

# Diagnostic Imaging for Peripheral Arterial Disease: the DIPAD trial

<b>Submission date</b> 20/12/2005	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 20/12/2005	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 09/11/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr R. Ouwendijk

**Contact details**  
Department of Radiology  
Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam  
P.O. Box 2040  
Rotterdam  
Netherlands  
3000 CA  
-  
r.ouwendijk@erasmusmc.nl

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
Nil known

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Diagnostic Imaging for Peripheral Arterial Disease: the DIPAD trial

## Acronym

DIPAD trial

## Study objectives

Is the diagnostic imaging workup of patients with peripheral arterial disease performed initially with Magnetic Resonance (MR) angiography cost-effective compared to the currently employed workup with duplex ultrasound or Computed Tomography (CT) angiography?

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the local medical ethics committee

## Study design

Multicentre, randomised, active controlled, parallel group trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Diagnostic

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD)

## Interventions

1. Magnetic resonance angiography: requires intravenous contrast material injection and duration of the examination is 30 minutes
2. Duplex ultrasound: requires no intravenous contrast material injection and duration of the examination is variable
3. Computed tomographic angiography: requires intravenous contrast material injection and duration of the examination is 10 minutes

## Intervention Type

Other

**Phase**

Not Specified

**Primary outcome measure**

Primary outcomes evaluated were quality of life and costs.

**Secondary outcome measures**

Secondary outcomes evaluated were clinical utility and functional patient outcomes.

**Overall study start date**

01/12/2001

**Completion date**

01/10/2003

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Men and women at least 18 years old
2. Symptomatic Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD)
3. An ankle-brachial index less than 0.90
4. Referred from the Department of Vascular Surgery for a diagnostic imaging workup to evaluate the feasibility of a revascularisation procedure

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

514

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Contraindications for MR angiography (e.g., pacemaker, cerebral vessel clipping, or claustrophobia) or CT angiography (e.g., severe renal insufficiency or adverse reactions to iodinated contrast agent)
2. Need an acute intervention at time of randomisation

**Date of first enrolment**

01/12/2001

**Date of final enrolment**

01/10/2003

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

## Study participating centre

### Department of Radiology

Rotterdam

Netherlands

3000 CA

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Erasmus Medical Centre (Netherlands)

## Sponsor details

Dr Molewaterplein 40/50

Rotterdam

Netherlands

3000 CA

## Sponsor type

University/education

## Website

<http://www.erasmusmc.nl/>

## ROR

<https://ror.org/018906e22>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Research organisation

## Funder Name

The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) (The Netherlands)

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/09/2005		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/08/2006		Yes	No