Computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention with and without fluoroscopic control: what are the benefits for the patient and the doctor?

Recruitment status	 Prospectively registered
30/09/2005 No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol
Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
Completed	Results
Condition category	Individual participant data
Surgery	Record updated in last year
	No longer recruiting Overall study status Completed Condition category

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Andy Lowe

Contact details

Department of Clinical Radiology Bradford Royal Infirmary Duckworth Lane Bradford United Kingdom BD9 6RJ +44 (0)1274 364492 andylowe@doctors.org.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N0050149623

Study information

Scientific Title

Computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention with and without fluoroscopic control: what are the benefits for the patient and the doctor?

Study objectives

Does the introduction of fluoroscopy in computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention decrease procedure time and dose exposure and does it make the procedure technically easier and improve outcome?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Computed tomography-quided interventions

Interventions

All patients attending for CT-guided interventions (biopsies and drainages) are invited to take part. Patients randomised to have procedure in conventional manner with CT guidance (controls) or with additional fluoroscopy. Time taken to have procedure, effective dose to patient, operator and assistant, patient comfort and acceptability, number of passes /complications and degree of technical success measured.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

- 1. Dose product to patient
- 2. Effective dose to patient
- 3. Effective dose to doctor and nurse/assistant
- 4. Hand dose to doctor
- 5. Screening time

All measured using electronic dose meters

- 6. Comfort and acceptability Questionnaire
- 7. BIOPSY Number of passes, complications and diagnostic specimens
- 8. DRAINAGES Number of passes, Complications and Technical success

Secondary outcome measures

No secondary outcome measures

Overall study start date

28/05/2004

Completion date

28/11/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

All adult in-patients and out-patients attending for CT guided biopsies and drainages

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

50

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Acute emergency cases
- 2. Inability to give informed consent
- 3. Unconscious patients

Date of first enrolment

28/05/2004

Date of final enrolment

28/11/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Bradford Royal Infirmary Bradford

Bradford United Kingdom BD9 6RJ

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health

Sponsor details

Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL +44 (0)20 7307 2622 dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

Funder Name

Own account funding (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration