ISRCTN18289782 https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN18289782

QualDash: Designing and evaluating an interactive dashboard to improve quality of care

Submission date
30/10/2017

Registration date
09/11/2017

Last Edited
14/07/2022

Recruitment status
No longer recruiting

Overall study status
Completed

Condition category
Other

[X] Prospectively registered

[X] Protocol

[ ] Statistical analysis plan

[X] Results

[ ] Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims
Over 100 audits are undertaken in the NHS, each focusing on a different area of care. They provide healthcare professionals, managers, and those responsible for planning NHS services (commissioners) with important information about whether a hospital is meeting expected standards and how the care provided compares to other hospitals. This can encourage hospitals to make improvements. However, some healthcare professionals and managers have found it difficult to make use of audit information. One way that might help people to understand the information better is to present it in a visual or graph-based form, known as a 'dashboard'. Dashboards are already being used in the NHS but existing dashboards are still images, presenting information in a standard format. The aim of this project is to use computer technology to develop a dashboard that is interactive, allowing healthcare professionals, managers, and commissioners to easily and quickly explore audit information to understand where improvements in care delivery should be made.

Who can participate?
Staff from NHS hospitals and NHS commissioners.

What does the study involve?
Staff and commissioners are interviewed to gather their ideas about how audit information is used, challenges in using audit information, and how these challenges might be overcome. These ideas are used to design a dashboard and to develop a plan for introducing the dashboard so healthcare professionals, managers, and commissioners understand why the dashboard is being introduced and know how to use it. The design of the dashboard depends on what healthcare professionals, managers, and commissioners tell us is useful and will be tailored to meet the needs of different users. It is likely to include the ability for staff to: compare a hospital's performance with that of hospitals located nearby and hospitals that are further away but of similar size; explore how much the performance of a hospital varies, identifying areas where there is room for improvement but also identifying areas where there is good practice that can be disseminated more widely; see a visual image of how a hospital's performance has changed over time, to help them decide if changes in the way care is delivered are having the anticipated effect; and explore the relationship between different types of information recorded in the audit and how this compares with other hospitals, for example seeing if differences in outcomes

for patients are due to differences in how care is delivered or how the hospital is resourced. The dashboard is made available to the 5 NHS hospitals and commissioners via the internet and participants are observed for one year on how it is used. Staff interviews are done to get feedback and use this to make improvements to the dashboard during this time. The amount that the dashboard is used is recorded and the quality of care in hospitals that did and did not use the dashboards are compared. The findings will provide knowledge about the impacts of the dashboard, which features of the dashboard provide most benefit, and what is needed to support the use of the dashboard.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?
Staff who participate in the study will have the opportunity to inform both the design of an interactive web-based quality dashboard and the strategy for introducing the dashboard, helping to ensure that the dashboard meets their needs. Over a one year period, staff will have the opportunity to use the dashboard to explore audit data and use it to inform quality improvement initiatives.Risks and burdens for staff are anticipated to be minimal. The most significant burden will be on staff time, and so on all data collection activities a balance will be sought between obtaining the data needed for the study with ensuring that these activities do not place unnecessary burden on staff time or cause fatigue. The part of the study that involves observing how people use the dashboard may be perceived by staff as an intrusion, and therefore presentations will be given in each Trust to explain the purpose of the research. No observations of patient care will be undertaken.

Where is the study run from?
The study is run from the University of Leeds (UK) and involves 5 NHS Trusts.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
October 2017 to June 2020

Who is funding the study?
National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact?
Dr Rebecca Randell
r.randell@leeds.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Rebecca Randell

ORCID ID
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5856-4912

Contact details
Faculty of Health Studies
University of Bradford
Bradford
United Kingdom

BD7 1DP
+44 (0)1274 234144
r.randell@bradford.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
34994

Study information

Scientific Title
QualDash: Designing and evaluating an interactive dashboard to improve quality of care

Study objectives
The aim of this study is to develop and evaluate QualDash, an interactive web-based quality dashboard that supports clinical teams, quality sub-committees, NHS Trust boards, and commissioners to better understand and make use of National Clinical Audit data, thereby leading to improved quality of care and clinical outcomes.

Ethics approval required
Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)
University of Leeds School of Healthcare Research Ethics Committee (SHREC), 03/08/2017, ref: HREC16-044

Study design
Non-randomised; Both; Design type: Process of Care, Complex Intervention, Management of Care, Qualitative

Primary study design
Interventional

Study type(s)
Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied
Generic health relevance (organisation and delivery of services)

Interventions
The project is based on MRC guidance for the design and evaluation of complex interventions. To ensure that QualDash has a robust theoretical basis and to enhance the probability of its widespread implementation, the principles of realist evaluation (which involves building, testing and refining the theories of how and in what contexts an intervention works) are combined with the principles of co-design. The project comprises five phases:

Phase 1: Interviews with members of clinical teams, quality sub-committees, and boards across five NHS acute Trusts and relevant commissioners are used to articulate how NCA data are currently used (or not) in practice, identifying blockages to effective use and how these might be

overcome. Interviews consider a range of NCAs but focus on the Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP) and the Paediatric Intensive Care Audit Network (PICANet). Initial requirements for the design of QualDash derived from the interview data are discussed at a workshop with suppliers of other NCAs to determine which requirements are generalisable to all NCAs.

Phase 2: QualDash is developed through an iterative process, involving focus groups with clinical teams, quality sub-committee members, and board members from one Trust, relevant commissioners, and patients and carers. A controlled user experiment assesses comprehension, usability, and acceptability of QualDash prototypes, in comparison with existing formats for feedback of NCA data.

Phase 3: An implementation strategy for QualDash, tailored to the five Trusts and relevant Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs), isdeveloped through focus groups with clinical teams, quality sub-committees, and boards from the five Trusts and relevant commissioners. Planned implementation activities are delivered across the five Trusts and with relevant commissioners.

Phase 4: QualDash is made available in the five Trusts and relevant CCGs. A controlled interrupted time series (CITS) analysis investigates the impacts of QualDash, using process measures from the included NCAs. Ethnographic observations and interviews over 12 months provide insight into contexts and mechanisms that lead to those impacts. A questionnaire is used to gather data on perceived usefulness of QualDash.

Phase 5: The feasibility of conducting a cluster randomised controlled trial (CRT) of QualDash is assessed. If progression criteria are met, a CRT will be designed, using the CITS results to decide what effect size the trial should be powered to detect. Two focus groups explore the suitability of QualDash for a range of other NCAs.

Intervention Type
Other

Primary outcome(s)
1. For MINAP, the primary outcome is the composite process measure Cumulative Missed Opportunities for Care (CMOC), which has nine components (pre-hospital ECG, acute use of aspirin, timely perfusion, prescription at hospital discharge of aspirin, thienopyridine inhibitor, ACE-inhibitor, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, beta blocker, referral for cardiac rehabilitation). This will be calculated using monthly audit data obtained from MINAP for 24 months pre-intervention and 12 months post-intervention.
2. For PICANet, the primary outcome is the use of non-invasive ventilation first for patients requiring ventilation. Monthly data will be obtained from PICANet for 24 months pre-intervention and 12 months post-intervention.

Key secondary outcome(s))
1. Data completeness for MINAP and PICANet is measured using monthly data obtained from MINAP and PICANet for 24 months pre-intervention and 12 months post-intervention
2. Additional secondary outcomes to be included in the CITS will be determined on the basis of findings from Phase 1 regarding interviewees' perceptions of the value of particular measures and the extent to which they can be impacted by quality improvement initiatives and through consultation with our Lay Advisory Group.
3. Percentage of intended users who use QualDash, with data collected from log files over the 12 month period of the evaluation, complemented by qualitative data collected through

observations to understand how and in what contexts QualDash is used at 12 months
4. Perceived usefulness of QualDash and intention to continue using it after the study period is assessed using data collected through a questionnaire based on the Technology Acceptance Model, complemented by qualitative data collected through semi-structured interviews at 12 months

Completion date
31/12/2020

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria
Staff who work in the participating NHS Trusts and relevant commissioners. Within the each Trust, we will recruit members of relevant clinical teams (cardiology, paediatric intensive care), the quality sub-committee, and Trust boards.

Participant type(s)
Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed
No

Age group
Adult

Sex
All

Total final enrolment
61

Key exclusion criteria
Participants who do not fulfil the inclusion criteria.

Date of first enrolment
13/11/2017

Date of final enrolment
30/11/2020

Locations

Countries of recruitment
United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust
Leeds
United Kingdom
LS1 3EX

Sponsor information

Organisation
University of Leeds

ROR
https://ror.org/024mrxd33

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies Co-ordinating Centre (NETSCC)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan
Beyond inclusion of anonymised quotations from interviews and focus groups and field note extracts in publications and presentations, the qualitative datasets generated during the current study are not expected to be made available. This is because of the recognised challenges of making sense of qualitative data without an understanding of the context in which it was gathered. The questionnaire data will be available upon request from Rebecca Randell (r. randell@leeds.ac.uk), following publication of the final report, subject to the necessary ethical approvals being obtained. To access the audit data used for the CITS, this will need to be requested from the audit suppliers.

IPD sharing plan summary
Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		01/05/2022	14/07/2022	Yes	No
Protocol article		25/02/2020	11/05/2021	Yes	No