

# A comparison of psoas compartment and subarachnoid blocks for 'fast track' total hip arthroplasty

<b>Submission date</b> 28/09/2007	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 28/09/2007	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 01/09/2015	<b>Condition category</b> Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Rakesh Tandon

### Contact details

Consultant Anaesthetist  
Department of Anaesthetics  
Box 93  
Addenbrooke's Hospital  
Cambridge  
United Kingdom  
CB2 2QQ

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

### Secondary identifying numbers

N0544183632

# Study information

## Scientific Title

A comparison of psoas compartment and subarachnoid blocks for 'fast track' total hip arthroplasty

## Study objectives

The aim of our study is to compare the reduction in morphine consumption, length of hospital stay and quality of rehabilitation in patients managed with intravenous patient controlled analgesia (PCA) with morphine combined with a subarachnoid block versus PCA morphine combined with a psoas compartment block for total hip replacement.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Total hip arthroplasty

## Interventions

The aims of our study are to compare, in patients undergoing total hip replacement (THR) operation, the reduction in morphine consumption, length of hospital stay, side effects and quality of rehabilitation in patients managed with intravenous patient controlled analgesia (PCA) with morphine combined with a subarachnoid block versus PCA morphine combined with a psoas compartment block for total hip replacement.

Informed consent will be taken from all patients recruited to the study. General anaesthesia will be administered to all patients in the anaesthetic room.

Two groups of 25 patients each will be required to detect a significant difference in outcome. Patients in both groups will receive morphine intraoperatively (the dose being decided on basis of their weight) and PCA morphine postoperatively.

Patients in Group A will have a psoas compartment block while patients in Group B will have a subarachnoid block. Patients in both the groups will receive PCA morphine for post operative analgesia. All patients will also receive paracetamol and ibuprofen postoperatively if there are no contraindications to use of these medicines. To ensure validity and reproducibility of the study, it is important to ensure that every patient recruited for the study should have an equal chance of being assigned to either of the two groups. This is called randomisation and will be performed by a drawing of a card with a computer-generated number that will assign the patient to either of the two groups. The card will carry a number, which can be cross-referenced to the technique performed. Only this number will appear on the data collection sheet and will be used to identify the patient. The anaesthetist performing the block will not be involved in further intra-operative management of the patient. The surgeons, physiotherapists and nursing staff collecting the data postoperatively will also be blinded to the patient's group.

In the postoperative period, data on morphine consumption as determined by use of the PCA pump will be collected. Pain scores will be recorded by a trained nurse. Hip and knee flexion, straight leg raise and mobility scores will be recorded by the attending physiotherapist. The number of days to discharge from the hospital will also be recorded. The collected data will be statistically analysed for differences between the two groups.

Traditional techniques for THR surgery are associated with significant post-operative pain, which, without adequate analgesia, lead to unnecessary morbidity, and a delay in recovery and subsequent discharge. Regional blockade has reduced the need for opioid analgesia and thereby reduces their potential side effects. Many studies have shown that a regional technique not only reduces opioid requirement but significantly improves a patient's outcome in terms of rehabilitation, analgesia, patient satisfaction and time to discharge. In addition, in hip surgery, regional blockade has been shown to reduce blood loss and thrombo-embolic events. Psoas compartment and subarachnoid blocks for total hip replacement operation have been evaluated in a few studies but there is lack of consensus on their efficacy in providing pain relief and reducing morphine consumption.

Total hip replacement has been performed for more than forty years with admirable success and is currently a very cost-effective procedure, which contributes significantly to a patient's quality of life. We propose to evaluate effect of psoas compartment and subarachnoid blocks in patients undergoing THR.

### **Intervention Type**

Drug

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Morphine

### **Primary outcome measure**

Reduction in peri-operative morphine consumption

### **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Early mobility
2. Early rehabilitation

**Overall study start date**

01/08/2006

**Completion date**

01/08/2007

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. All patients, between the ages of 18 and 85 years undergoing total hip replacement (THR) at the Addenbrooke's NHS Trust
2. ASA physical status I to III

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Upper age limit**

85 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

50

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. ASA greater than III
2. Age below 18 and above 85 years old
3. Weight or BMI exclusion <50 or> 120 kg [BMI <20>35]
4. Inability to use a PCA (dementia, psychiatric problems, intellectual disability)
5. Contraindication to NSAIDs (asthmatics with significant sensitivity to aspirin, renal impairment, severe liver dysfunction, history of gastric ulceration or bleeding)
6. Opioid dependence or chronic pain syndromes
7. Contraindication to neuro-axial blockade or regional techniques - bleeding diathesis, anti-coagulation, absolute local anaesthetic (LA) allergy, localised infection, concurrent peripheral neuropathy or nerve injury

**Date of first enrolment**

01/08/2006

**Date of final enrolment**

01/08/2007

## **Locations**

### **Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

### **Study participating centre**

**Addenbrooke's Hospital**

Cambridge

United Kingdom

CB2 2QQ

## **Sponsor information**

### **Organisation**

Record Provided by the NHSTCT Register - 2007 Update - Department of Health

### **Sponsor details**

The Department of Health, Richmond House, 79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

+44 (0)20 7307 2622

dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

### **Sponsor type**

Government

### **Website**

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en>

## **Funder(s)**

### **Funder type**

Government

### **Funder Name**

Cambridge Consortium - Addenbrooke's (UK), Own Account NHS R&D Support Funding

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration