Comparative efficacy of albendazole and mebendazole against hookworm infection in Laos

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 25/04/2008 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 09/10/2008 Completed [X] Results [] Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 02/05/2012 Infections and Infestations

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Peter Odermatt

Contact details

Swiss Tropical Institute
Department of Public Health and Epidemiology
Socinstrasse 57
Basel
Switzerland
4002

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Comparative efficacy of Albendazole and Mebendazole against hookworm infection: Randomised, controlled trial in schoolchildren in Khamkeuth district, Bolikhamxay province, Laos

Acronym

AlMebLaos

Study objectives

Albendazole (400 mg single dose) is more effective than mebendazole (500 mg single dose) in clearing hookworm infection.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

National Ethics Committee for Health Research, Vientiane, Laos. Date of approval: 01/04/2008 (ref: 170 /NECHR)

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Hookworm infection, and other intestinal helminth infections

Interventions

Mebendazole (500 mg, single dose, oral) vs albendzole (400 mg, single dose, oral) treatment.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Albendazole and mebendazole

Primary outcome(s)

Hookworm parasite clearance (no hookworm egg in four Kato-Katz slides taken on two stool samples) at 21-23 days after treatment

Key secondary outcome(s))

Reduction of intensity of infection (reduction of mean number of hookworm eggs counted on four Kato-Katz slides taken on two different stool samples) at 21-23 days after treatment

Completion date

30/05/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. School-child age between 6 and 16 years, both male and female
- 2. Hookworm infected (at least one of four egg-positive Kato-Katz slide, established on 2 stool samples)

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Child

Lower age limit

6 years

Upper age limit

16 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Pregnancy
- 2. Severe illness
- 3. Non-consent

Date of first enrolment

28/04/2008

Date of final enrolment

30/05/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Lao People's Democratic Republic

Switzerland

Study participating centre Swiss Tropical Institute

Basel Switzerland 4002

Sponsor information

Organisation

Swiss National Science Foundation (Switzerland)

ROR

https://ror.org/00yjd3n13

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Swiss National Science Foundation (Switzerland)

Alternative Name(s)

Schweizerischer Nationalfonds, Swiss National Science Foundation, Fonds National Suisse de la Recherche Scientifique, Fondo Nazionale Svizzero per la Ricerca Scientifica, Fonds National Suisse, Fondo Nazionale Svizzero, Schweizerische Nationalfonds, The Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), SNF, SNSF, FNS

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

Location

Switzerland

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/01/2012	Yes	No

Participant information sheet Participant information sheet 11/11/2025 No Yes