# Diagnostic Imaging for Peripheral Arterial Disease: the DIPAD trial

Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
	☐ Protocol		
Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
	[X] Results		
Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
	No longer recruiting  Overall study status  Completed		

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr R. Ouwendijk

#### Contact details

Department of Radiology Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam P.O. Box 2040 Rotterdam Netherlands 3000 CA

r.ouwendijk@erasmusmc.nl

# Additional identifiers

#### Protocol serial number

Nil known

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Diagnostic Imaging for Peripheral Arterial Disease: the DIPAD trial

#### **Acronym**

DIPAD trial

#### **Study objectives**

Is the diagnostic imaging workup of patients with peripheral arterial disease performed initially with Magnetic Resonance (MR) angiography cost-effective compared to the currently employed workup with duplex ultrasound or Computed Tomography (CT) angiography?

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the local medical ethics committee

#### Study design

Multicentre, randomised, active controlled, parallel group trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Diagnostic

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD)

#### Interventions

- 1. Magnetic resonance angiography: requires intravenous contrast material injection and duration of the examination is 30 minutes
- 2. Duplex ultrasound: requires no intravenous contrast material injection and duration of the examination is variable
- 3. Computed tomographic angiography: requires intravenous contrast material injection and duration of the examination is 10 minutes

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome(s)

Primary outcomes evaluated were quality of life and costs.

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

Secondary outcomes evaluated were clinical utility and functional patient outcomes.

#### Completion date

01/10/2003

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Men and women at least 18 years old
- 2. Symptomatic Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD)
- 3. An ankle-brachial index less than 0.90
- 4. Referred from the Department of Vascular Surgery for a diagnostic imaging workup to evaluate the feasibility of a revascularisation procedure

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 years

#### Sex

All

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Contraindications for MR angiography (e.g., pacemaker, cerebral vessel clipping, or claustrophobia) or CT angiography (e.g., severe renal insufficiency or adverse reactions to iodinated contrast agent)
- 2. Need an acute intervention at time of randomisation

#### Date of first enrolment

01/12/2001

#### Date of final enrolment

01/10/2003

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

## Study participating centre Department of Radiology

Rotterdam Netherlands 3000 CA

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Erasmus Medical Centre (Netherlands)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/018906e22

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Research organisation

#### Funder Name

The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) (The Netherlands)

# **Results and Publications**

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		01/09/2005		Yes	No
Results article		01/08/2006		Yes	No