A small randomised controlled trial on ST-MBCT + TAU versus COMET + TAU for patients with a personality disorder

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
31/08/2017		∐ Protocol		
Registration date 13/09/2017	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
Last Edited 27/09/2019	Condition category Mental and Behavioural Disorders	Individual participant data		

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

There are long waiting lists in mental health care. In particular patients with personality disorders have to wait for a long period. Personality disorders are conditions in which an individual differs significantly from an average person in terms of how they think, perceive, feel or relate to others. Studying time-limited therapy interventions for example as a first step intervention in the treatment of patients with a personality disorder is an important step to help patients earlier. Most research on the treatment of personality disorders focuses on long-term treatments. Little is known of the effectiveness of time-limited therapies. Even less is known about what patient variables predict or moderate/mediate a positive or worse outcome. The two time-limited therapies in this study are widely used in the Netherlands as part of treating personality disorders. This study aims to find out more on the effectiveness of both treatments and to look for factors which predict what works best for which patient.

Who can participate?

Outpatients with a personality disorder aged 18-65

What does the study involve?

Participants fill in self-reports on their mental health and have an interview with a researcher who formally diagnoses them. Participants are than randomly allocated to one of two types of therapy. They participate in 8 weeks of group therapy of 1.5 hours per session. Participants are assessed again directly after the last therapy session and one month later.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The possible benefits are increased self-esteem and/or more awareness of one's pitfalls which enables one to either not step in to the pitfall or more easily step out of it. The risks are that the patient is confronted with painful feelings of the realization that he/she has a mental disorder.

Where is the study run from? GGZ Delfland (Netherlands)

When is study starting and how long is it expected to run for? February 2012 to July 2014

How long will the trial be recruiting participants for? GGZ Delfland (Netherlands)

Who is the main contact? Mr M. F. Van Vreeswijk

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mr M. F. van Vreeswijk

Contact details

Noordeinde 27A Delft Netherlands 2611 KG

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

METC-nr.: 11-124. Dossier: NL37067.098.11.

Study information

Scientific Title

A small randomised controlled trial on ST-MBCT + TAU versus COMET + TAU for patients with a personality disorder: effectiveness, predictors and mediators of outcome

Study objectives

Given the awareness/acceptance oriented approach of SMT, it is hypothesized that patients following SMT will show greater reduction in general distress after treatment than patients following COMET. Moreover, it is hypothesized that patients following COMET will improve more on self-esteem and that patients following SMT will improve more regarding mindfulness skills, schemas and modes. Finally, the aim of this study is to explore possible predictors, moderators and mediating mechanisms. It is expected that in both treatment conditions schemas and modes at pre-treatment will predict reductions in global psychological distress and that a schema change will at least co-occur with changes in global psychological distress.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Medical Ethical Committee Zuid-Holland (METC-ZWH: The Netherlands), 07/02/2012, METC-nr: 11-124, File-NR: NL37067.098.11

Study design

Interventional single-centre parallel-groups single-blind randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Outpatients with personality disorder(s)

Interventions

For this pilot study, a parallel-groups randomized single-blind trial was used. Randomization to the condition SMT or COMET was performed by GraphPad software (http://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/randomize1.cfm). Signed informed consent was obtained after full explanation of the procedures and of both therapies before the first assessment and randomization. Each patient was screened by means of the Mini-International Psychiatric Interview-Plus (M.I.N.I.-Plus, Sheehan, & Lecrubier et al., 1997, 1998; Bohnen, de Winter & Hoenkamp, 2011) and the Structured Clinical Interview of DSM-IV Disorders II (SCID-II, First, Spitzer, Gibbon, Williams, & Benjamin, 1997; Weertman, Arntz, & Kerkhofs, 2000). After inclusion, the patients filled in five self-report questionnaires before the start of the group training, directly after the last session of the group training of two months and one month after finishing the group training.

Schema Mindfulness Training (SMT)

The used SMT-protocol, written by van Vreeswijk et al. (2014), consists of eight group sessions of 90 minutes, once a week. The structure of the training is as follows: session one: psychoeducation on schemas, modes and basic mindfulness exercises; session two: more mindfulness for the environment; session three: mindfulness for respiration and painful memories; session four: mindfulness to schema coping; session five: mindful allowing and accepting what is; session six: mindfulness to schemas facts or fiction; session seven: mindful taking care of yourself by the Healthy adult and the Happy child (Young et al., 2011); session eight: schema mindfulness and the future.

COMET

A negative self-image is a problem common to many psychiatric disorders (Appelo & Korrelboom, 2005) and to various types of personality disorders as well (Beck, Freeman & Associates, 1990). Korrelboom (2011) has written a protocol to positively correct a dysfunctional self-image using counter-conditioning by positive verbalisations (Lange et al., 1998), imaginations (Segal, Gemar & Williams, 1999), attitude and facial expression (Camras, Holland, & Patterson, 1993) and music (Van der Does, 2002). Previous research has shown that COMET has a positive effect on the self-image (Korrelboom, Huijbregts, de Jong, & Daansen, 2009; Korrelboom, Marissen, & van Assendelft, 2011) of both patients with personality disorders and eating disorders and that it can effectively be used in a group format (e.g. Martens, Korrelboom, & Huijbregts, 2009; ; Ekkers et al., 2011). The protocol consists of eight group sessions of 90 minutes, once a week. The structure of the treatment/training is as follows: session one: naming the negative self-image and naming the opposite image; session two: explaining the opposite image with examples; session three: exercising to feel the opposite image using imaginations and positive verbalisations; session four: exercising to feel the opposite image using imaginations, positive verbalisations, attitude and facial expression; session five: exercising to

feel the opposite image using imaginations, positive verbalisations, attitude, facial expression and music; session six: exercising with building a stable positive self-image; session seven: exercising First-Aid measure for negative self-image; session eight: the future.

Two therapists treated four closed groups of patients with SMT and two other therapists treated four closed groups of patients with COMET at GGZ Delfland. All the therapists have had a post-doctoral training of 2-4 years after a master graduation in psychology to become a licensed mental health care professional. The therapists of the SMT condition all had training in Schema therapy of at least four days. The therapists in the COMET-condition where trained by Kees Korrelboom, developer of the COMET-program. The therapists had previous therapy experience in respectively SMT and COMET. There was no supervision nor video or audio taping to assess treatment adherence. During the group treatment patients were allowed to have a medical consult from a psychiatrist if necessary.

Intervention Type

Behavioural

Primary outcome(s)

General psychological distress assessed using the Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90; Dutch version by Arrindell & Ettema, 1986). The SCL-90 is a 90-item self-report questionnaire which measures the following complaints: Agoraphobia, Anxiety, Depression, Somatic complaints, Insufficiency of thinking and doing, Interpersonal sensitivity, Hostility, Sleeping problems. The sum score represents a Global Severity Index. The Dutch version is reliable (Cronbach's alpha 0.97 by total score) and valid (Arrindell & Ettema, 1986). In this study the Cronbach's alpha for the total score was 0.88. We used the Global severity index (GSI) as primary outcome.

Method of measurement: Questionnaire (self-assessment)

Timepoints:

T1: Before the start of the therapy

T2: Directly after the last therapy session

T3: One month after T2

Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Mindfulness assessed with the Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS, Brown & Ryan, 2003; Dutch version by Schroevers, Nyklíček, & Topman, 2008). The MAAS is a 15-item self-report questionnaire which represents the frequency of everyday mindfulness experiences. Previous studies found a one-factor structure. Cronbach's alpha ranged between .82 .87 (Carlson & Brown, 2005; Brown & Ryan, 2003). The Dutch version is reliable (Cronbach's alpha 0.81) and valid (Schroevers, Nyklíček, & Topman, 2008). In this study the Cronbach's alpha was 0.86. This study used the sum score of the MAAS as an index of mindfulness
- 2. Self-esteem assessed using the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES, Rosenberg, 1965; Dutch version by Franck, de Raedt, Barbez, & Rosseel, 2008). The RSES is a 10-item self-report questionnaire assessing the degree of self-esteem. The Dutch version of the RSES is reliable (Cronbach's alpha 0.86) and valid (Franck, de Raedt, Barbez, & Rosseel, 2008). The Cronbach's alpha in this study was 0.87. This study used the sum score of RSES as a measure of self-esteem.
- 3. Schemas assessed with the Young Schema Questionnaire, (YSQ; Young & Brown, 1994; Dutch version by Rijkeboer, 2005). The YSQ is a 205-item self-report questionnaire which represents

sixteen dysfunctional schema's: Abandonment/ Instability, Mistrust/Abuse, Emotional Deprivation and Social Isolation/Alienation (schema domain 1: Disconnection and Rejection); Dependence/Incompetence, Enmeshment/ Undeveloped Self and Failure (schema domain 2: Impaired Autonomy and Performance); Entitlement/ Grandiosity and Insufficient Self-control /Self-discipline (schema domain 3: Impaired Limits); Subjugation and Selfsacrifice/ Approval Seeking/Recognition Seeking (schema domain 4: Other Directedness); and Emotional Inhibition and Unrelenting Standards/Hypercriticalness (schema domain 5: Overvigilance and Inhibition). The Dutch version of the YSQ is reliable (Cronbach's alpha ranging .76 - .95) and valid (Rijkeboer & van den Bergh, 2006). For the purpose of this study the trialists used the five schema domains.

4. Schema modes assessed with the Schema Mode Inventory-I (SMI-I; Young et al., 2007). The SMI-I is a 118-item self-report questionnaire which represents fourteen modes divided over four domains: Vulnerable child, Angry child, Enraged child, Impulsive child, Undisciplined child (child mode domain), Compliant surrenderer, Detached protector, Detached self-soother, Self-aggrendizer, Bully/Attack mode (coping mode domain), Punitive parent, Demanding parent (parent mode domain), Happy child and Healthy adult (healthy mode domain). The Dutch version of the SMI-I is reliable (Cronbach's alpha ranging between .79 - .96) and valid (Lobbestael, van Vreeswijk, Spinhoven, Schouten, & Arntz, 2010). For the purpose of this study the trialists used the four mode domains.

Method of measurement: Questionnaire (self-assessment)

Timepoints:

T1: Before the start of the therapy

T2: Directly after the last therapy session

T3: One month after T2

Completion date

01/07/2014

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients (male or female) with a main diagnosis on Axis II, meaning one or more cluster A, B, C personality disorders or a personality disorder NOS
- 2. Aged 18 to 65 years
- 3. Patients were allowed to have a diagnosis on Axis I other than the exclusion criteria

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

58

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Acute psychosis
- 2. Addiction of such severity that clinical detoxification was indicated
- 3. Severe suicidality for which hospitalisation was necessary
- 4. Untreated ADHD, bipolar I disorder and an IQ lower than 80
- 5. Patients were not allowed to have had Schema therapy or COMET in the past 12 months

Date of first enrolment

01/03/2012

Date of final enrolment

01/07/2017

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

Study participating centre GGZ Delfland

Jorisweg 2 Delft Netherlands 2612 GA

Sponsor information

Organisation

GGZ Delfland

ROR

https://ror.org/04c0z9s56

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

GGZ Delfland

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The SPSS-data file, which includes SES, mental health diagnosis and the primary and secondary outcome measures, can be obtained from M. F. van Vreeswijk. The data will become available after publication in an international scientific journal and is available for researchers who want to do a meta-analysis. It will be available for 5 years unless the journal regulations ask for a longer period of time. Anonymisation on the data is done according to the rules of the Medical Ethical Committee of which the trialists have obtained permission to do this study. This METC works on the basis of international ruling (e.g. Helsinki agreement).

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/05/2020	27/09/2019	Yes	No
Basic results		22/01/2019	22/01/2019	No	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes