Influenza vaccine in nursing homes - why doesn't it work?

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered	
26/07/2004		☐ Protocol	
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan	
05/10/2004		[X] Results	
Last Edited 14/09/2009	Condition category Infections and Infestations	Individual participant data	
14/03/2003	וווו פכנוטווא מווע וווו פאנמנוטווא		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Fiona Gaughran

Contact details

Consultant Psychiatrist and Hon. Senior Lecturer Adult Mental Health Ladywell Unit South London and Maudsley NHS Trust University Hospital Lewisham London United Kingdom SE13 6LW

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

SL&M Research Ethics Committee 04/045

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Primary Objectives: To determine whether assessing antibody response to the influenza vaccine, and administering a booster vaccination if the antibody response is inadequate, is more effective in reducing hospitalisation and death rates than current standard practice.

Secondary Objectives: To estimate the overall antibody response rate to the influenza vaccine in the trial population; To investigate whether non-response to the influenza vaccine is associated with HLA type, psychiatric diagnosis, Cornell score or MMSE score; To investigate whether assessing antibody response to the influenza vaccine, and administering a booster vaccination if the antibody response is inadequate, increases antibody response rates relative to current standard practice; To investigate what baseline factors predict clinical response (hospitalisation and death rates) and antibody response across intervention groups (including Cornell score, MMSE score, psychiatric diagnosis, and HI titres); To investigate whether Cornell score, MMSE score and HLA type differentially predict clinical response (hospitalisation and death rates) and antibody response to the intervention versus control.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Received from South London And Maudsley Research Ethics Committee (ref: 04/045)

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Prevention

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Influenza

Interventions

Randomised into immediate assay of 21-day haemagglutination inhibition (HAI) antibody response to flu vaccine, and administration of booster if antibody response inadequate, as compared to treatment as usual.

Intervention Type

Biological/Vaccine

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Hospitalisation (Yes/No) between randomisation and 30th April 2005.

Key secondary outcome(s))

Not provided at time of registration

Completion date

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

All consenting/assenting individuals in care homes with nursing care who receive their primary care from Lambeth and Southwark primary care trusts (PCTs), who would ordinarily receive the influenza vaccine are eligible for inclusion in the trial.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Senior

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

If an individual has had a reaction to the initial flu vaccine or if the flu vaccine is contra-indicated in that individual, then they will be excluded from the trial.

Date of first enrolment

01/08/2004

Date of final enrolment

31/07/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre Consultant Psychiatrist and Hon. Senior Lecturer London United Kingdom SE13 6LW

Sponsor information

Organisation

South London And Maudsley NHS Trust (UK)

ROR

https://ror.org/015803449

Funder(s)

Funder type

Charity

Funder Name

Charitable Foundation of Guy's and St. Thomas' (UK) (R031166)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/12/2007		Yes	No