# A randomised controlled trial to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of a domiciliary rehabilitation scheme versus usual care in the rehabilitation of stroke patients.

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
23/01/2004		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
23/01/2004	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
15/01/2010	Circulatory System			

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Paul Roderick

#### Contact details

Institute of Public Health Medicine
University of Southampton
Level B, South Academic Block
Southampton General Hospital
Tremona Road
Southampton
United Kingdom
SO16 6YD
+44 (0)2380 796 532
pjr@soton.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

## ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

# Study information

## Scientific Title

## **Study objectives**

- 1. To evaluate the outcomes of a new domiciliary rehabilitation service for stroke patients and their carers in comparison with the usual care provided in geriatric day hospitals.
- 2. To estimate the costs of the different approaches and to thereby determine the relative cost-effectiveness of the two models.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Study setting(s)

Other

# Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Cerebrovascular disease

## **Interventions**

- 1. Domiciliary rehabilitation
- 2. Care from geriatric day hospitals

# Intervention Type

Other

#### **Phase**

## **Not Specified**

## Primary outcome measure

Assessments at baseline 3, 6 and 12 months post entry to study.

- 1. Patient functional status and quality of life:
- 1.1. Barthel index
- 1.2. Rivermead mobility index
- 1.3. Philadelphia Geriatric Morale Scale
- 1.4. Frenchay Activities Index
- 1.5. SF36
- 2. Carer outcomes:
- 2.1. Carer strain index
- 2.2. SF36
- 2.3.GHQ
- 3. Resource use
- 3.1. NHS:
- 3.1.1. rehabilitation
- 3.1.2. inpatient/outpatient
- 3.1.3. GP
- 3.1.4. district nurse
- 3.1.5. speech therapy
- 3.2. Social services:
- 3.2.1. home help
- 3.2.2. meal on wheels
- 3.2.3. day centre
- 4. Costs of domiciliary rehabilitation, day hospital visit including transport

## Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

## Overall study start date

01/04/1995

## Completion date

31/12/1997

# Eligibility

## Kev inclusion criteria

Stroke patients age 55+ who required rehabilitation post discharge

## Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

## Age group

Senior

#### Sex

Both

## Target number of participants

140 (added 15/01/10; see publication)

## Key exclusion criteria

Terminal illness, need for day hospital care for social or medical reasons

## Date of first enrolment

01/04/1995

## Date of final enrolment

31/12/1997

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

England

**United Kingdom** 

# Study participating centre Institute of Public Health Medicine

Southampton United Kingdom SO16 6YD

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

NHS R&D Regional Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

## Sponsor details

The Department of Health Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL +44 (0)20 7307 2622 dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

## Sponsor type

Government

#### Website

http://www.doh.gov.uk

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

NHS Executive South West (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/07/2001		Yes	No