# Trial comparing various methods of liver retraction in Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
04/11/2011	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
15/11/2011	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data
06/11/2012	Surgery	<ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul>

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Liver retraction is necessary during Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric Bypass (LRYGB) surgery to make sure that the surgeon has enough space and can see what they are doing. Hypertrophic fatty left lobe of the liver in morbidly obese patients makes liver retraction more challenging for surgeons dealing with weight loss surgery (called bariatric surgeons). Traditional liver retractors require another wound for insertion, are bulky and may cause liver injury. This additional wound may also lead to local wound infection, port site hernia and may add to post-operative pain and discomfort. The response of the liver to the retraction also needs to be addressed. This is the aim of this study which compared three methods: the traditional Nathanson liver retractor (Group I), liver suspension tape (Group II), V shaped liver suspension technique called V-LIST (Group III).

#### Who can participate?

Patients aged 18-65 years, with a BMI > 32 kg/m2 and who have given written informed consent.

#### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to three groups: Nathanson liver retractor (Group I), Liver suspension tape (Group II) and (Group III) on the basis of method of liver retraction used and taken for surgery Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric Bypass (LRYGB).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The patients in Group II and III may benefit from less liver trauma, fewer trocar wound related complications (a trocar is the device that will be used to provide better access to the abdomen). The patients may need more operative time in Group II and III.

#### Where is the study run from?

The study will take place at EDa Hospital, Kaohsiung City (Taiwan)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2010 to July 2010.

Who is funding the study? EDa Hospital, Kaohsiung City (Taiwan)

Who is the main contact? Professor Chih-Kun Huang dr.ckhuang@hotmail.com

## Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Chih-Kun Huang

#### Contact details

1 E-Da Road Jian-Shu Tsuen Yan-Chau Shiang Kaohsiung Taiwan 824

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

EMRP30098N

## Study information

#### Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial comparing various methods of liver retraction in Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y Gastric bypass

#### Acronym

**LRYGB** 

#### **Study objectives**

The patients may benefit from novel retraction techniques like Liver suspension tape, V-shaped liver suspension technique with less trauma to liver and less scarring.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

E-Da Hospital Ethics Committee and Institutional Review Board, Taiwan, 15 January 2010 ref: EMRP30098N

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

Hospital

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Laparoscopic roux-en-y gastric bypass for obese patients

#### **Interventions**

Eligible consenting participants (N=60) will be randomised into 3 groups:

Group I: Nathanson Liver Retractor (n=20)
Group II: Liver Suspension Tape (n=20)

Group III: V-LIST (n=20)

Preoperative liver function test (LFT) (SGOT, SGPT, Total Bilirubin)

Preoperative Liver dimensions measurement

Surgery: We recorded gastric pouch time, operative time, time for liver suspension, Operative view score, Difficulty score, Visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain

LFT (SGOT, SGPT, Total Bilirubin):

Immediate Postop, 18 hours, 1 week and 1 month.

#### Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome measure

- 1. Liver Function Test (LFT) just before surgery immediately post operation and at 18 hours, 1 week and at 1 month after surgery
- 2. Intra-operative time for gastric pouch, time taken for liver suspension and total operative time

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Liver dimensions
- 2. Difficulty and operative view scores
- 3. Visual analogue scale) VAS

Measured at post operation after day 1 and day 2

#### Overall study start date

01/01/2010

#### Completion date

31/07/2010

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Age 18-65 years
- 2. Body mass index (BMI) > 32 kg/m2
- 3. Written informed consent

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 Years

### Upper age limit

65 Years

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

60, 20 in each group

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients younger than 18 or older than 65 years
- 2. Unresolved psychiatric illness
- 3. Substance abuse
- 4. Liver cirrhosis

#### Date of first enrolment

01/01/2010

#### Date of final enrolment

31/07/2010

## **Locations**

#### Countries of recruitment

Taiwan

## Study participating centre 1 E-Da Road

Kaohsiung Taiwan 824

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

E-Da Hospital (Taiwan)

#### Sponsor details

No.1, Yida Road Jiaosu Village Yanchao District Kaohsiung Taiwan 82445

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

http://www.edah-hospital.com/en/index.html

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/00eh7f421

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### **Funder Name**

E-Da Hospital Kaohsiung (Taiwan)

# **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

**IPD sharing plan summary**Not provided at time of registration