

Medicines reconciliation at the interface

Submission date 05/09/2012	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 10/09/2012	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 07/06/2017	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Prescribing errors occur in about half of hospital admissions. To reduce this, the government has recommended that all patients should receive a review from a pharmacist within 24 hours of admission to hospital. The medicines that the patient was taking before they came into hospital should be checked and compared against any hospital charts or other documentation. Currently hospitals in East of England achieve this only for 50% of patients. Expanding the pharmacy service to all patients would require a full seven-day service which is likely to be costly and may not be the best use of NHS resources. This study is designed to estimate the costs and effects of expanding the current pharmacy service in one teaching hospital.

Who can participate?

Adults, aged at least 18, admitted to one of the five adult medical wards with prescribed medicines.

What does the study involve?

Participants will be allocated to one of two groups. One group will receive usual care and the other group will be seen by a Pharmacist for a review of their medicines within 24 hours of their emergency admission. All participants will complete a short questionnaire. 3 months after discharge from hospital, the Research Assistant will write to the participants with a second questionnaire, as well as questions regarding their use of health or social services since their discharge. The length of stay in hospital, use of NHS resources, the level of medication errors and health-related quality of life will be compared between the two groups. Some participants will be randomly chosen to be invited to a discussion group regarding their experience of being in the study. Medical and Pharmacy staff who have had contact with the study will also be asked to join a discussion group regarding their experience of the study.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may receive no direct benefit, but that their participation in this study may help to inform Pharmacists where best to concentrate their resources. Participants will need to complete some forms and that they will need to speak to a Researcher for 5-10 minutes. They may need to speak to a Pharmacist and the time taken can't really be estimated. The risk regarding personal data is minimised, according to data protection laws, and this is fully explained to potential recruits.

Where is the study run from?

The study will be run from the Pharmacy department at Cambridge University Hospital.
Participants will be recruited from one of five medical wards

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study started in July 2012 and will run until April 2013.

Who is funding the study?

NIHR Research for Patient Benefit Programme (UK).

Who is the main contact?

Miss Amanda Bale

amanda.bale@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Miss Amanda Bale

Contact details

Addenbrookes Hospital

Hills Road

Cambridge

United Kingdom

CB2 0QQ

-

amanda.bale@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

12437

Study information

Scientific Title

Medicines reconciliation at the interface: a pilot randomised controlled trial to determine the costs and effects of a pharmacy provided service

Study objectives

Prescribing errors have been estimated to occur within approximately fifty percent of hospital admissions. To reduce this, the government has recommended that all patients should receive a review from a pharmacist within 24 hrs following admission. The medicines that the patient was taking before they came into hospital should be checked and compared against any hospital charts or other documentation ("Medicines Reconciliation"). Currently hospitals in East of England achieve this only for 50% of patients. Expanding the pharmacy service to all patients would require a full seven day service which is likely to be costly and may not be the best use of NHS resources. This study is designed to estimate the costs and effects of expanding the current pharmacy service in one teaching hospital. Two hundred patients will be recruited to the study and randomised to either receive pharmacist service or usual care. The length of stay in hospital, use of NHS resources, the level of medication errors and health-related quality of life will be compared between the two groups 3 months post discharge. Additionally, patients, pharmacists and medical teams onwards which were involved in the pilot study will be invited for focus group discussion to review the study process and pharmacy service. Findings of this pilot and post study focus groups will inform the design of a definitive larger study.

More details can be found at <http://public.ukcrn.org.uk/Search/StudyDetail.aspx?StudyID=12437>

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Essex Research Ethics Committee, 14/06/2012, ref: 12/EE/0143

Study design

Randomised interventional trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Health Services Research

Interventions

Medicines reconciliation versus medicines reconciliation within 24 hours of admission by the Study Pharmacist.

Followed up at 3 months.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Length of stay measured at discharge

Secondary outcome measures

1. Feasibility measured at end of study
2. Morbidity and mortality measured at 3 months
3. Patient satisfaction measured at 3 months
4. Quality of life measured at 3 months

Overall study start date

04/07/2012

Completion date

03/04/2013

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Adult, aged at least 18 years of age
2. Admitted with prescribed medicines (at least one regular/OTC medication) to one of the five adult medical wards.
3. Not received MR service from the pharmacy team as part of routine pharmaceutical input at the point of recruitment.
4. Identified from hospital computer system as being admitted within the previous 24 hours
5. Male or female participants

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

UK Sample Size: 200; Description: 100 Control, 100 Intervention

Key exclusion criteria

1. Elective patients receive MR via pre-admission clinics such as surgical pre admission assessment unit

2. Wards anticipated to close during the study period will be automatically excluded
3. Recruited patients readmitted during the course of the study

Date of first enrolment

04/07/2012

Date of final enrolment

03/04/2013

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Addenbrookes Hospital

Cambridge

United Kingdom

CB2 0QQ

Sponsor information

Organisation

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

Children's Service

Box No 181

Addenbrookes Hospital

Hills Road

Cambridge

England

United Kingdom

CB2 0QQ

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

<http://www.cuh.org.uk/>

ROR

<https://ror.org/04v54gj93>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NIHR Research for Patient Benefit Programme (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	16/03/2017		Yes	No