Spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia for surgery of pilonidal fistula

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered
23/08/2010	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
17/05/2011	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
17/05/2011	Surgery	Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Marc Schmittner

Contact details

Clinic of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine University Hospital Mannheim Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3 Mannheim Germany 68167 marc.schmittner@umm.de

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Comparison of spinal anaesthesia with 1.5 ml hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% and total intravenous anaesthesia for minor anorectal surgery

Study objectives

Minor anorectal surgery can be performed with several anaesthesia techniques. Due to multiple irrational fears, many patients deny spinal anaesthesia and prefer a general anaesthesia. In this study we evaluate the practicability, patients' acceptability and analgetic consumption for both anaesthesia techniques in patients undergoing minor anorectal surgery.

Further reading:

2010 results of related trial [ISRCTN41981381] in http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed /19937984

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the local medical ethics committee (Medizinische Ethikkommission II Anschrift: Medizinische Ethik-Kommission II) on the 18th March 2010 (ref: 2010-215N-MA)

Study design

Single centre randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Minor anorectal surgery

Interventions

Patients with anorectal surgery are 1:1 randomised to either a spinal anaesthesia or a general anaesthesia. All patients received either:

- 1. A spinal anaesthesia with 1.5 ml hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% or
- 2. A total intravenous anaesthesia with:
- 2.1. 0.2 mg fentanyl and 2 mg propofol 1% per kg body weight for induction
- 2.2. Propofol 1% in a perfusion pump for the duration of anaesthesia, dosage depending on the demands of the patient
- 2.3. An orotracheal intubation

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Recovery room time, measured on day of surgery.

Key secondary outcome(s))

Consumption of analgetics in the first 24 hours, measured 48 hours after surgery.

Completion date

30/06/2011

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients (male/female) with minor anorectal surgery
- 2. Operation in prone position
- 3. Age: 18 80 years
- 4. American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade I II
- 5. No contra-indication against spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Contra-indications against spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia
- 2. Allergy against diclofenac

Date of first enrolment

01/07/2010

Date of final enrolment

30/06/2011

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Germany

Study participating centre

Clinic of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine

Mannheim Germany

68167

Sponsor information

Organisation

University Hospital Mannheim (Gernany)

ROR

https://ror.org/05sxbyd35

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

University Hospital Mannheim (Gernany)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type Details Date created Date added Peer reviewed? Patient-facing?

Participant information sheet 11/11/2025 No Yes