

# Computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention with and without fluoroscopic control: what are the benefits for the patient and the doctor?

<b>Submission date</b> 30/09/2005	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 30/09/2005	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 05/07/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Andy Lowe

### Contact details

Department of Clinical Radiology  
Bradford Royal Infirmary  
Duckworth Lane  
Bradford  
United Kingdom  
BD9 6RJ  
+44 (0)1274 364492  
[andylowe@doctors.org.uk](mailto:andylowe@doctors.org.uk)

## Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

N0050149623

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

Computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention with and without fluoroscopic control: what are the benefits for the patient and the doctor?

**Study objectives**

Does the introduction of fluoroscopy in computed tomography (CT)-guided intervention decrease procedure time and dose exposure and does it make the procedure technically easier and improve outcome?

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Computed tomography-guided interventions

**Interventions**

All patients attending for CT-guided interventions (biopsies and drainages) are invited to take part. Patients randomised to have procedure in conventional manner with CT guidance (controls) or with additional fluoroscopy. Time taken to have procedure, effective dose to patient, operator and assistant, patient comfort and acceptability, number of passes /complications and degree of technical success measured.

**Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome(s)**

1. Dose product to patient
  2. Effective dose to patient
  3. Effective dose to doctor and nurse/assistant
  4. Hand dose to doctor
  5. Screening time
- All measured using electronic dose meters

6. Comfort and acceptability Questionnaire

7. BIOPSY - Number of passes, complications and diagnostic specimens

8. DRAINAGES - Number of passes, Complications and Technical success

**Key secondary outcome(s))**

No secondary outcome measures

**Completion date**

28/11/2004

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

All adult in-patients and out-patients attending for CT guided biopsies and drainages

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Acute emergency cases
2. Inability to give informed consent
3. Unconscious patients

**Date of first enrolment**

28/05/2004

**Date of final enrolment**

28/11/2004

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Bradford Royal Infirmary**

Bradford

United Kingdom

BD9 6RJ

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Department of Health

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Government

### Funder Name

Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

### Funder Name

Own account funding (UK)

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes