

# Comparison of the PEEK cage and an autologous cage in posterior lumbar interbody fusion

<b>Submission date</b> 06/01/2016	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 16/02/2016	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 30/11/2020	<b>Condition category</b> Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Degenerative disc disease in the lumbar spine (or lower back), otherwise known as lumbar degenerative disc disease, refers to a common condition in which a damaged disc causes lower back and leg pain that often requires surgery. For several decades, a treatment called posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) has been considered the best standard of care. This is a form of spinal fusion surgery which involves adding a bone graft from the iliac crest (a portion of bone from the patients pelvis) to an area of the spine that allows it to grow between two vertebrae to prevent that portion of the spine from moving. It does this by inserting a cage, often made from a synthetic material called PEEK into the disc (intervertebral) space. The researchers doing this study are investigating whether a cage made from the bony projection found at the back of a vertebra (spinous process) and a portion of the vertebral bone called laminae (called a autologous cage using the lumbar spinous process and laminae, or ACSP) will yield similar clinical and radiological results to those obtained using a PEEK cage.

### Who can participate?

Adults aged 30-70 suffering from lumbar degenerative disc disease and requiring surgery.

### What does the study involve?

Participants undergoing PLIF surgery are randomly allocated to one of two groups. Those in group 1 receive the PEEK cage. Those in group 2 receive the ACSP cage. All participants are then followed up for at least 2 years where the success of their surgery is assessed at regular intervals.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Not provided at time of registration

### Where is the study run from?

Xiamen University Southeast Hospital (China)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
November 2008 to October 2013

Who is funding the study?  
Xiamen University of Technology (China)

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Bin Lin

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Public

**Contact name**  
Dr Bin Lin

**Contact details**  
Department of Orthopaedics, the 175th Hospital of PLA  
Southeast Hospital of Xiamen University  
269 Zhanghua Road,  
Zhangzhou  
China  
363000

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
N/A

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Comparison of the PEEK cage and an autologous cage made from the lumbar spinous process and laminae in posterior lumbar interbody fusion

**Acronym**  
PLIF (posterior lumbar interbody fusion)

**Study objectives**  
To evaluate the clinical efficacy of PLIF with the PEEK cage and the ACSP in lumbar degenerative disc disease.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Central Ethics Committee of Xiamen University Southeast Hospital, 03/09/2008, ref: 20081102

### **Study design**

Randomized controlled prospective study

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Study setting(s)**

Hospital

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Lumbar degenerative disc disease.

### **Interventions**

Patients with lumbar degenerative disc disease were randomly assigned to either a PEEK (polyetheretherketone) cage or an autologous cage using the lumbar spinous process and laminae (ACSP). Monosegmental posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) was performed in all patients. Mean lumbar lordosis, mean disc height, visual analog scale (VAS) scores, functional outcomes, fusion rates and complication rates were recorded and compared. The patients were followed postoperatively for a minimum of 2 years.

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### **Primary outcome measure**

Functional outcome was assessed using the Kirkaldy–Willis criteria 3, 6, 12 and 24 months postoperatively and annually thereafter.

### **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Visual Analogue Score (VAS) was obtained for low back pain both pre- and postoperatively at 2-year follow-up
2. Radiological assessment was recorded at at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months postoperatively and annually thereafter
3. Fusion status was evaluated by anteroposterior and lateral flexion and extension radiographs

### **Overall study start date**

30/11/2008

**Completion date**

20/10/2013

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients aged between 30-70 years
2. Disc pathology requiring surgical intervention for decompression
3. One intended level of interbody fusion between L3 and S1
4. Radiological evidence of instability, spondylolisthesis and the presence of degenerative stenosis, or symptomatic degenerative disc disease
5. Persistent or recurrent low back or leg pain lasting at least 6 months and resulting in a significant reduction of quality of life

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

69

**Total final enrolment**

69

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients need for two or more levels of fusion
2. Active infection
3. Metabolic disease
4. Severe osteoporosis, symptomatic vascular disease
5. Previous spinal surgery other than a lumbar discectomy in L3-L4, L4-L5, or L5-S1
6. Any major psychological problem
7. The combination of degenerative scoliosis and degenerative or isthmic spondylolisthesis
8. Morbid obesity
9. Smokers

**Date of first enrolment**

03/12/2008

**Date of final enrolment**

03/12/2010

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

China

**Study participating centre**

Department of Orthopaedics, the 175th Hospital of PLA, Xiamen University Southeast Hospital  
269 Zhanghua Road  
Zhangzhou, Fujian  
China  
363000

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Xiamen University

**Sponsor details**

422 Siming S Rd  
Siming  
Xiamen  
China  
361005

**Sponsor type**

Industry

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/00mcjh785>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

University/education

**Funder Name**

Xiamen University of Technology

**Alternative Name(s)**

XMUT

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Local government

**Location**

China

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Planning to publish results of trial soon in a peer reviewed journal.

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	30/08/2016	30/11/2020	Yes	No