# Hydrocoil: Endovascular Aneurysm Occlusion and Packing Study

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
31/07/2004		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
01/04/2005		[X] Results		
<b>Last Edited</b>	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
01/04/2005	Completed	[X] Results		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

#### Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Philip White

#### Contact details

Dept. of Neuroradiology Western General Hospital Crewe Road Edinburgh United Kingdom EH4 2XU pmw@skull.dcn.ed.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number** N/A

# Study information

Scientific Title

**Acronym** 

#### Study objectives

The HELPS (hydrocoil: endovascular aneurysm occlusion and packing study) trial aims to compare major aneurysm recurrence rate on follow-up angiography at 15 - 18 months between patients allocated hydrocoil versus patients allocated bare platinum coiling.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Intracranial aneurysms

#### Interventions

This study is now closed to recruitment.

Patients are randomised to the hydrogel coil or control arms by using concealed allocation with minimisation matching groups. Any bare platinum coils are allowed in the control arm, and assist devices could be used as clinically required.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome(s)

Angiographic outcomes at 15-18 months between aneurysms coiled using bare platinum coils (control group) and those coiled using hydrocoil embolic system.

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

Secondary outcome measures include packing density, clinical outcome, rebleed and retreatment comparisons between these 2 groups.

#### Completion date

30/06/2008

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Patient presenting with a cerebral aneurysm deemed to require endovascular treatment by the neurosurgeon/neurointerventionist (generically referred to subsequently as 'the neurovascular team'), and:

- 1. Patient has given fully informed consent to endovascular coiling procedure
- 2. Aneurysm 2 25 mm in maximum diameter
- 3. Anatomy such that endovascular occlusion is deemed possible (not necessarily probable)
- 4. The neurointerventionist is content to use either bare platinum or hydrocoil embolic system (HES) depending on randomisation result (i.e., clinical equipoise principle applies)
- 5. The neurointerventionist is content not to use any other type of coated coil
- 6. Patient World Federation of Neurological Surgeons (WFNS) Grade 0 2 and aged 18 75 years
- 7. The patient has not been previously randomised into this trial
- 8. Aneurysm has not previously been treated (by coiling or clipping)

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 years

#### Sex

All

#### Key exclusion criteria

Subjects will not be considered for the trial unless they meet all the inclusion criteria. If the patient has more than one aneurysm requiring treatment at the same treatment episode they will not be eligible for the trial. If treatment will be staged in a patient with multiple aneurysms and only one aneurysm will be treated at one sitting then the patient is eligible. However, a patient may not be randomised into the study more than once.

From the moment of randomisation, the patient is in the trial whether they receive trial treatment or not, and will be followed up and accounted for in the final analysis (intention-to-treat).

Death or procedural/disease related morbidity may result in some subjects not having check angiography (or magnetic resonance angiography [MRA] if unit uses this as standard mode of follow-up). These patients will be counted as poor outcomes in the primary analysis.

Retreatment of previously coiled or clipped aneurysm is an exclusion criteria.

Use of coil assist devices (stent, balloon, trispan etc.) should be recorded but is not an exclusion criteria. It must be recorded in order to ascertain if any difference in use between control and hydrocoil groups acts as a potential confounding variable.

#### Date of first enrolment

# Date of final enrolment 30/06/2008

## Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

**United Kingdom** 

Scotland

Argentina

Australia

Brazil

France

Germany

United States of America

Study participating centre Dept. of Neuroradiology Edinburgh United Kingdom EH4 2XU

# Sponsor information

### Organisation

Lothian University Hospitals Division (UK)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/03q82t418

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Industry

#### Funder Name

Microvention Incorporated (USA)

#### Funder Name

Lothian University Hospitals Division (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

#### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/02/2008	Yes	No
Results article	results	14/05/2011	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025 11/11/2025	No	Yes
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025 11/11/2025	No	Yes