Computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention with and without fluoroscopic control: what are the benefits for the patient and the doctor?

Submission date 30/09/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	 Prospectively registered Protocol
Registration date 30/09/2005	Overall study status Completed	 Statistical analysis plan Results
Last Edited 05/07/2016	Condition category Surgery	 Individual participant data Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr Andy Lowe

Contact details

Department of Clinical Radiology Bradford Royal Infirmary Duckworth Lane Bradford United Kingdom BD9 6RJ +44 (0)1274 364492 andylowe@doctors.org.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers N0050149623

Study information

Scientific Title

Computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention with and without fluoroscopic control: what are the benefits for the patient and the doctor?

Study objectives

Does the introduction of fluoroscopy in computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention decrease procedure time and dose exposure and does it make the procedure technically easier and improve outcome?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s) Not provided at time of registration

Study design Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s) Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Computed tomography-guided interventions

Interventions

All patients attending for CT-guided interventions (biopsies and drainages) are invited to take part. Patients randomised to have procedure in conventional manner with CT guidance (controls) or with additional fluoroscopy. Time taken to have procedure, effective dose to patient, operator and assistant, patient comfort and acceptability, number of passes /complications and degree of technical success measured.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

- 1. Dose product to patient
- 2. Effective dose to patient
- 3. Effective dose to doctor and nurse/assistant
- 4. Hand dose to doctor
- 5. Screening time

All measured using electronic dose meters

Comfort and acceptability Questionnaire
 BIOPSY - Number of passes, complications and diagnostic specimens
 DRAINAGES - Number of passes, Complications and Technical success

Secondary outcome measures

No secondary outcome measures

Overall study start date 28/05/2004

Completion date

28/11/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

All adult in-patients and out-patients attending for CT guided biopsies and drainages

Participant type(s) Patient

Age group Adult

Sex Both

Target number of participants 50

Key exclusion criteria

- Acute emergency cases
 Inability to give informed consent
- 3. Unconscious patients

Date of first enrolment 28/05/2004

Date of final enrolment 28/11/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Bradford Royal Infirmary Bradford United Kingdom BD9 6RJ

Sponsor information

Organisation Department of Health

Sponsor details

Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL +44 (0)20 7307 2622 dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type Government

Website http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en

Funder(s)

Funder type Government

Funder Name

Funder Name Own account funding (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary Not provided at time of registration