# LigaSure technique of haemorrhoidectomy versus Ferguson Clinic haemorrhoidectomy: a randomised prospective study

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
28/09/2007	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
28/09/2007	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
17/10/2016	Surgery	Record updated in last year

# Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mr A Abdulgader

### Contact details

LIMIT - Leeds Institute for Minimally Invasive The 6th Floor, Wellcome Wing Great George Street Leeds United Kingdom LS1 3EX +44 (0)113 392 3557 abdulmoniem.abdulgader@leedsth.nhs.uk

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

# Study information

# Scientific Title

LigaSure technique of haemorrhoidectomy versus Ferguson Clinic haemorrhoidectomy: a randomised prospective study

# **Study objectives**

The study is designed to compare Ferguson clinic closed haemorrhoidectomy with LigaSure haemorrhoidectomy. The primary end points of the study is the assessment of postoperative pain, morbidity and time to full recovery.

# Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

# Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

# Study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

Treatment

# Participant information sheet

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Haemorrhoidectomy

### **Interventions**

Ferguson clinic closed haemorrhoidectomy vs LigaSure haemorrhoidectomy.

# Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

### Phase

**Not Specified** 

# Primary outcome measure

- 1. Visual analogue of postoperative pain on a daily basis for one week, followed by pain scores at two and four weeks as well as overall satisfaction
- 2. Pre and postoperative anorectal physiology and endoanal ultrasonography (12 weeks post operative)
- 3. Postoperative complications: a) infection; b) wound dehiscence; c) haemorrhage

# Secondary outcome measures

No secondary outcome measures

# Overall study start date

01/01/2003

# Completion date

01/01/2004

# Eligibility

# Key inclusion criteria

Subjects with history of 3rd degree haemorrhoids.

# Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

# Age group

**Not Specified** 

# Sex

**Not Specified** 

# Target number of participants

Not provided at time of registration

# Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

### Date of first enrolment

01/01/2003

# Date of final enrolment

01/01/2004

# Locations

# Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre
LIMIT - Leeds Institute for Minimally Invasive The
Leeds
United Kingdom
LS1 3EX

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Record Provided by the NHSTCT Register - 2007 Update - Department of Health

# Sponsor details

The Department of Health, Richmond House, 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL +44 (0)20 7307 2622 dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

# Sponsor type

Not defined

# Website

http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

### **Funder Name**

Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

**IPD sharing plan summary**Not provided at time of registration