

# Laryngeal mask vs endotracheal tube for bariatric surgery

<b>Submission date</b> 26/08/2008	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 18/09/2008	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 18/09/2008	<b>Condition category</b> Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Ulderico Freo

**Contact details**  
Istituto di Anestesiologia  
Dipartimento di Farmacologia e Anestesiologia  
Via C. Battisti, 267  
Padova  
Italy  
35121  
+39 (0)49 821 3094  
ulderico.freo@unipd.it

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
1615P

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Comparison study on ventilation with laryngeal mask and with endotracheal tube for bariatric surgery

## Study objectives

Ventilation with laryngeal mask results in better recovery profile than ventilation with endotracheal tube in obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee, Padua Hospital on 25/07/2008.

## Study design

Randomised double-blind (patient, evaluating physician) trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Obesity

## Interventions

Ventilation with laryngeal mask vs ventilation with endotracheal tube

## Intervention Type

Other

## Phase

Not Specified

## Primary outcome measure

1. Time to independent walking after the end of anesthesia

## **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Ventilatory parameters
2. Postoperative morbidity
3. Patient and surgeon satisfaction

## **Overall study start date**

08/01/2008

## **Completion date**

08/01/2011

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Both males and females, age >18 years
2. American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) classification I-III
3. Obese patients (body mass index [BMI] >30) undergoing bariatric surgery
4. Written informed consent

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

## **Age group**

Adult

## **Lower age limit**

18 Years

## **Sex**

Both

## **Target number of participants**

124

## **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Psychiatric disorders
2. Drug abuse
3. Known or possible pregnancy
4. Previous airway pathology
5. Pulmonary diseases
6. History of gastro-oesophageal reflux

## **Date of first enrolment**

08/01/2008

## **Date of final enrolment**

08/01/2011

# **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Italy

**Study participating centre**

Istituto di Anestesiologia

Padova

Italy

35121

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Department of Pharmacology and Anaesthesiology, Padua University (Italy)

**Sponsor details**

c/o Prof Carlo Ori

Istituto di Anestesiologia

Dipartimento di Farmacologia e di Anestesiologia

Università di Padova

Padova

Italy

35121

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Website**

<http://www.istar.unipd.it>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/00240q980>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

University/education

**Funder Name**

Department of Pharmacology and Anaesthesiology, Padua University (Italy)

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration