

Randomised controlled trial and cost effectiveness study of targeted screening versus systematic population screening for atrial fibrillation in the over 65s: the SAFE study

Submission date 25/04/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 25/04/2003	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 13/01/2015	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof FD Richard Hobbs

Contact details

Department of Primary Care & General Practice
University of Birmingham
The Medical School
Birmingham
United Kingdom
B15 2TT
+44 (0)121 415 8019
f.d.r.hobbs@bham.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

HTA 96/22/11

Study information

Scientific Title

Randomised controlled trial and cost effectiveness study of targeted screening versus systematic population screening for atrial fibrillation in the over 65s: the SAFE study

Acronym

SAFE

Study objectives

1. To establish the incremental cost effectiveness of different screening options (targeted or population screening) compared with routine clinical practice for detection of AF in over 65s.
2. To determine the value of clinical factors and echocardiography in the process of risk stratification for thromboembolic disease in patients with AF.
3. To determine optimal method of AF diagnosis and ECG interpretation.
4. To assess implications for service provision should screening for AF become a national programme.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Screening

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Cardiovascular diseases: Heart disease

Interventions

Screening vs control.

24,000 patients aged over 65 will be identified from approximately 24 purposefully selected general practices from the West Midlands. Patient randomisation will result in 5,000 patients invited for screening with 5,000 control patients from the same practice (Principal-control patients). Control practices will provide a further 4,000 control patients (Practice-controls). Prospective identification of pre-existing risk factors for AF within the screened population (estimated at 2,000 patients) will enable comparison between high risk targeted screening and total population screening. Study outcomes will identify the clinical and cost effectiveness of overall screening strategy (2 options compared), actual screening method (4 methods compared), and screening test interpretation (4 options evaluated).

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

Key secondary outcome(s))

Not provided at time of registration.

Completion date

31/05/2003

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients over 65 years

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Senior

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration.

Date of first enrolment

01/06/2000

Date of final enrolment

31/05/2003

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Department of Primary Care & General Practice
Birmingham
United Kingdom
B15 2TT

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/03sbpja79>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	25/08/2007		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/06/2014		Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	29/07/2004		Yes	No