each round
- 3. Percentage of participants reporting using a condom at last sex is measured using the self reported data from a questionnaire administered at baseline and three follow-up rounds, with 10 months between each round
- 4. Percentage of participants reporting consistent condom use with a partner of unknown HIV

status in the last six months measured using the self reported data from a questionnaire administered at baseline and three follow up rounds, with 10 months between each round

- 5. Percentage of participants reporting perpetrating or experiencing physical violence within the previous six months is measured using the self reported data from a questionnaire administered at baseline and three follow up rounds, with 10 months between each round
- 6. Percentage of participants reporting perpetrating or experiencing sexual violence within the previous six months is measured using the self reported data from a questionnaire administered at baseline and three follow up rounds, with 10 months between each round
- 7. Percentage of participants who hold positive norms regarding gender based violence is measured using the Gender-Equitable Men (GEM) Scale at baseline and three follow up rounds, with 10 months between each round
- 8. Percentage of participants who hold equitable norms regarding the roles of women and men is measured using the Gender-Equitable Men (GEM) Scale at baseline and three follow up rounds, with 10 months between each round

### Key secondary outcome(s))

No secondary outcome measures

### Completion date

14/08/2019

# Eligibility

### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Men aged 18-35
- 2. Women aged 18-24
- 3. Living in selected communities
- 4. Can read English or local language
- 5. Willing and able to give informed consent
- 6. Willing to participate in three additional interviews
- 7. Willing to provide research staff with an identity number, address, phone number, and fingerprint scan while participating in the study
- 8. Agrees to participate in the study for the duration of up to three years; do not reasonably foresee moving out of the study area within that time

### Participant type(s)

Other

# Healthy volunteers allowed

No

# Age group

Adult

### Lower age limit

18 years

## Upper age limit

24 years

### Sex

All

### Total final enrolment

1528

### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Lives outside of study area or expects to move within next 3 years
- 2. Outside of age range
- 3. Cannot read in English or local language

### Date of first enrolment

23/01/2017

### Date of final enrolment

15/03/2017

# Locations

### Countries of recruitment

South Africa

United States of America

# Study participating centre Population Council

One Dag Hammarskjold Plaza New York United States of America 10017

# Study participating centre University of the Witwaterstrand

MatCH Research Unit
34 Essex Terrace
2nd Bldg, Ground Floor
Westville, 3629
Durban, South Africa
Durban
South Africa
3629

# Sponsor information

### Organisation

**Population Council** 

### **ROR**

https://ror.org/03zjj0p70

# Funder(s)

### Funder type

Not defined

### **Funder Name**

United States Agency for International Development

### Alternative Name(s)

U.S. Agency for International Development, Agency for International Development, USAID

### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

## **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

### Location

United States of America

# **Results and Publications**

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date.

# IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

# Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		08/03/2022	24/02/2023	Yes	No
<u>Dataset</u>		27/01/2021	24/02/2023	No	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes