

A randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of community Paramedic Practitioners managing Older People calling 999 with minor conditions

Submission date	Recruitment status	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
17/11/2006	No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
05/01/2007	Completed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited	Condition category	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
08/10/2007	Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning	

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Suzanne Mason

Contact details

Health Services Research
School of Health and Related Research
University of Sheffield
Regent Street
Sheffield
United Kingdom
S1 4DA

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

577/1962

Study information

Scientific Title**Acronym**

PPOPS

Study objectives

Aims:

1. Comparing differences in patient experience and satisfaction of community paramedic practitioners with the existing standard service.
2. Comparing the clinical and cost effectiveness of community paramedic practitioners with the existing standard service.
3. Assessing the effects of community paramedic practitioners on ambulance service and Emergency Department (ED) performance.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The study was approved by North Sheffield LREC on the 31st March 2003, (ref: NS2002 9 1452).

Study design

Cluster randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Other

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Minor injuries such as falls, lacerations, epistaxis, minor burns, foreign body - ENT (Ears, Nose and Throat) only

Interventions

Intervention:

A community based paramedic scheme intended to deliver patient centred care to older people calling the emergency services with conditions triaged as not immediately life threatening.

Control group:

Care as usual. In practice, this was an ambulance, followed by conveyance to an ED (unless the patient refused to travel to hospital).

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

1. Emergency Department attendance or hospital admission between zero and 28 days
2. Interval from time of call to time of discharge
3. Patient satisfaction with the service received

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Investigations and treatments prescribed
2. Subsequent use of health services within 28 days
3. Health status and mortality at 28 days
4. Costs

Completion date

26/09/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients aged 60 years and above were eligible for study inclusion following a call to the ambulance service if the call:

1. Originated from a Sheffield postcode
2. Was received between 08:00 am and 20:00 pm
3. Presenting with a complaint that fell within the scope of practice of the paramedic practitioners

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Senior

Sex

Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria

No exclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

01/09/2003

Date of final enrolment

26/09/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre
Health Services Research
Sheffield
United Kingdom
S1 4DA

Sponsor information

Organisation

The Health Foundation (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/02bj4420>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Charity

Funder Name

The Health Foundation (UK) (ref: 577/1962)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	Results	03/11/2007		Yes	No