# Real-world evaluation of robot-assisted surgical services

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>			
07/07/2022		[X] Protocol			
Registration date 10/10/2022	Overall study status Completed Condition category Surgery	Statistical analysis plan			
		Results			
Last Edited		[] Individual participant data			
01/08/2025		[X] Record updated in last year			

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

This study aims to work out if robot-assisted surgery (RAS), a relatively new development, should be routinely available in the NHS and also assess any barriers to its implementation. Over the next 20 years, surgery performed with the help of a robot is expected to increase rapidly around the world, especially for cancer conditions. Previous research shows that when RAS has been introduced in some clinical areas, like urology, it can help surgeons be more precise and can reduce a patient's hospital stay. Using RAS may also speed up training for surgeons to enable them to become experts more quickly. However, RAS has not been tested in all clinical areas and is very expensive with each robot costing over £ 1 million). Also, when RAS is introduced into hospitals it requires special consideration as the set-up can be disruptive. It is not yet clear whether the benefits to patients or the health system of doing surgery this way is worth the cost and the disruption. This study aims to answer that question and provide guidelines for the best way of doing it if robotic surgery is shown to be useful.

We have designed the research to be able to measure the impact of RAS as it is introduced in the UK and scaled up in other hospitals currently performing robotic surgery but planning to expand services. It will study the effects of RAS as it is rolled out at 16 different sites in a planned way. We will measure what happens to patients who get RAS as part of the service and compare their outcomes (e.g., complications, recovery time) to conventional surgery. We will also track how introducing RAS impacts on the staff and the surgeons, and how it affects wider care in hospitals across the country.

This study aims to undertake a real-world, large-scale evaluation of the introduction and scaleup of RAS services evaluating its impact on NHS service delivery, clinical effectiveness, budget and cost-effectiveness.

Who can participate?

Any patient undergoing the specified surgical procedure at a participating hospital site

What does the study involve?

The study involves patients completing a questionnaire before and after surgery to evaluate

their experience of the procedure. We will also interview and survey staff involved with REINFORCE at sites, and calculate the total cost to the NHS (e.g., labour, consumables and other items of surgical equipment).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There is no direct benefit to participants, but the results of the study are likely to benefit future NHS patients undergoing surgery. Outside of the usual risks associated with surgery and anaesthetic, there are no anticipated risks or disadvantages to participating in the REINFORCE study.

Where is the study run from?

Surgical Intervention Trials Unit (SITU), University of Oxford and Centre for Healthcare Randomised Trials (CHaRT), University of Aberdeen (United Kingdom)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2022 to August 2025

Who is funding the study?
NIHR Health and Social Care Delivery Research (NIHR HSDR) (United Kingdom)

Who is the main contact? REINFORCE@ndorms.ox.ac.uk

### Contact information

#### Type(s)

Public

#### Contact name

Dr Emma Blackmore-Bowes

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5848-5333

#### Contact details

NDORMS
University of Oxford
Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre
Oxford
United Kingdom
OX3 7HE
+44 (0)7721 491938
REINFORCE@ndorms.ox.ac.uk

#### Type(s)

Principal investigator

#### Contact name

Prof David Beard

#### ORCID ID

#### https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7884-6389

#### Contact details

NDORMS
University of Oxford
Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre
Oxford
United Kingdom
OX3 7HE
+44 (0)1865 227695
David.Beard@ndorms.ox.ac.uk

#### Type(s)

Principal investigator

#### Contact name

**Prof Marion Campbell** 

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5386-4097

#### Contact details

Health Services Research Unit University of Aberdeen Foresterhill Aberdeen United Kingdom AB25 2ZD +44 (0)1224 273161 m.k.campbell@abdn.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

#### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)

311223

#### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

#### Protocol serial number

CPMS 53026, IRAS 311223

## Study information

Scientific Title

A real-world, in-situ, evaluation of the introduction and scale-up of robot-assisted surgical services in the NHS: Evaluating its impact on clinical and service delivery, effectiveness and cost (the REINFORCE study)

#### Acronym

REINFORCE

#### Study objectives

This study aims to undertake a real-world, large-scale evaluation of the introduction and scale-up of Robot-Assisted Surgery (RAS) services evaluating its impact on NHS service delivery, clinical effectiveness, budget and cost-effectiveness.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

This is an observational study of healthcare professionals. The Sponsor, University of Oxford, reviewed the study as a Service Evaluation project and indicated the project does not need to be submitted for REC review.

#### Study design

Observational cohort study

#### Primary study design

Observational

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Evaluation of robot-assisted surgery

#### **Interventions**

- 1. Study design: A stepped-wedge evaluation with integrated process evaluation and economic assessment.
- 2. Study sites: NHS hospitals planning to introduce/expand robot-assisted surgery (RAS) services. Sites will be switched over from non-RAS to RAS-augmented services (or switch up from one level of provision to another) in random order
- 3. Study participants (stepped-wedge evaluation): All patients undergoing the index procedure (RAS or otherwise) at each site across all time periods of the study
- The project is embedded in normal NHS care and it is intended to be non-selective (all patients undergoing surgery for the index procedure are candidates).
- 4. Participants (process evaluation): Three key personnel including surgeons, theatre staff and service managers will be sampled from 6 REINFORCE sites and invited to interview. Additionally, 3-4 commissioners will also be interviewed and sampled from across the suite of trial sites. The sample size overall will be approximately 40 interviews.

Sample Size: 2,560 procedures

- 5. Objectives:
- 5.1. Impact of RAS system transformation on clinical and service delivery
- 5.2. Budget impact and cost-effectiveness to the NHS of the introduction of RAS at scale

- 5.3. Potential benefits and harms of RAS across and within speciality areas
- 5.4. Mechanisms of change underpinning any change in outcome, including surgeon training

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Primary outcome(s)

- 1. Patient level:
- 1.1. Disease-specific quality of life measured using procedure-specific PROM at baseline and 3 months
- 1.2. Overall quality of life measured using the EQ-5D questionnaire at baseline and 3 months
- 1.3. Overall measure of treatment effectiveness/benefit measured using a Patient Questionnaire at baseline and 3 months
- 1.4. Overall measure of complications inc. mortality measured using Clavien-Dindo score at 3 months
- 2. Surgeon/Team level:
- 2.1. Precision/accuracy measured using Surgeon Task Load Index (TLX) on the day of surgery
- 2.2. Visualisation measured using Surgeon Task Load Index (TLX) on the day of surgery
- 3. Organisation level:
- 3.1. Equipment failure measured using a Surgery Form on the day of surgery
- 3.2. Standardisation of operative quality measured using process evaluation interviews pre/peri/post-robot-assisted surgery (RAS) implementation
- 3.3. Overall economic/cost-effectiveness measured using Health Economics review throughout the study
- 4. Population level:
- 4.1 Equity of access measured using Health Economics review throughout the study

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

There are no secondary outcome measures

#### Completion date

30/08/2025

## **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

All patients undergoing the index procedure (robot-assisted surgery or otherwise) at each site across all time periods

### Participant type(s)

Patient

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 years

#### Sex

All

#### Total final enrolment

2836

#### Key exclusion criteria

Does not meet the inclusion criteria

#### Date of first enrolment

01/07/2022

#### Date of final enrolment

31/05/2025

### Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

**United Kingdom** 

England

Wales

## Study participating centre University Hospital of Wales

Cardiff Urology
Dept of Urology
Cardiff & Vale University Health Board
Heath Park
Cardiff
United Kingdom
CF144XW

### Study participating centre University Hospital of Wales

Cardiff Colorectal
Dept of Gastroenterology
Cardiff & Vale University Health Board, University Hospital of Wales
Heath Park,
Cardiff
United Kingdom
CF144XW

#### Ysbyty Gwynedd

Betsi Cadwaladr Gynaecology Dept of Gynaecology Penrhosgarnedd Bangor Gwynedd United Kingdom LL57 2PW

#### Study participating centre University Hospital of Wales

Cardiff Gynaecology
Dept of Gynaecological Oncology
Cardiff & Vale University Health Board
Heath Park
Cardiff
United Kingdom
CF144XW

## Study participating centre Norfolk & Norwich University Hospital

Colney Lane Colney Norwich United Kingdom NR4 7UY

#### Study participating centre North Bristol NHS Trust

Southmead Hospital Southmead Road Westbury-on-trym Bristol United Kingdom BS10 5NB

## Study participating centre South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

James Cook University Hospital Marton Road Middlesbrough United Kingdom TS4 3BW

#### Study participating centre Swansea Bay University Local Health Board

Tonna Hospital Tonna Uchaf Tonna Neath United Kingdom SA11 3LX

#### Study participating centre Stockport NHS Foundation Trust

Stepping Hill Hospital Poplar Grove Stockport United Kingdom SK2 7JE

## Study participating centre Salford Royal Hospital

Stott Lane Eccles Salford United Kingdom M6 8HD

#### Study participating centre Salisbury District Hospital

Salisbury District Hospital Odstock Road Salisbury United Kingdom SP2 8BJ

## Study participating centre Wrightington Hospital NHS Trust

Hall Lane Wrightington Wigan United Kingdom WN6 9EP

## Study participating centre

#### Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Local Health Board

Dewi Sant Hospital Albert Road Pontypridd United Kingdom CF37 1LB

## Study participating centre Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

John Radcliffe Hospital Headley Way Headington Oxford United Kingdom OX3 9DU

#### Study participating centre Barts Health NHS Trust

The Royal London Hospital 80 Newark Street London United Kingdom E1 2ES

## Sponsor information

#### Organisation

University of Oxford

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/052gg0110

## Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Government

#### Funder Name

National Institute for Health and Care Research Evaluation, Trials and Studies Co-ordinating Centre (NETSCC); Grant Codes: NIHR131537

#### Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

#### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

United Kingdom

## **Results and Publications**

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request from the Surgical Intervention Trials Unit (SITU) at situ@ndorms.ox.ac.uk. Further details will be made available at a later date.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

#### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details			Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
<u>Protocol file</u>	version 3.0	20/03/2025	30/05/2025	No	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes