

Development of the PriCARE classification for potentially preventable ambulance emergency department visits

Submission date 12/09/2020	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 17/09/2020	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 25/01/2023	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background

Ontario's emergency departments (EDs) have increasingly become burdened with demand for emergency services exceeding most EDs ability to provide quality and timely care. Despite advancements in administrative, political, health policy, access to primary care, and public awareness initiatives, EDs have continued to remain overutilized with increases to the time of initial physician assessment, care received, discharge, and overall wait time for patients. Additionally, EDs have become congested by patients with non-emergency complaints, when alternative care for their conditions may be more appropriate, would strengthen patient relationships with primary care physicians and are more cost-effective.

Ambulance transport diversion from ED destinations to sub-acute centres does not exist in Ontario, Canada for patients with non-emergency conditions who call for emergency services (911). Conceptually this model of care could improve several healthcare domains such as hospital use, patient navigation, quality of care, or ambulance use. Use of urgent care centres and other sub-acute healthcare units have been shown to decrease the proportion of low acuity (non-emergency) ED cases and provides similar services to EDs but in a limited capacity.

Currently, there is not a gold standard to classify non-emergency patients whose ED visits could be potentially preventable for transport to sub-acute centres. Therefore, an original classification is required to increase the understanding of categorizing ambulance transported patients and to inform future clinical decisions, based on in-hospital outcomes and interventions received. This study aims to develop such a classification.

Who can participate?

Ontario physicians who practice in an emergency department and/or primary care centres.

What does the study involve?

This study will use a RAND/UCLA modified Delphi methodology to survey and assess the consensus of a technical expert committee, composed of Ontario physicians, through a two-phase development and evaluation model. Phase one will determine which ED interventions

could be conducted in primary care sub-acute centre destinations and phase two will evaluate the criterion for inclusion in a PriCARE classification that is appropriate as a primary care-like visit and could yield the highest specificity of a same-day discharge ED visit, with no hospital admission or mortality.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The results of this study will add a new classification to the scientific literature for categorizing patients who are transported to the ED and receive primary care-like visits. This classification will potentially support further research into new models of preventative care as well as ambulance diversion to sub-acute centres. In addition, this study will provide patient-level evidence to inform prospective research to validate the PriCARE classification

No known risks to experts are anticipated as a result of participating in this study. The technical expert committee will be asked to rank secondary data interventions and variations of a classification in a two-phase RAND/UCLA modified Delphi study design. The investigators do not anticipate this being difficult to rate as the experts are practicing physicians.

Where is the study run from?

McMaster University (Canada)

The participating experts of the technical expert committee will be contributing through online means only (questionnaires, video debriefing).

When is the study starting and for how long?

From May 2020 to August 2021

Who is funding the study?

Big Data and Geriatric Models of Care, McMaster University (Canada)

Who is the main contact?

Mr Ryan P Strum

strumr@mcmaster.ca

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mr Ryan Strum

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1902-4734>

Contact details

1280 Main Street West

CLRB 106

Hamilton

Canada

L8S 4L8
+1 416-898-1160
strumr@mcmaster.ca

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Andrew Costa

Contact details
1280 Main Street West
CLR-219
Hamilton
Canada
L8S 4K1
+1 (905) 525-9140, ext. 22067
acosta@mcmaster.ca

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number
Nil known

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number
Nil known

Secondary identifying numbers
Nil known

Study information

Scientific Title
Development of the PriCARE classification for potentially preventable ambulance emergency department visits: a RAND/UCLA modified Delphi study protocol

Acronym
PriCARE

Study objectives
A new paramedic-relevant classification for potentially preventable ED visits is valid and reliable and can be used to support new models of preventative care as well as ambulance diversion to sub-acute levels of care.

Ethics approval required
Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Granted a waiver exemption 29/07/2020, Hamilton Integrated Research Ethics Board (HiREB; 293 Wellington Street, Suite 102, Hamilton ON, L8L 8E7; +1 905 521 2100; trimks@mcmaster.ca), ref: 2020-11451

Study design

Two-phase RAND/UCLA modified Delphi study design

Primary study design

Other

Secondary study design

Study setting(s)

Internet/virtual

Study type(s)

Prevention

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet.

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Canadian Classification of Health Interventions conducted in Ontario emergency departments, as reported in the National Ambulatory Care Reporting System database on patients with non-emergent acuities.

Interventions

This study will use a RAND/UCLA modified Delphi methodology to assess the consensus of a technical expert committee through a two-phase development and evaluation model. Phase one will determine which Emergency Department (ED) interventions could be conducted in primary care sub-acute centre destinations and phase two will evaluate the criterion for inclusion in a PriCARE classification that is appropriate as a primary care-like visit and could yield the highest specificity of a same-day discharge ED visit, with no hospital admission or mortality.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome measure

1. The consensus of the technical expert committee of ED interventions in phase one
2. The consensus of the technical expert committee of a PriCARE classification in phase two

Secondary outcome measures

1. Analysis of the PriCARE classification that achieves concordance amongst the technical expert committee, analyzed in the National Ambulatory Care Reporting System ED database from years 2014-2018 for associations of patient-level characteristics with a PriCARE classification, in phase two

Overall study start date

01/05/2020

Completion date

01/08/2021

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Experienced physicians with extensive knowledge in emergency medicine or primary care
2. Active healthcare physician who, at the time of the study, is involved in the care of patients in an emergency department and/or primary care centre

Participant type(s)

Health professional

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

14-18

Total final enrolment

21

Key exclusion criteria

1. Non-physician clinicians
2. Physicians not practicing in an emergency or primary care context
3. Physicians not practicing in Canada.

Date of first enrolment

15/10/2020

Date of final enrolment

15/11/2020

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Canada

Study participating centre

McMaster University

1280 Main Street West

Hamilton

Canada

L8S 4L8

Sponsor information

Organisation

McMaster University

Sponsor details

1280 Main Street West

Hamilton

Canada

L8S 4L8

+1 905-525-9140

hrmasst@mcmaster.ca

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

<https://healthsci.mcmaster.ca/hei-hrm>

ROR

<https://ror.org/02fa3aq29>

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

McMaster University

Alternative Name(s)

McMaster, Mac, McMaster Univ., McMaster-Carr

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

Location

Canada

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

The study results will be published in a scientific peer-reviewed journal and presented at various conferences.

Intention to publish date

01/10/2021

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data collected from the technical expert committee will be analyzed and published as aggregate results; no individual scores will be reported. Each expert participant will be assigned a unique identification (ID) number, and all data will be stored under this ID. No participant level data will be shared with anyone outside of the participant themselves, and all other data from this study will be presented as aggregate. No personal information or patient level data is to be transferred within the study. All participants of this study will have their anonymity maintained by the researchers. All documents will be stored securely and are only assessable by the investigators.

IPD sharing plan summary

Other

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article	protocol	20/01/2021	22/01/2021	Yes	No
Results article		11/01/2022	28/10/2022	Yes	No
Results article	expert consensus on patient-level characteristics	24/01/2023	25/01/2023	Yes	No