# The long-term impact on deaths and costeffectiveness of screening for ovarian cancer using a blood test and ultrasound

Submission date	Recruitment status	[X] Prospectively registered		
06/04/2000	No longer recruiting	Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
06/04/2000	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
14/03/2025	Cancer			

#### Plain English summary of protocol

https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/find-a-clinical-trial/a-study-looking-at-screening-the-general-population-for-ovarian-cancer

## Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Usha Menon

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3708-1732

#### Contact details

MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL
Institute of Clinical Trials & Methodology
University College London
90 High Holborn, 2nd Floor
London
United Kingdom
WC1V 6LJ
+44 (0)20 7670 4649
u.menon@ucl.ac.uk

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

#### **Prof Ian Jacobs**

#### Contact details

Study contact for randomised controlled trial only University of New South Wales Sydney Australia NSW 2052 +61 (0)2 93851000 i.jacobs@unsw.edu.au

## Additional identifiers

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

NCT00058032

Protocol serial number

Current Version 9.0

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

UK Collaborative Trial of Ovarian Cancer Screening (UKCTOCS) and the long-term impact of screening on ovarian cancer mortality in UKCTOCS

#### Acronym

**UKCTOCS and LTFU UKCTOCS** 

#### Study objectives

Current hypothesis as of 24/08/2020:

Hypothesis 1 – Preclinical detection by screening can reduce mortality from ovarian cancer (OC). Hypothesis 2 – OC mortality can be reduced without unacceptable physical and psychological morbidity.

Hypothesis 3 – OC mortality can be reduced at an acceptable economic cost to the health service. Hypothesis 4 – If population screening for OC were introduced compliance would be high enough for an impact on overall mortality from OC to be achievable.

#### Previous hypothesis as of 01/10/2015:

Hypothesis 1 – Preclinical detection by screening can reduce mortality from Ovarian Cancer (OC).

Hypothesis 2 - OC mortality can be reduced without unacceptable physical and psychological morbidity.

Hypothesis 3 - OC mortality can be reduced at an acceptable economic cost to the health service.

Hypothesis 4 - If population screening for OC were introduced compliance would be high enough for an impact on overall mortality from OC to be achievable

#### Original hypothesis:

- 1. To establish the impact of preclinical detection of ovarian cancer by screening on ovarian cancer mortality
- 2. To determine the physical morbidity of ovarian cancer screening
- 3. To determine the resource implications of screening and the interventions which result from

#### screening

- 4. To record the psychological consequences of screening in the subgroups of true negative, true positive, false negative and false positive screening results
- 5. To assess the feasibility of population screening for ovarian cancer as reflected by uptake of invitations and compliance rates with annual screening
- 6. To compare the performance of two screening strategies for ovarian cancer
- 7. To establish a serum bank for future assessment of novel tumour markers

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

North West Medical Research and Ethics Committee (renamed to North West – Haydock), 21/06 /2000, ref: 00/8/034

#### Study design

Part 1: Randomised controlled trial

Part 2: Observational longitudinal follow up study

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Screening

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Tubo-ovarian cancer

#### Interventions

Current intervention as of 24/08/2020:

Three groups:

- 1. A control group (no screening)
- 2. A multimodal group (annual screening with serum CA125 interpreted using the Risk of Ovarian Cancer Algorithm (ROCA) as the primary test and CA125/ROCA and ultrasound as the secondary test)
- 3. An ultrasound group (annual screening with ultrasound as the primary test and repeat ultrasound in 6-8 weeks as the secondary test)

Participants will be followed up through national cancer and death registries and hospital administrative databases via data linkage using their NHS number and follow-up questionnaires.

Quality of life questionnaires will be sent to women newly diagnosed with ovarian cancer.

Previous intervention:

Randomised controlled trial:

Three groups:

- 1. A control group (no screening)
- 2. A multimodal group (annual screening with serum CA125 interpreted using the Risk of Ovarian Cancer Algorithm (ROCA) as the primary test and CA125 and ultrasound as the

secondary test)

3. An ultrasound group (annual screening with ultrasound as the primary test and repeat ultrasound in 6 - 8 weeks as the secondary test)

Observational longitudinal follow up study:

Eligible women will be followed up through national cancer and death registries and hospital administrative databases via data linkage using their NHS number till 31st June 2019. Quality of life questionnaires will be sent to women newly diagnosed with ovarian cancer

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome(s)

Current primary outcome measure as of 24/08/2020:

**UKCTOCS:** 

Ovarian cancer mortality at 7 years after randomisation. Death due to ovarian cancer, defined by WHO 2003 criteria, as determined by independent outcomes committee review of patient notes of all women identified through data linkage and postal follow-up to have a 'possible ovarian cancer' (pre-specified list of International Classification of Disease codes) up to 31st December 2014.

Long term impact of screening on ovarian cancer mortality in UKCTOCS (LTFU UKCTOCS): Death due to ovarian cancer, defined by WHO 2014 criteria as determined by independent outcomes committee review of patient notes of all women identified through data linkage to have a 'possible ovarian cancer' (pre-specified list of International Classification of Disease codes) up to 30th June 2020.

Previous primary outcome measure as of 04/01/2017:

Randomised controlled trial:

Ovarian cancer mortality at 7 years after randomisation. Death due to ovarian cancer, defined by WHO 2003 criteria, as determined by independent outcomes committee review of patient notes of all women identified through data linkage and postal follow-up to have a 'possible ovarian cancer' (pre-specified list of International Classification of Disease codes) till 31st December 2014.

Observational longitudinal follow up study:

Death due to ovarian cancer, defined by WHO 2014 criteria as determined by independent outcomes committee review of patient notes of all women identified through data linkage to have a 'possible ovarian cancer' (pre-specified list of International Classification of Disease codes) till 31st December 2018.

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

Current secondary outcome measures as of 24/08/2020: UKCTOCS:

1. Performance characteristics: Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values of the two screening strategies (multimodal and ultrasound) for detection of ovarian cancer diagnosed within 1 year of last screen. Ovarian cancer diagnosis is based on outcomes review of medical notes of all women who developed ovarian cancer during the trial.

- 2. Surgical complications in women who underwent false positive surgery and were found to have benign or normal adnexae. This is assessed through central medical note review and assigned by designated trial gynaecological oncologist.
- 3. Cost-effectivenesss of the multimodal (MMS) and ultrasound screening (USS) strategies separately comparing them to a no-screening arm:
- 3.1. Incremental cost-effectiveness analysis over the 14-year period of the trial (censorship 31st Dec 2014)
- 3.2. Incremental cost-effectiveness analysis for the cumulative mortality estimated over a 25-year period by extrapolating beyond the 14 years of the trial
- 4. Compliance with annual screening: The proportion of women who attended all tests that formed part of an annual screening episode of the total who were eligible for that annual screening episode. Psychological morbidity related to screening assessed in a separate MRC funded study, UKCTOCS Psychosocial study, PI Prof Dame Lesley Fallowfield.

#### LTFU UKCTOCS:

Cost-effectiveness of ovarian cancer screening: This will be assessed using individual patient datafrom English (Hospital Episodes Statistics), Welsh (Patient Episode Database for Wales) and Northern Ireland hospital administrative databases. The data will be augmented with resource data collected on individual diagnostic tests and treatment through medical record review. All unit costs will be based on NHS Reference Costs with additional costs as reported by the relevantPersonal Social Services Research Unit Cost exercise.

Previous secondary outcome measures as of 04/01/2017: Randomised controlled trial:

- 1. Performance characteristics: Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values of the two screening strategies (multimodal and ultrasound) for detection of ovarian cancer diagnosed within one year of last screen. Ovarian cancer diagnosis is based on outcomes review of medical notes of all women who developed ovarian cancer during the trial.
- 2. Surgical complications in women who underwent false positive surgery and were found to have benign or normal adnexae. This is assessed through central medical note review and assigned by designated trial gynaecological oncologist.
- 3. Cost-effectivenesss of the multimodal (MMS) and ultrasound screening (USS) strategies separately comparing them to a no-screening arm:
- 3.1. Incremental cost-effectiveness analysis over the 14 year period of the trial (censorship 31st Dec 2014)
- 3.2. Incremental cost-effectiveness analysis for the cumulative mortality estimated over a 25-year period by extrapolating beyond the 14 years of the trial
- 4. Compliance with annual screening: The proportion of women who attended all tests that formed part of an annual screening episode of the total who were eligible for that annual screening episode. Psychological morbidity related to screening assessed in a separate MRC funded study, UKCTOCS Psychosocial study, PI Prof Dame Lesley Fallowfield.

#### Observational longitudinal follow up study:

Cost-effectiveness of ovarian cancer screening: This will be assessed using individual patient data from English (Hospital Episodes Statistics), Welsh (Patient Episode Database for Wales) and Northern Ireland hospital administrative databases. The data will be augmented with resource data collected on individual diagnostic tests and treatment through medical record review. All unit costs will be based on NHS Reference Costs with additional costs as reported by the relevant Personal Social Services Research Unit Cost exercise.

Previous secondary outcome measures as of 01/10/2015:

1. Performance characteristics of the two screening strategies (serum CA125 versus ultrasound)

- 2. Physical morbidity resulting from surgical intervention attributable to screening
- 3. Psychological consequences of screening
- 4. Resource implications of screening and the resulting interventions
- 5. Feasibility of screening, as reflected by compliance rates with annual screening
- 6. Establish a serum bank for future assessment of novel tumour markers

#### Completion date

31/12/2024

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Aged 50 74 years
- 2. Postmenopausal: either
- 2.1. Greater than 12 months amenorrhoea following a natural menopause or hysterectomy, or
- 2.2. Greater than 12 months of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) commenced for menopausal symptoms

#### Participant type(s)

Healthy volunteer

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

50 years

#### Upper age limit

74 years

#### Sex

Female

#### Total final enrolment

202638

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. History of bilateral oophorectomy
- 2. Currently active non-ovarian malignancy. Women who have a past history of malignancy will only be eligible if:
- 2.1. They have no documented persistent or recurrent disease, and
- 2.2. They have not received treatment for more than 12 months
- 3. Women who have had an ovarian malignancy in the past
- 4. Women at high risk of ovarian cancer due to familial predisposition as defined by the eligibility criteria for the UK Familial Ovarian Cancer Screening Study (UKFOCSS)
- 5. Women participating in other ovarian screening trials

# Date of first enrolment 17/04/2001

Date of final enrolment

## Locations

29/09/2005

#### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Northern Ireland

Wales

# Study participating centre UKCTOCS Coordinating Centre - UCL (2001-2018

Gynaecological Cancer Research Centre
Department of Women's Cancer
Institute for Women's Health, UCL
London
United Kingdom
W1T 7DN

Study participating centre
University College London - Tumour Marker Laboratory (2001-2012)
London
United Kingdom
WC1E 6BT

Study participating centre Belfast City Hospital Belfast United Kingdom BT9 7AB

Study participating centre St Michael's Hospital Bristol United Kingdom BS2 8EG

# Study participating centre University of Wales College of Medicine Cardiff United Kingdom CF14 4XN

Study participating centre
Derby City General Hospital
Derby
United Kingdom
DE22 3NE

Study participating centre Queen Elizabeth Hospital Gateshead United Kingdom NE9 6SX

Study participating centre Liverpool Women's Hospital Liverpool United Kingdom L8 7SS

Study participating centre Royal Free Hospital London United Kingdom NW3 2QG

Study participating centre St Bartholomew's Hospital London United Kingdom EC1A 7BE

# Study participating centre Manchester Royal Infirmary

Manchester United Kingdom M13 9WL

Study participating centre
James Cook University Hospital
Middlesbrough
United Kingdom
TS4 3BW

Study participating centre Llandudno General Hospital Gwynedd United Kingdom LL30 1LB

Study participating centre Nottingham City Hospital Nottingham United Kingdom NG5 1PB

Study participating centre St Mary's Hospital Portsmouth United Kingdom W2 1NY

Study participating centre
UKCTOCS Coordinating Centre - MRC CTU at UCL (since 2018)
Institute of Clinical Trials & Methodology
University College London
London
United Kingdom
WC1V 6LJ

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

University College London (UK)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/02jx3x895

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Research council

#### **Funder Name**

Medical Research Council (UK)

#### Alternative Name(s)

Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), UK Medical Research Council, MRC

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

**United Kingdom** 

#### **Funder Name**

Cancer Research UK

#### Alternative Name(s)

CR\_UK, Cancer Research UK - London, Cancer Research UK (CRUK), CRUK

#### **Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

Other non-profit organizations

#### Location

United Kingdom

#### Funder Name

Department of Health (UK)

#### Funder Name

The Eve Appeal (UK)

#### Funder Name

Health Technology Assessment Programme

#### Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, Health Technology Assessment (HTA), HTA

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

United Kingdom

### **Results and Publications**

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The individual participant data that underlie the results reported in The Lancet May 2021 article, after de-identification will be available upon request beginning 12 months after publication from the MRC CTU at UCL (mrcctu.datareleaserequest@ucl.ac.uk). Researchers will need to state the aims of any analyses and provide a methodologically sound proposal. Data requestors will need to sign a data access agreement, cover administrative costs and in keeping with patient consent for secondary use, obtain ethical approval for any new analyses.

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient- facing?
Results article	results	01/08 /2006		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/05 /2007		Yes	No
Results article	results	13/11 /2008		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/04 /2009		Yes	No
	results	10/08			

Results article		/2010	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/01 /2011	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/03	Yes	No
<u></u>	results	/2011 01/11		
Results article	resuits	/2011	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/01 /2012	Yes	No
Results article	results	10/04 /2012	Yes	No
Results article	results	05/06 /2012	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/09 /2012	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/11 /2012	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/01 /2013	Yes	No
Results article	results	15/01 /2013	Yes	No
Results article	results	28/05 /2013	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/10 /2013	Yes	No
Results article	results	26/11 /2013	Yes	No
Results article	results	03/03 /2014	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/05 /2014	Yes	No
Results article	results	30/05 /2014	Yes	No
Results article	results	27/06 /2014	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/08 /2014	Yes	No
Results article	results	24/09 /2014	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/12 /2014	Yes	No
Results article	results	15/01 /2015	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/02 /2015	Yes	No
Results article	results	17/03 /2015	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/04 /2015	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/04 /2015	Yes	No
Results article	results	20/06 /2015	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/07 /2015	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/07 /2015	Yes	No

Results article	results	14/07 /2015		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/10 /2015		Yes	No
Results article	results	10/01 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/02 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	Key results discussing primary analysis	, 05/03 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 01/04 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 01/06 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 15/06 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 25/06 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 09/11 /2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 03/01 /2017		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 06/03 /2017		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 11/04 /2017		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 01/06 /2017		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 28/06 /2017		Yes	No
Results article	results	27/03 /2018		Yes	No
Results article	results	, 15/04 /2020	16/04 /2020	Yes	No
Results article	results	, 01/10 /2019	, 22/07 /2020	Yes	No
Results article	results	, 25/01 /2021	, 27/01 /2021	Yes	No
Results article	results on ultrasound strategy performance	18/02 /2021	08/03 /2021	Yes	No
Results article	Key long term follow up results	, 05/06 /2021	, 13/08 /2021	Yes	No
Results article	Exploratory analysis	, 01/09 /2023	, 04/09 /2023	Yes	No
Results article	Ovarian cancer symptoms in pre-clinical invasive epithelial ovarian cancer	17/11 /2023	20/11 /2023	Yes	No
Results article	Key primary and secondary outcome results	11/05 /2023	14/03 /2025	Yes	No
Other publications	update	01/03 /2021	03/03 /2021	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11 /2025	11/11 /2025	No	Yes
Plain English results		,	,	No	Yes
Study website	Study website	11/11 /2025	11/11 /2025	No	Yes