# The clinical, organisational and cost consequences of computer-assisted telephone advice to category C 999 ambulance service callers: results of a controlled trial

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
23/01/2004		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
23/01/2004	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
18/11/2009	Other			

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Jeremy Dale

#### Contact details

Centre for Primary Health Care Studies University of Warwick Coventry United Kingdom CV4 7AL +44 (0)2476 524254 jeremy.dale@warwick.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

#### Secondary identifying numbers

**PSI E-21** 

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

#### Study objectives

The aims of the study were:

- 1. To investigate the efficacy and safety of telephone assessment and advice to Category C (non-urgent) 999 ambulance service callers as an alternative to despatching an ambulance
- 2. To investigate the acceptability of telephone assessment and advice to Category C 999 ambulance service callers
- 3. To compare the efficacy, safety and acceptability of nurses and paramedics as providers of telephone advice to Category C 999 ambulance service callers
- 4. To model the cost consequences of telephone assessment and advice to Category C 999 ambulance callers

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

Other

#### Study type(s)

Other

#### Participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Computer-assisted telephone advice for emergency services

#### Interventions

Time blocks of 3-4 hours were allocated randomly within the constraints of staff availability to intervention sessions (nurse assessment and triage, or paramedic assessment and triage) and control sessions. During intervention sessions, nurses or paramedics trained in telephone consulting skills and using the TAS computerised decision support system assessed the patients'

needs for emergency ambulances and, if appropriate, offered advice. The intervention ran in 'shadow' form (i.e. all ambulances were dispatched in the usual way), but calls assessed as appropriate for advice were given an opportunity to decline the ambulance.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome measure

- 1. Triage assessment made by the paramedic or nurse
- 2. Subsequent cancellation of ambulance
- 3. Caller/patient satisfaction
- 4. Health outcome
- 5. SF-12 one week after 999 call
- 6. Review of nurse/paramedic decision making by independent clinical panel
- 7. Economic analysis of findings. The findings indicate that the provision of telephone assessment and advice to Category C callers is both safe and acceptable to callers. Telephone assessment and advice could enable patients with no identified clinical need for an emergency ambulance to be offered more appropriate care for their presenting condition. In the ambulance services studied, this could lead to at least 7-10% of dispatches being cancelled, so enabling improved response times for patients with more critical or life-threatening needs. Nurses using computer assisted decision support were more effective at identifying patients not in need of emergency ambulance than were paramedics using the decision support. The savings in marginal costs to the ambulance service appear likely to outweigh the costs of providing the telephone triage intervention. There are also likely to be considerable savings to AEDs as a result of reduced attendances.

## Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

# Overall study start date

01/04/1997

## Completion date

01/04/2000

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

The trial was conducted at two sites: the London Ambulance Service and the West Midlands Ambulance Service. Data collection for the main study was undertaken over a period of 12 months. All calls to the 999 ambulance service prioritised by call-takers as presenting with non-urgent (Category C) problems during sampled sessions.

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

#### Other

#### Sex

Both

# Target number of participants

Not provided at time of registration

#### Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

#### Date of first enrolment

01/04/1997

#### Date of final enrolment

01/04/2000

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

England

**United Kingdom** 

## Study participating centre Centre for Primary Health Care Studies

Coventry United Kingdom CV4 7AL

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

#### Sponsor details

The Department of Health Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL

# Sponsor type

#### Government

#### Website

http://www.doh.gov.uk

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

#### Funder Name

NHS Primary and Secondary Care Interface National Research and Development Programme (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/03/2003		Yes	No
Results article	2, results	01/10/2004		Yes	No