

# Effect of a labour electronic fetal monitoring admission test on operative delivery in low-risk women: a randomised controlled trial

**Submission date**  
12/09/2003

**Recruitment status**  
No longer recruiting

☐ Prospectively registered

☐ Protocol

**Registration date**  
12/09/2003

**Overall study status**  
Completed

☐ Statistical analysis plan

☒ Results

**Last Edited**  
13/10/2014

**Condition category**  
Pregnancy and Childbirth

☐ Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mrs Katie Mitchell

### Contact details

Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department  
Stoke Mandeville Hospital  
Mandeville Road  
Stoke Mandeville  
Aylesbury  
United Kingdom  
HP21 8AL  
+44 (0)1296 216142  
katiemitch@btinternet.com

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

N0245109928

# Study information

## Scientific Title

## Study objectives

What is the relationship between the labour Electronic Fetal Monitoring (EFM) admission test and obstetric intervention for low-risk mothers?

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Mid and South Bucks Local Research Ethics Committee, approved on 30/12/1999 (ref: NC947), last amendment approved on 07/09/2005. Following a pilot study, approval for the change to the target number of participants obtained on 16/09/2003

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Not Specified

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Pregnancy and Childbirth: Labour electronic fetal monitoring (EFM)

## Interventions

This study will be of experimental design and will consist of a randomised, controlled trial. The trial is designed to answer the main question.

## Intervention Type

Other

## Phase

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure**

Primary outcome measure amended as of 05/03/2008:

Rate of operative delivery.

Primary outcome measures provided at time of registration:

Four indicators of obstetric intervention:

1. Rate of operative delivery
2. Rate of augmentation using an oxytocin infusion
3. Rate of episiotomy in normal deliveries
4. Rate of siting of an intravenous infusion

**Secondary outcome measures**

Secondary outcome measures added as of 05/03/2008:

1. Rate of augmentation using an oxytocin infusion
2. Rate of siting of an intravenous infusion

**Overall study start date**

01/11/2002

**Completion date**

10/03/2006

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

Inclusion criteria amended as of 03/09/2007:

Labouring women who attend the delivery suite involved in the study, who upon admission to hospital are considered to be of low risk of fetal or maternal complications. Low risk being defined as mothers who lack all of the criteria for exclusion. Around 1200 clients fulfilling this criteria were admitted to the unit to be studied in the period Jun 98-Jun 99.

Treatment arm (admission test) - 750 women

Control arm (no admission test) - 750 women

Inclusion criteria provided at time of registration:

Labouring women who attend the delivery suite involved in the study, who upon admission to hospital are considered to be of low risk of fetal or maternal complications. Low risk being defined as mothers who lack all of the criteria for exclusion. Around 1200 clients fulfilling this criteria were admitted to the unit to be studied in the period Jun 98-Jun 99.

Treatment arm (admission test) - 375 women

Control arm (no admission test) - 375 women

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Female

## **Target number of participants**

Target number amended as of 03/09/2007: 1500. Target number provided at time of registration: 750.

## **Key exclusion criteria**

Added as of 07/03/2008:

Any woman having any of the following indicators of high risk upon admission in labour were excluded from the study:

1. Any major maternal medical complication e.g., diabetes, or essential hypertension
2. Previous caesarean section
3. Pre-term labour (less than 37 completed weeks)
4. Multiple pregnancy
5. Prolonged pregnancy (over 42 completed weeks)
6. Prolonged membrane rupture (over 24 hours)
7. Induction of labour
8. Meconium stained liquor
9. Maternal pyrexia
10. Rhesus sensitisation
11. Polyhydramnios
12. Oligohydramnios
13. Pre-eclampsia or blood pressure over 140/90 mmHg
14. Abnormal presentation or lie (e.g., breech or transverse)
15. High head (5/5ths palpable per abdomen)
16. Antepartum or intrapartum haemorrhage
17. Known or suspected intrauterine growth retardation
18. Any known or suspected fetal medical complication
19. Abnormal doppler artery velocimetry
20. Known fetal malformation
21. Poor obstetric history (e.g., history of stillbirth)
22. Unbooked cases

## **Date of first enrolment**

01/11/2002

## **Date of final enrolment**

10/03/2006

## **Locations**

### **Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

### **Study participating centre**

**Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department**  
Aylesbury  
United Kingdom  
HP21 8AL

## **Sponsor information**

### **Organisation**

Department of Health (UK)

### **Sponsor details**

Richmond House  
79 Whitehall  
London  
United Kingdom  
SW1A 2NL

### **Sponsor type**

Government

### **Website**

<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

## **Funder(s)**

### **Funder type**

Government

### **Funder Name**

Stoke Mandeville Hospital NHS Trust (UK)

## **Results and Publications**

### **Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

### **Intention to publish date**

### **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

### **IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/03/2008		Yes	No