

# Remifentanil intravenous patient controlled analgesia (PCA) versus intramuscular pethidine for pain relief in labour

<b>Submission date</b> 08/08/2013	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 08/08/2013	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 05/11/2020	<b>Condition category</b> Pregnancy and Childbirth	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Childbirth can be a painful experience. Providing women with prompt pain relief is a priority for the midwives and doctors who care for them in labour. There are several forms of pain relief available to women, including gas-and-air (Entonox), strong pain relieving drugs, such as Pethidine and epidurals. Pethidine is the standard drug given in the UK, usually by injection into the thigh or arm. It is effective, but can cause side effects such as drowsiness and sickness. In recent years, some labour wards have begun to offer a different drug called Remifentanil for pain relief to some women. Remifentanil is given through a drip. By pressing a hand-held button, women give themselves a small dose of drug whilst having a contraction. Research done so far shows that Remifentanil is safe for women, and their babies, and provides effective pain relief. However, it is not yet offered as standard care. As with Pethidine, women can experience drowsiness and sickness. Epidurals provide excellent pain relief but can increase the chance of forceps or suction delivery. We do not know yet which of Remifentanil or Pethidine is better at helping women avoid the need for an epidural and experience a more straightforward birth. This study will find this out.

### Who can participate?

Women at the participating hospitals who are expecting to have a vaginal birth and request pain relief will be considered for the study. We hope that 400 women will agree to take part.

### What does the study involve?

If happy to take part in the study, women will be asked to sign a consent form. The person who takes consent will then enter their details into a computer. This will randomly allocate the woman to either the Pethidine or Remifentanil group. This decision will be made by chance, rather like the toss of a coin. This is important because it ensures that the two forms of pain relief can be tested fairly against each other. Information about the woman's labour will be then be collected by the midwife until they give birth and be kept confidentially. During labour, women will be asked how effective their pain relief is. We will record details of when the woman and baby are discharged from hospital, and details of any treatments received whilst in hospital. Participants will also be asked to fill in a short questionnaire to find out what they thought of

the care they received during labour and the birth of the baby. There are no further tests or hospital visits connected with this study. No payments are available for taking part in this study.

What are the possible benefits and risks of taking part?

Women will be offered pain relief in labour whether they participate in the study or not. We cannot promise the study will help individuals involved, but the answers we get from this study will help improve the care provided to women in labour in the future. Both pethidine and remifentanyl are strong drugs related to morphine. Women can experience side effects such as drowsiness, dizziness or sickness with both. All women taking part will be monitored constantly by their midwife for drowsiness and anti-sickness medication given promptly if required. There are no known differences in risks to mother and baby for either type of pain relief. There are no restrictions on having an epidural if needed.

Where is the study run from?

The lead centre for the study is the Birmingham Women's Hospital (UK).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

It is hoped that recruitment will begin in the Autumn of 2013 and run for 20 months.

Who is funding the study?

National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Leanne Beeson

[l.e.beeson@bham.ac.uk](mailto:l.e.beeson@bham.ac.uk)

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mrs Leanne Beeson

### Contact details

University of Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit

Edgbaston

Birmingham

United Kingdom

B15 2TT

-

[l.e.beeson@bham.ac.uk](mailto:l.e.beeson@bham.ac.uk)

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

2012-005257-22

### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

NCT02179294

**Protocol serial number**

14923

## **Study information**

**Scientific Title**

Remifentanyl intravenous patient controlled analgesia (PCA) versus intramuscular pethidine for pain relief in labour: a randomised controlled trial

**Acronym**

RESPITE

**Study objectives**

Childbirth can be extremely painful and the provision of pain relief during labour is a vital component of a positive maternal experience. The majority of women who deliver in modern obstetric units choose a pharmacological method of pain relief, including Entonox, the injection of opioids or epidural placement. The commonest opioid used in labour is pethidine administered by intramuscular (im) injection. The effectiveness of pain relief provided by pethidine has long been challenged. Its shortcomings are more serious when set against known side effects including maternal sedation, nausea and potential transfer across the placenta to the foetus. More than a third of women who receive pethidine subsequently require an epidural due to inadequate pain relief. Epidurals provide highly effective pain relief, but increase the risk of a forceps or suction delivery resulting in prolonged hospital stay. Therefore, there is a clear need for a safe, effective, easy to administer analgesic alternative. Patient Controlled Analgesia (PCA) comprises drug administration into an intravenous drip with a small dose given each time a woman presses a button, giving her control over her own pain relief. The pump is programmed to ensure that the maximum dose allowable is within the safe range. This form of delivery of pain relief matches the drug dose to pain sensation within the relevant time frame, which is not possible using a single dose intramuscular injection. Whilst PCA is in widespread use for acute pain relief it has only a limited role in obstetrics. The most common drug given by PCA is morphine, however, since it has a long duration of action and crosses the placenta, the potential for accumulation in the foetus and consequent neonatal sedation at delivery restricts its utility (within obstetrics) to contexts where neonatal status is not relevant, such as intrauterine foetal death or foetal abnormality incompatible with survival.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Nottingham 2 MREC, 01/08/2013, ref: 13/EM0239

**Study design**

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Treatment

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: Reproductive Health and Childbirth; Subtopic: Reproductive Health and Childbirth (all Subtopics); Disease: Reproductive Health & Childbirth

## **Interventions**

Women will be randomly allocated to either:

1. Intervention group: Remifentanyl via PCA pump (PCA bolus remifentanyl 40 µg) administered intravenously

PCA pump programming will be pre-set by anaesthetic staff in accordance to the single protocol indicated above. This dose regime is based on sample guidelines adapted from those used in the introduction of Remifentanyl PCA into clinical practice in the applicant's own labour ward and reflect those used in the largest study to date. In the event of excess sedation being recorded by regular observation of respiratory function, the regimen will be altered by reduction of the remifentanyl bolus dose to 30 µg with a lock-out interval of 2 minutes.

2. Control group: Intramuscular injection of pethidine (100 mg) up to 4 hourly in frequency (up to a maximum of 4 doses). The maximum dose being 400mg in 24 hours.

After the administration of analgesia, a trial participant will receive the following standards of care independent of group allocation:

1. One-to-one midwifery care

2. 30-minute observations including

2.1. Respiratory rate and oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry

2.2. Sedation score

2.3. Visual analogue pain score

Indications for contacting an anaesthesia provider

1. Excessive Sedation Score (not rousable to voice)

2. Respiratory rate <8 breaths/minute

3. Oxygen Saturation <94% whilst breathing room air

There will be no follow-up of patients after discharge from labour ward.

## **Intervention Type**

Drug

## **Phase**

Phase IV

## **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Remifentanyl, Pethidine

## **Primary outcome(s)**

The proportion of women who have an epidural placed for pain relief in labour, in each group

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Secondary outcome measures as of 21/12/2015:

1. The effectiveness of pain relief provided by each technique, quantified by Visual Analogue Scale taken every 30 minutes after time zero, until delivery or transfer to theatre.

2. The incidence of maternal side effects, up to the end of 3rd stage, including:

2.1. Excessive sedation score

2.2. Oxygen Saturation <94% whilst breathing room air

2.3. Nausea requiring anti-emetic administration

- 2.4. Requirement and indication for supplemental oxygen
- 2.5. Respiratory depression (respiratory rate < 8 breaths/minute)
3. Delivery mode (Spontaneous, Instrumental Vaginal, Caesarean Section)
4. Incidence of foetal distress requiring delivery
5. Neonatal status at delivery:
  - 5.1. APGAR score at 5 minutes
  - 5.2. Incidence of foetal acidosis determined by umbilical cord gas analysis
  - 5.3. Requirement for neonatal resuscitation
  - 5.4. Incidence of and indication for admission to neonatal care
6. Rate of initiation of breastfeeding within the first hour of birth
7. Maternal satisfaction with childbirth experience determined by postpartum questionnaire prior to discharge from the delivery ward
8. Explore and compare women's birth experiences, perceptions of pain relief and infant feeding behaviours up to six weeks postpartum (RESPITE Post-Natal Sub-Study)

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. The effectiveness of pain relief provided by each technique, quantified by Visual Analogue Scale taken every 30 minutes after time zero, until delivery or transfer to theatre.
2. The incidence of maternal side effects, up to the end of 3rd stage, including:
  - 2.1. Excessive sedation score
  - 2.2. Oxygen Saturation <94% whilst breathing room air
  - 2.3. Nausea requiring anti-emetic administration
  - 2.4. Requirement for supplemental oxygen
  - 2.5. Respiratory depression (respiratory rate < 8 breaths/minute)
3. Delivery mode (Spontaneous, Instrumental Vaginal, Caesarean Section)
4. Incidence of foetal distress requiring delivery
5. Neonatal status at delivery:
  - 5.1. Apgar score at 5 minutes
  - 5.2. Incidence of foetal acidosis determined by umbilical cord gas analysis
  - 5.3. Requirement for neonatal resuscitation
  - 5.4. Incidence of admission to Special Care Baby Unit
6. Rate of initiation of breastfeeding within the first hour of birth
7. Maternal satisfaction with childbirth experience determined by postpartum questionnaire prior to discharge from the delivery ward
8. Resources used intra- and post-operatively, including PCA consumables, anaesthetist attendance
9. Costs of staff training, service procurement and provision of care will be collected alongside clinical outcomes
10. Explore and compare women's birth experiences, perceptions of pain relief and infant feeding behaviours up to six weeks postpartum (RESPITE Post-Natal Sub-Study)

## **Completion date**

18/10/2017

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Current inclusion criteria as of 23/04/2015:

1. Requesting systemic opioid analgesia
2. 16 years of age or older
3. Beyond 37+0 weeks' gestation

4. In established labour (defined as regular painful contractions, irrespective of cervical dilatation) with vaginal birth intended
5. Able to understand all information (written and oral) presented (using an interpreter if necessary) and provide signed consent
6. Not participating in any other clinical trial of a medicinal product
7. Live, singleton pregnancy with cephalic presentation

Previous inclusion criteria:

1. Requesting systemic opioid analgesia
2. 16 years of age or older
3. Beyond 30+0 weeks' gestation
4. In established labour with vaginal birth intended
5. Able to understand all information (written and oral) presented (using an interpreter if necessary) and provide signed consent
6. Not participating in any other clinical trial of a medicinal product
7. Singleton pregnancy with cephalic presentation

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Sex**

Female

### **Key exclusion criteria**

Exclusion criteria as of 21/12/2015:

1. Contraindication to epidural analgesia
2. Contraindication to intramuscular injection
3. History of a previous adverse reaction to pethidine or remifentanil
4. Patients taking any long-term opioid drug therapy including Methadone
5. Systemic opioid pain relief in last 4 hours administered by intravenous or intramuscular injection. (Oral medications comprising opioids alone or in combination preparations, administered in this 4 hour period, are permitted).

Previous exclusion criteria as of 23/04/2015:

1. Contraindication to epidural analgesia
2. Contraindication to intramuscular injection
3. History of drug sensitivity to pethidine or remifentanil
4. Patients taking any long-term opioid drug therapy including Methadone
5. Systemic opioid pain relief in last 4 hours

Previous exclusion criteria:

1. Contraindication to epidural analgesia
2. Contraindication to intramuscular injection
3. History of drug sensitivity to pethidine or remifentanil
4. Patients taking any long-term opioid drug therapy including Methadone

**Date of first enrolment**

01/05/2014

**Date of final enrolment**

30/09/2016

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Birmingham Women's Hospital**

United Kingdom

B15 2TG

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospital of North Midlands**

United Kingdom

ST4 6QG

**Study participating centre**

**York Hospital**

United Kingdom

YO31 8HE

**Study participating centre**

**Bradford Royal Infirmary**

United Kingdom

BD9 6RJ

**Study participating centre**

**Frimley Park Hospital**

United Kingdom

GU16 7UJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Stoke Mandeville Hospital**  
United Kingdom  
HP21 8AL

**Study participating centre**  
**Northwick Park Hospital**  
United Kingdom  
HA1 3UJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Birmingham Heartlands Hospital**  
United Kingdom  
B9 5SS

**Study participating centre**  
**Good Hope Hospital**  
United Kingdom  
B75 7RR

**Study participating centre**  
**Northwick Park Hospital**  
Watford Road  
Harrow  
United Kingdom  
HA1 3UJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Norfolk & Norwich University Hospital**  
United Kingdom  
NR4 7UY

**Study participating centre**  
**Medway Maritime Hospital**  
United Kingdom  
ME7 5NY



**Study participating centre**  
**Homerton University Hospital**  
Homerton Row  
London  
United Kingdom  
E9 6SR

**Study participating centre**  
**City Hospital Birmingham**  
Dudley Road  
Birmingham  
United Kingdom  
B18 7QH

**Study participating centre**  
**Warwick Hospital**  
Lakin Road  
Warwick  
United Kingdom  
CV34 5BW

**Study participating centre**  
**University Hospital Coventry**  
Clifford Bridge Road  
Coventry  
United Kingdom  
CV2 2DX

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**  
University of Birmingham (UK)

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/03angcq70>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

## Funder Name

NIHR Clinician Scientist Award Scheme, UK; Grant Codes: CS-11-030

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study may be available upon request from [m.j.wilson@sheffield.ac.uk](mailto:m.j.wilson@sheffield.ac.uk)

### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	25/08/2018		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	qualitative sub-study results	23/12/2019	05/11/2020	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	12/12/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
<a href="#">Study website</a>	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes