# Security and effectiveness assessment of locking systems in ventriculostomy for traumatic brain injury

Submission date	Recruitment status Recruiting	[X] Prospectively registered			
02/01/2025		☐ Protocol			
Registration date	Overall study status Ongoing  Condition category Circulatory System	Statistical analysis plan			
03/04/2025		Results			
Last Edited		Individual participant data			
03/04/2025		[X] Record updated in last year			

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

This study focuses on traumatic brain injuries (TBI), a condition that can cause severe intracranial pressure and life-threatening complications. This study aims to compare the effectiveness and safety of a standard intervention called ventriculostomy for managing increased intracranial pressure (ICP) in patients with severe traumatic brain injury (TBI). Elevated ICP is a critical condition that can result in brain damage or death if not treated promptly. The goal is to reduce complications like catheter displacement and improve outcomes for patients with intracranial compartment syndrome (ICCS).

Who can participate?

Adults aged 18 to 70 years old with confirmed ICCS caused by TBI

### What does the study involve?

The intervention will be performed in a traditional standard way or with an additional device called a catheter locking system. The first approach, standard ventriculostomy, involves placing a catheter into the brain's ventricular system to drain cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), thereby reducing ICP. The second approach incorporates the same catheter plus a catheter-locking device designed to secure the catheter in place, potentially reducing complications such as catheter displacement and the need for additional surgeries. Participants in this study will undergo either standard ventriculostomy or ventriculostomy with the locking device. Their progress will be observed during their hospital stay until the catheter is taken out (regularly on days 5th to 7th after the initial surgery) and assessed over the course of 1 year through structured telephone follow-ups. The main outcomes include functional recovery and the rate of complications such as operative site infections, catheter displacement, and/or reinterventions. By comparing these two methods, the study seeks to determine whether the locking device improves outcomes for TBI patients while maintaining or enhancing the safety and reliability of the procedure.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may benefit from a more stable and effective method of reducing ICP, especially if they are assigned to the locking device group. The study provides access to expert surgical care and close monitoring throughout the recovery process. Contributions to this research could lead to improved treatment protocols for future TBI patients worldwide.

Both procedures carry inherent surgical risks, including infection, bleeding, or complications related to catheter placement and not to the study per se. Because the indications for any of the two interventions will be the same for the original procedure at the discretion of the neurosurgeon on call. Participants will be required to attend follow-up assessments, which may involve some time and effort. All procedures will be performed by experienced neurosurgeons following established safety protocols. Participants will be closely monitored, and any complications will be promptly addressed. This study represents an important step toward advancing surgical techniques for managing severe TBI and improving the quality of care for patients worldwide.

Where is the study run from?

The study is conducted across multiple international centers, including countries with both highand low-resource settings.

When is the study starting, and how long is it expected to run for? December 2024 to February 2027

Who is funding the study? NTPlast S.r.l. (Italy)

Who is the main contact? Dr Andrés M Rubiano MD, PhD(c), FACS, IFAANS, rubianoam@outlook.com, direccion@meditechhubcol.org

# **Contact information**

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Dr Andrés M Rubiano

### ORCID ID

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8931-3254

### Contact details

Calle 7A # 44-103
Cali
Colombia
760036
+ 57 3006154775
rubianoam@outlook.com

# Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Ms Wendy Gonzalez

### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7106-3568

### Contact details

Calle 7A # 44-103
Cali
Colombia
760036
+57 310 537 4949
gonzalez.wendy.meditechf@outlook.com

### Type(s)

**Public** 

### Contact name

Mr Santiago Cardona

### Contact details

Calle 7A # 44-103
cali
Colombia
760036
+ 57 324 59 31186
cardona.santiago.meditechf@outlook.com

# Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Ms Wendy Gonzalez

### Contact details

Calle 7A # 44-103
Cali
Colombia
760036
+57 310 537 4949
proyectos@meditechhubcol.org

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Dr Andrés M Rubiano

### **Contact details**

Calle 7a #44-103 Cali Colombia

# Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

Nil known

# Study information

### Scientific Title

Comparative effectiveness study on catheter locking device versus standard ventriculostomy for surgical management of traumatic brain injury patients with intracranial compartment syndrome

### **Acronym**

**SEALS-TBI** 

### Study objectives

The objective of this study is to determine the outcomes of standard ventriculostomy versus the use of a locking system for the ventricular catheter in the surgical management of patients with TBI and intracranial compartment syndrome.

# Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

# Ethics approval(s)

approved 31/01/2025, Meditech Ethics and Research Committee (Calle 7A # 44-103, Cali, 760036, Colombia; +57 602 372 06 72; comitedeeticainvestigacion@meditechhubcol.org), ref: CEIM-2024-12-101

# Study design

Prospective multicentric observational comparative-effectiveness study

# Primary study design

Observational

# Study type(s)

Efficacy

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Intracranial compartment syndrome in traumatic brain injury patients

### **Interventions**

The study will include patients with traumatic brain injury and intracranial compartment syndrome managed either by standard ventriculostomy or ventriculostomy plus a locking system device.

### Methodological Description:

### **Enrollment:**

Participants are screened upon admission to the emergency room after experiencing a traumatic brain injury (TBI). Eligibility is determined using clinical and imaging criteria, including abnormal brain CT scans and evidence of intracranial compartment syndrome (ICCS), which is defined as a primary intracranial injury generating loss of intracranial compliance and generating risk for brain tissue hypoxia and/or hypoperfusion. Informed consent is obtained from participants or their legal representatives as many of these patients can arrive with a low level of consciousness due to the TBI.

### Surgical Intervention:

Participants are assigned to one of two groups:

Standard Ventriculostomy: Involves inserting a catheter into the brain's ventricular system to drain cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and reduce intracranial pressure (ICP). This is a common procedure performed in this type of patient. Do not include a novel technique.

Ventriculostomy with a Locking Device: This intervention is the same procedure, but it will be performed with an additional approved device, a locking system that secures the catheter in place, reducing the risk of displacement and associated complications.

The system requires internal approval by regulatory entities in each of the participant countries. Most of them already have approval and the others are in an internal approval process. Countries cannot start collecting data until they have the internal government approval for the device distribution by internal medical suppliers.

### Follow-Up and Monitoring:

Observation During Hospitalization:

Patients with this type of procedure always go for postoperative management to intensive care units (ICUs), independent of the study. Follow-up is performed by ICU personnel and includes systemic multimonitoring and they evaluate catheter functionality, and complications such as infections or displacement. They regularly require head imaging control with CT not specifically associated with the study (is an observational CEI study). The data collectors of the study will be collecting physiological variables and descriptions of catheter integrity on a daily basis from the clinical records of the ICU. The follow-up will include the first two weeks after surgery, but in general, these types of catheters do not remain in place for more than 8 days. Regularly they are taken out by the neurosurgery service between 5 to 7 days after insertion. Data monitoring will be performed by the central research team in permanent contact with the local investigators.

# Long-Term Follow-Up:

Data will be collected from the medical record until discharge or transfer of the patient and by telephone interview at 3, 6, and 12 months after the admission. The telephone follow-up will include the application of the Glasgow Outcome Scale - Extended version (GOSE), and evaluations of functional recovery.

### Duration:

Total Observation: Participants will be observed continuously during their hospital stay.

### **Data Collection and Sources:**

Data will be collected from the medical records.

Demographic and Clinical Data: Includes age, sex, baseline health, and mechanism of trauma.

Surgical Outcomes: Will include the catheter placement, patency and complications if any reported in the medical record or observed inside the ICU.

Functional Outcomes: Evaluated from the medical records and GOSE scores.

Data Sources: Data will be collected from medical records, direct observations, and after discharge by telephone interviews conducted by trained personnel.

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### Primary outcome(s)

Functional outcomes measured using the Glasgow Outcome Scale Extended at discharge, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months after surgery

### Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Control of intracranial compartment syndrome measured using ICP waveform via invasive and non-invasive devices and/or non-invasive neuromonitoring techniques (digital pupillometry, optic nerve sheath diameter ultrasonographic measurement and transcranial Doppler) daily during ICU admission
- 2. Frequency and type of neurosurgical interventions required measured using data collected from medical records when possible and telephone follow-up as an alternative until the end of the follow-up at 12 months after the initial surgery
- 3. Medical and surgical treatment intensity measured from the ICU according to the categories of stratified management of intracranial pressure proposed in the study methodology that is based on international guidelines for the management of intracranial hypertension at discharge
- 4. Surgical site complications measured using data collected from medical records when possible and telephone follow-up as an alternative until the end of the follow-up at 12 months after the initial surgery
- 5. ICU and in-hospital length of stay measured using data collected from medical records at hospital discharge

# Completion date

28/02/2027

# **Eligibility**

### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. TBI patients arriving at the emergency room in the first 24 hours following trauma
- 2. Abnormal CT scan, with a primary injury including any epidural, intracerebral or subdural collection with a midline shift >3 mm and any basal cistern compression with at least 2 abnormal findings in the initial evaluation at the emergency room including optic nerve ultrasound > 6 mm on the eye at the same side of the CT's primary injury or and/or an abnormal pupillometry with a reduced MCV in the pupil of the same side of the CT's primary injury, or/and a TCD with PI > 1.3 and/or MCA-DV < 20 cm/seg on the same side of the CT's primary injury or/and a P2>P1 waveform pattern in the same side of the CT's primary injury.
- 3. Age 18 to 70 years old
- 4. Patients with or without polytrauma with survival expectancy >24 hours
- 5. Cranial decompression or cranial expansion surgical procedures less than 24 hours from the trauma

### Participant type(s)

Patient

# Healthy volunteers allowed

No

### Age group

Mixed

### Lower age limit

18 years

### Upper age limit

70 years

### Sex

All

### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. TBI patients arriving at the emergency room after 24 hours following trauma
- 2. Normal CT scan at the emergency room
- 3. Abnormal CT scan at the emergency room with any primary injury and a midline shift less than 3mm or without basal cistern compression and with normal values in at least two different modalities of assessing ICCS (pupillometry, optic nerve sheath ultrasound, transcranial Doppler and/or non-invasive ICP waveform analyzer
- 4. Age less than 18 or more than 70 years old
- 5. Polytrauma or massive brain injury with survival expectancy <24 hours
- 6. Cranial decompression or cranial expansion surgical procedures performed >24 hours after the trauma

# Date of first enrolment

30/04/2025

### Date of final enrolment

01/02/2027

# **Locations**

### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Bolivia

Brazil

Cambodia

Cameroon

Chile

China
Colombia
Dominican Republic
Ecuador
Egypt
Guatemala
India
Italy
Mexico
Nigeria
Paraguay
Peru
Philippines
Rwanda
Serbia
South Africa
Spain
Tanzania
Thailand
United States of America
Venezuela

Study participating centre
Hospital Universitario del Valle Evaristo García
Calle 5 ·#36-08
Cali
Colombia
760042

# Study participating centre Hospital de Kennedy

Carrera 78 #41A-85 Sur Bogota Colombia 110871

# Study participating centre Clínica Santa Gracia

Calle 14 Norte #9-15 Popayan Colombia 190003

# Study participating centre Clinica Abel Gonzalez

Avenida Independencia #101 Santo Domingo Dominican Republic 10109

# Study participating centre Hospital de Emergencia Jose Casimiro Ulloa

Av. Roosevelt 6355 Lima Peru 150122

# Study participating centre Hospital Nacional Daniel Alcides Carrion

Av. Guardia Chalaca 2176 Callao Peru 07001

# Study participating centre Hospital Belen de Trujillo

Jiron Bolivar 350 Trujillo Peru 13001

# Study participating centre Hospital Miguel Pérez Carreño

Av. San Martín, a la altura de Maternidad Caracas Venezuela 1020

# Study participating centre Hospital Central de Maracay

Av. Las Delicias, Maracay Maracay Venezuela 2101

# Study participating centre Hospital Enrique Tejera

Av. Lisandro Alvarado Valencia Venezuela 2001

# Study participating centre Hospital das Clínicas da FMUSP

Av. Dr. Enéas de Carvalho Aguiar, 255 São Paulo Brazil 05403-900

# Study participating centre Fundação Hospitalar Getúlio Vargas

Avenida Apurinã, número 4, en el barrio Praça 14 de Janeiro Manaus Brazil 69020

# Study participating centre Hospital Obrero No. 1 Calle Lucas Jaimes 76

La Paz Bolivia 0000

# Study participating centre Hospital Regional San Juan de Dios

Calle Santa Cruz Tarija Bolivia 0000

# Study participating centre Hospital Clínico Mutual de Seguridad

Av. Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins Santiago de chile Chile 4848

# Study participating centre Hospital Puerto Montt

Los Aromos 65, Puerto Montt Los Lagos Chile 5480000

# Study participating centre Hospital General San Juan de Dios

1ra Avenida "A" 10-50 Ciudad de Guatemala Guatemala 01010

# Study participating centre Hospital Roosevelt

Calzada Roosevelt Ciudad de Guatemala Guatemala 01011

# Study participating centre Hospital del Trauma Prof. Dr. Manuel Giagni

Av Gral Máximo Santos Asunción Paraguay 1500

# Study participating centre Hospital de Especialidades Eugenio Espejo

Av. Gran Colombia Quito Ecuador 170403

# Study participating centre Hospital General Monte Sinai

Av. Gran Colombia Quito Ecuador 170515

# Study participating centre Hospital General Docente Ambato

Av. Pasteur y Unidad Nacional Ambato Ecuador 180104

# Study participating centre Hospital General Rodríguez Zambrano

Barrio Sta. Martha Calle 12 V-A San Mateo Manta Ecuador 130213

# Study participating centre University College Hospital

Queen Elizabeth Road, Ibadan, Oyo State Ibadan Nigeria 200212

# Study participating centre Rwanda Military Hospital

Street KK739ST, Kanombe, Distrito de Kicukiro Kigali Rwanda 250

# Study participating centre Garoua Regional Teaching Hospital

Boulevard Docteur Jamot, Garoua, Región Norte Garoua Cameroon 237

# Study participating centre El Qasr El Ainy Hospital

27 Nafezet Sheem El Shafaey St, Kasr Al Ainy Cairo Egypt 11562

# Study participating centre Muhimbili Orthopaedic Hospital

Kalenga Street, West Upanga, Dar es Salaam Tanzania 141111

# Study participating centre Bicol Regional Hospital and Medical Center

Rizal St. 4501 Daraga Philippines 4501

# Study participating centre Techo Santepheap National Hospital

JRC6+JR Win Win Blvd, Phnom

Penh Cambodia 12000

# Study participating centre Khon Kaen Hospital

54 Sri Chant Rd, Nai Mueang, Mueang Khon Kaen District Khon Kaen Thailand 40000

# Study participating centre

Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Policlinico Umberto I

Viale del Policlinico, 155, 00161 Roma RM, Italia Rome Italy 0649971

# Study participating centre

Neurosurgical Clinic University Clinical Centre of Serbia

Dr Koste Todorovića 4, Beograd Belgrado Serbia 11000

# Study participating centre

Centro Diagnóstico de Especialistas Ltda

Calle 13 No. 11-75, Riohacha, Guajira Riohacha Colombia 440001

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Meditech Foundation

# Funder(s)

Funder type Industry

Funder Name

**NTPlast** 

# **Results and Publications**

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request from MS Dr Wendy Gonzalez (gonzalez.wendy.meditecf@outlook.com). Participant-level data (IPD) collected during the study will be securely stored in a private repository managed using institutional REDCap software based on our internal server. The server and the repository are not publicly accessible, ensuring the confidentiality and protection of participant information. The data will be organized and maintained according to strict data management protocols, including anonymization procedures to remove personally identifiable information. Access to the repository will be limited to authorized personnel involved in the study, and all data usage will comply with ethical and legal standards. Additional details about data sharing, including the timing of availability and any restrictions, will be included in the study record once finalized. Databases for the study will be available upon request to any of our standard channels of communication.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in non-publicly available repository, Available on request

### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes