

Assessment of Geriatric Team as a new medical technology

Submission date 23/11/2006	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 03/01/2007	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 19/09/2007	Condition category Signs and Symptoms	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Lars Erik Matzen

Contact details
Department of Geriatric Medicine, G
Odense University Hospital
Odense C
Denmark
DK-5250
lars.matzen@ouh.fyns-amt.dk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
262-67-1999

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

Geriatric Team

Study objectives

Do home visits have advantages when compared to outpatient or in-hospital assessment of patients referred to a geriatric department?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Study is approved by the Local Ethical Committee (the Ethical Committee of Fyen and Vejle Counties), on the 3rd April 2000 (ref. no.: 20000057).

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Multimorbidity, functional decline

Interventions

Home visit performed by a multidisciplinary team:

- 1: Acute patients are randomised to next day home visits by the geriatric team or to next admission to the geriatric wards
- 2: Elective patients are randomised to home visits by the geriatric team or to the outpatient clinic

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

1. Hospital admissions
2. Patient satisfaction

Secondary outcome measures

Cost-effectiveness

Overall study start date

01/12/2001

Completion date

01/12/2003

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

All patients referred to the geriatric department and able to give informed consent

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Senior

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

600

Key exclusion criteria

Patients unable to give informed consent

Date of first enrolment

01/12/2001

Date of final enrolment

01/12/2003

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Denmark

Study participating centre

Department of Geriatric Medicine, G

Odense C

Denmark

DK-5250

Sponsor information

Organisation

National Board of Health (Denmark)

Sponsor details

Centre for Education and Health Technology Assessment

Sundhedsstyrelsen

Islands Brygge 67

Copenhagen

Denmark

DK-2300

cemtv@sst.dk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.sst.dk/Planlaegning_og_behandling/Medicinsk_teknologivurdering.aspx?lang=en

ROR

<https://ror.org/04r17y386>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

National Board of Health (Denmark) - Center for Evaluation of Medical Technology (ref: 262-67-1999)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration