Cost-effectiveness of the demands and capacities model-based treatment compared to the Lidcombe Programme of early stuttering intervention: a randomised trial (the Rotterdam Evaluation study of Stuttering Treatment in children- A Randomized Trial)

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered	
05/09/2007		☐ Protocol	
Registration date 05/09/2007	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan	
		[X] Results	
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data	
29/07/2015	Mental and Behavioural Disorders		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mrs Caroline de Sonneville Koedoot

Contact details

Erasmus University
Afdeling iBMG
P.O. Box 1738
Rotterdam
Netherlands
3000 DR
+31 (0)10 408 8617
desonneville@bmg.eur.nl

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

Study information

Scientific Title

Cost-effectiveness of the demands and capacities model-based treatment compared to the Lidcombe Programme of early stuttering intervention: a randomised trial (the Rotterdam Evaluation study of Stuttering Treatment in children- A Randomized Trial)

Acronym

RESTART

Study objectives

The Lidcombe Programme for early stuttering intervention is more cost-effective than the Demands and Capacities Model-based treatment.

On 10/01/2012 the overall trial end date was changed from 30/07/2010 to 01/12/2011.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethics board of the Erasmus University in Rotterdam (Medisch Ethische Toetsings Commissie [METC]), 10/05/2007, ref: MEC-2006-349

Study design

Multicentre single-blinded randomised active-controlled parallel-group trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Stuttering pre-schoolers

Interventions

Demands and Capacities Model based treatment versus Lidcombe Programme.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

- 1. The percentage of recovered children at 18 months post randomisation
- 2. The costs for a recovered child

All primary outcome measures will be measured at 3, 6, 12 and 18 months post starting the therapy.

Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. The frequency of stuttering outside the clinic at 18 months post randomisation
- 2. The Health Utility Index
- 3. Visual Analogue Scale (Eurogol VAS proxy)
- 4. Child Health Questionnaire
- 5. Children Behaviour Check List

All secondary outcome measures will be measured at 3, 6, 12 and 18 months post starting the therapy, except the Children Behavioural Check List, which will be measured at 18 months only.

Completion date

01/12/2011

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Aged 3.0 to 6.3 years
- 2. Frequency of stuttering at least 3%
- 3. Parent and one therapist agree the child stutters
- 4. Parent rating of stuttering severity on an 8-point scale of at least 2
- 5. Proficiency in Dutch for children and parents

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Child

Lower age limit

3 years

Upper age limit

6.3 years

Sex

Αll

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Onset of stuttering within 6 months before recruitment
- 2. Treatment for stuttering during the previous 12 months
- 3. Diagnosed language disorder
- 4. Neurological, emotional, cognitive, behavioural or autism spectrum disorder

Date of first enrolment

01/08/2007

Date of final enrolment

01/12/2011

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

Study participating centre Erasmus University

Rotterdam Netherlands 3000 DR

Sponsor information

Organisation

Erasmus Medical Centre (The Netherlands)

ROR

https://ror.org/018906e22

Funder(s)

Funder type

Research organisation

Funder Name

Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development

Alternative Name(s)

Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Other non-profit organizations

LocationNetherlands

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	28/07/2015		Yes	No