

# A randomised-controlled trial comparing bubble and flow driver continuous positive airway pressure in preterm infants in a resource poor setting

<b>Submission date</b> 09/04/2007	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 09/05/2007	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 21/04/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Respiratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Pavel Mazmanyman

**Contact details**  
Mashtots ave. 22  
Yerevan  
Armenia  
375002  
+374 (8)91 41 65 89  
pavelart@gmail.com

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
N/A

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

A randomised-controlled trial comparing bubble and flow driver continuous positive airway pressure in preterm infants in a resource poor setting

### **Study objectives**

There are two principal devices currently available for the administration of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP). These are the flow driver and bubble systems. The flow driver is now in established use in the developed world; there is currently renewed interest in the bubble CPAP system. The systems differ substantially in cost with bubble CPAP considerably cheaper. However their efficacy has not been formally compared. In order to be adopted as a standard of care it would be necessary to demonstrate that bubble CPAP is as or more efficacious than flow driver CPAP.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Institutional Review Board (IRB) committee for clinical studies, American University of Armenia, 09/03/2007

### **Study design**

Randomised and controlled, minimisation by sex and antenatal steroids

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Neonatal Diseases: respiratory

### **Interventions**

Trial procedures are exactly as would be performed in the course of standard care; the only difference is the selection of type of CPAP by randomisation.

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Total days receiving CPAP until the time of discharge from the unit.

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Infants requiring ventilation
2. Deaths
3. Pneumothorax rate
4. Facial/nasal excoriation

Outcomes will be measured at the time of discharge from the unit.

### **Completion date**

30/03/2009

# Eligibility

## Key inclusion criteria

1. Preterm infants
2. Parental consent

## Participant type(s)

Patient

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

## Age group

Neonate

## Sex

All

## Key exclusion criteria

Major life-threatening congenital malformation.

## Date of first enrolment

24/03/2007

## Date of final enrolment

30/03/2009

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Armenia

## Study participating centre

Mashtots ave. 22

Yerevan

Armenia

375002

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Scientific Research Centre of Maternal and Child Health (Armenia)

ROR

https://ror.org/00zw38x02

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Charity

### Funder Name

Birthlink (UK)

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/01/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes