

# A study comparing home treatment of COPD exacerbations to usual hospital care

<b>Submission date</b> 08/04/2014	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 02/06/2014	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 23/04/2020	<b>Condition category</b> Respiratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a common lung disease in which patients develop progressive breathlessness, cough and phlegm. Such patients suffer episodes when their symptoms increase, often triggered by infection, called acute exacerbations (AECOPD). AECOPD are the second most common reason for all hospital admissions. There are potential clinical and financial benefits in managing patients at home during exacerbations. Hospital staff with experience of treating unwell patients can deliver most treatments at home that are provided in hospital. It is recommended that patient selection for Hospital at Home (HAH) be based on chance of survival, whilst recognising the lack of a tool for prediction at the time the guideline was written. We then developed a novel, simple clinical scoring system (DECAF) that can predict the survival of patients hospitalised with AECOPD. In this study we aim to find out whether HAH is safe and more cost-effective than hospital admission, whether HAH is the preferred choice for patients and carers, and whether HAH is associated with improvements in health-related quality of life.

### Who can participate?

Adults aged 35 or over who are admitted to one of the participating hospitals with an acute exacerbation of COPD and assessed as low risk by the DECAF score can participate. For the qualitative study, patient's carers and healthcare professionals directly involved with caring for patients in the study will be eligible for interview.

### What does the study involve?

After obtaining consent, patients will be randomly allocated to be treated for their exacerbation either in the usual way, which involves hospital admission, or to be treated at home. The medical treatment for those patients at home will largely be the same as for those patients in hospital. We will collect clinical information from patients, including their preferred treatment and their health-related quality of life. The costs of the treatment and the costs of social care (including the family carer) will be collected for the economic analysis. This information will be collected from admission up to 90 days. Patients, their carers and healthcare professionals will be approached for interview.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

HAH may foster independence, help maintain usual activities and avoid the complications associated with hospital admission. We do not foresee any risks from participation. Previous studies have shown that home treatment for acute exacerbations of COPD is safe.

Where is the study run from?

1. North Tyneside General Hospital (UK)
2. Wansbeck General Hospital, Ashington (UK)
3. Northumbria Specialist Emergency Care Hospital (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study started at the end of April 2014 and participants will be recruited over 20 months and followed up for 90 days.

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), UK.

Who is the main contact?

Carlos Echevarria

CarlosEchevarria@doctors.org.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Stephen Bourke

### Contact details

Respiratory department  
North Tyneside General Hospital  
Rake Lane  
North Shields  
United Kingdom  
NE29 8NH

-

Stephen.Bourke@nhct.nhs.uk

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

1.10

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Randomised controlled trial of hospital at home compared to standard inpatient management of patients with an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD), triaged for hospital admission by Accident and Emergency and with low mortality risk according to the novel DECAF score

## Acronym

HOT DECAF

## Study objectives

1. Managing low risk patients at home for acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) is more cost-effective for health and social costs than inpatient management.
2. Patients treated at home for AECOPD have higher health related quality of life scores, and fewer hospital bed days (up to 90 days) compared to those treated in hospital.
3. Patients with AECOPD (and their carers) prefer home treatment to hospital treatment.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

NRES Committee North East- Sunderland; 22/10/2013; ref. 3/NE/0275

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

## Interventions

Patients will be allocated to hospital at home (HAH) or usual care. Whilst receiving hospital at home, the patient will remain under the care of the hospital team, with 24/7 on-call support. Home treatment will comprise of twice daily respiratory specialist nurse visits supervised by a

respiratory consultant, with additional input from physiotherapy, occupational therapy and formal social care as required.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure**

Health and social care costs over 90 days: non-inferiority analysis.

**Secondary outcome measures**

1. Survival
2. All cause and respiratory readmission rates
3. Bed days over: a) acute period of care; b) post-discharge to 90 days
4. Carer and patient preference
5. COPD Exacerbations
6. Unplanned health resource use: emergency hospital visits, unscheduled contact with the respiratory specialist nursing team, community based nurse or GP
7. Hospital anxiety and depression score
8. Quality-of-life: COPD Assessment Tool (CAT) and EQ-5D
9. Zarit Burden Interview (carers)
10. Perceptions of healthcare of patients and their carers and health professionals with regards to the use of the DECAF score for allocation to HAH

**Overall study start date**

29/01/2016

**Completion date**

02/12/2016

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age  $\geq 35$  years
2. Smoking history  $\geq 10$  pack years
3. Obstructive spirometry (FEV1/VC  $< 70\%$ )
4. Primary diagnosis of AECOPD
5. DECAF score 0 or 1

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

118

**Key exclusion criteria**

Current exclusion criteria as of 26/09/2014:

1. Other illness likely to limit survival to <1 year
2. Long term ventilation
3. Co-existent secondary diagnosis which necessitates admission
4. Acute confusion precluding discharge
5. Assessment more than one overnight stay after admission
6. Lack of ability to give informed consent

Previous exclusion criteria:

1. Other illness likely to limit survival to <1 year
2. Long term ventilation
3. Co-existent secondary diagnosis which necessitates admission
4. Acute confusion precluding discharge
5. Assessment >24 hours after admission
6. Lack of ability to give informed consent

**Date of first enrolment**

04/06/2014

**Date of final enrolment**

28/01/2016

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre****North Tyneside General Hospital**

Rake Lane

North Shields

United Kingdom

NE29 8NH

**Study participating centre****Wansbeck General Hospital**

Woodhorn Lane

Ashington

United Kingdom

NE63 9JJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Northumbria Specialist Emergency Care Hospital**  
Northumbrian Road  
Cramlington  
United Kingdom  
NE23 6NZ

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**  
Northumbria NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

**Sponsor details**  
c/o Caroline Potts  
Research and Development Department  
North Tyneside General Hospital  
Rake Lane  
North Shields  
England  
United Kingdom  
NE29 8NH  
-  
Caroline.Potts@nhct.nhs.uk

**Sponsor type**  
Hospital/treatment centre

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/01gfeyd95>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**  
Government

**Funder Name**  
Research for Patient Benefit Programme PB-PG-0213-30105

**Alternative Name(s)**  
NIHR Research for Patient Benefit Programme, RfPB

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

### **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

### **Location**

United Kingdom

## **Results and Publications**

### **Publication and dissemination plan**

Planned submission for publication to Lancet or Respiratory Lancet by January 2017.

### **Intention to publish date**

31/12/2017

### **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Dr Stephen Bourke (Stephen.Bourke@northumbria-healthcare.nhs.uk)

### **IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request

### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/08/2018		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	qualitative results	04/04/2019	23/04/2020	Yes	No