# A randomised controlled trial of triclosan coated sutures in primary total hip and total knee arthroplasty

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
25/07/2013		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
20/08/2013	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
30/07/2019	Surgery			

# Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Although safer than ever, infections after hip and knee replacements remain a challenging problem. Managing such infections often requires a long course of treatment and can lead to unhappy patients with poor function of the joint. Researchers are always looking for ways to prevent infection, as it has been proven that prevention, rather than treatment, provides the best outcome for patients. The aim of this study is to find out whether sutures (stitches) coated with an antiseptic agent called triclosan are able to reduce infections within a surgical wound, in people having total hip and total knee replacements. Triclosan is not a new drug and has been used for more than 30 years in toothpaste, cosmetics and antiseptic soaps. Triclosan-coated sutures have been successfully used to reduce infections after heart surgery, abdominal surgery and neurosurgery. It is hoped that the use of triclosan-coated sutures will work in a similar way when used in total hip and total knee replacements.

#### Who can participate?

Patients aged 18 or over undergoing primary hip or knee replacements in the Department of Trauma and Orthopaedics at University College London Hospital

# What does the study involve?

The patients are randomly allocated to one of two groups. One group of participants receives triclosan-coated sutures during surgery and a second group receives an ordinary suture without triclosan. Neither the patient nor the investigator know which group the patient will be in. At the end of the operation the deep layers of the wound are stitched using either the triclosan-coated suture or the ordinary suture. The outside skin is closed as normal, using clips for both groups. This is the only difference between the two groups. The patient then receives our standard postoperative treatment for people undergoing total hip or total knee replacements. There is an extra clinic for the patient to attend at the hospital 2 weeks after the operation for inspection of the wound and removal of the skin clips rather than having that done at the GP surgery. Additionally, at the time of discharge the patient is given a simple yes/no questionnaire regarding their wound, which they are asked to complete and return in a pre-paid envelope two months after the operation.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

It is not known for certain if triclosan-coated sutures will improve the wound healing or reduce infection rates in total hip and total knee replacements. However, there is a chance that these sutures will improve recovery time and joint function for hip and knee replacements. There may not be any benefit to you directly if you are placed in the group which will receive an ordinary suture without triclosan. Minimal inflammation of the surrounding tissues, localised irritation when skin sutures are left in place for greater than 7 days (sutures used in this study will only be used to close the deep layers of the wound), and slower absorption (>70 days) in tissues with poor blood supply as well as allergic reactions in the form of a rash or contact dermatitis have been reported with the use of triclosan. One study showed that triclosan-coated sutures increased the risk of wound separation in breast surgery. However, this was not supported by findings from other studies. Whilst rarely serious, the occurrence of any side effects will be sought while the patient is in hospital and at each subsequent hospital visit. The patient will be asked about hospitalisations, consultations with other medical practitioners and appropriate treatment will be provided according to the underlying problem.

Where is the study run from?
University College London Hospital (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? August 2013 to August 2015

Who is funding the study? University College London (UK)

Who is the main contact? Prof. Fares Haddad

# Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

**Prof Fares Haddad** 

**Contact details** 

University College London Hospital 235 Euston Road London United Kingdom NW1 2BU

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

Protocol version 8

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial of Polyglactin 910 Triclosan coated sutures versus standard Polyglactin 910 sutures in patients undergoing primary unilateral hip and knee arthroplasty

## **Study objectives**

The hypothesis is that triclosan coated sutures may be associated with better wound healing characteristics and fewer infections than standard sutures, and as a result may potentially be more appropriate for total hip and total knee arthroplasty wound closures.

# Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

# Ethics approval(s)

National Research Ethics Service (NRES) Committee London - Harrow, 03/06/2013

# Study design

Single-centred double-blind randomised controlled trial

# Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

Treatment

# Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Primary total hip and knee replacement patients

#### Interventions

Participants will be randomly assigned to receive coated polyglactin 910 sutures with triclosan (Vicryl Plus; Ethicon, Inc.) or conventional sutures (coated polyglactin 910 °C Vicryl; Ethicon, Inc.).

# Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Phase

#### Primary outcome measure

Healing characteristics, using the ASEPSIS wound scoring method devised in 1986 by Wilson et al at University College London Hospitals

## Secondary outcome measures

Secondary objectives include recording data pertaining to demographics, procedure type, length of operating time, plus patient factors believed to influence wound healing and infection risk. Complications associated with using both sutures and their influence on early discharge will also be noted, as this may result in improved patient outcomes, cost effectiveness and long term prosthesis survival.

## Overall study start date

15/08/2013

#### Completion date

15/08/2015

# **Eligibility**

# Key inclusion criteria

Adult patients undergoing unilateral primary total hip or knee replacement

## Participant type(s)

Patient

## Age group

Adult

#### Sex

Both

# Target number of participants

420

#### Total final enrolment

150

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Undergoing unilateral primary total hip or knee replacement for trauma
- 2. Undergoing a revision procedure or with a previous incision in the operative field
- 3. History of tendency for keloid formation
- 4. Allergy to triclosan/vicryl
- 5. Bleeding tendency (e.g. haemophilia and platelet disorders) or on regular anticoagulation treatment (e.g. warfarin, treatment dose of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) or conventional heparin)
- 6. Underlying malignancy and immunocompromised status
- 7. Dementia and mental illnesses preventing informed consent
- 8. Children (age <18 years)

#### Date of first enrolment

15/08/2013

# Date of final enrolment

15/08/2015

# Locations

# Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

# Study participating centre University College London Hospital

London United Kingdom NW1 2BU

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

University College London (UK)

# Sponsor details

UCLH/UCL Biomedical Research Unit 1st Floor, Maple House 149 Tottenham Court Road London England United Kingdom W1T 7DN

# Sponsor type

University/education

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/02jx3x895

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

University/education

#### **Funder Name**

University College London (UK)

# Alternative Name(s)

University College London in United Kingdom, Collegium Universitatis Londinensis, UCL

# **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

# **Funding Body Subtype**

Universities (academic only)

#### Location

United Kingdom

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

#### Intention to publish date

05/05/2019

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

#### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	18/07/2019		Yes	No