

The effect of womens' groups facilitated by Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs) on birth outcomes in Eastern India

Submission date 28/04/2011	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 15/06/2011	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 05/12/2017	Condition category Pregnancy and Childbirth	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Anthony Costello

Contact details

Institute of Child Health
University College London
Centre for International Health and Development
30 Guilford Street
London
United Kingdom
WC1N 1EH
+44 (0)20 7905 2883
a.costello@ich.ucl.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

1VTP/07CH05

Study information

Scientific Title

Community mobilisation with participatory womens groups facilitated by Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs) to improve maternal and newborn health in underserved areas of Jharkhand and Orissa (India): a cluster randomised controlled trial

Acronym

Jharkhand Orissa Health Action Research (JOHAR)

Study objectives

The community mobilisation intervention led by ASHAs will lead to a 30% reduction in neonatal mortality in the last 24 months of the study.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

UCL Research Ethics Committee approved in March 2008, annually reviewed, ID number: 1488 /001

Study design

Cluster randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Other

Study type(s)

Prevention

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Maternal and Child Health

Interventions

1. Participation in learning action cycle with womens groups
2. In each intervention cluster, trained and incentivised Accredited Social Health Activists and convene womens groups
3. ASHAs are government appointed volunteers incentivised to mobilise communities for improved health outcomes, assist women to access institutional deliveries and delivery a range

of primary healthcare services

4. The participatory learning and action cycle has 4 phases

5. In the first phase, groups identify and prioritise maternal and newborn health problems, then plan strategies to address these problems

6. In the second phase, they discuss and prioritise strategies to address these problems

7. In the third and fourth phases, groups put these strategies into practice, and evaluate their progress

8. The role of the ASHA as part of the intervention being tested is to activate and strengthen groups, support them in identifying problems related to maternal and newborn health, help to plan possible solutions and support the implementation and monitoring of strategies to address identified problems in the community

9. ASHAs support group meetings alongside their other activities

10. In all clusters, control and intervention, activities are implemented to strengthen Village Health Committees

11. We do not use patient information sheets because this was a community trial of a social intervention (i.e. not a clinical trial). The intervention consists of women's groups that discuss and design their own strategies to improve newborn and maternal health. All the women in these women's groups participate voluntarily. At the start of the women's groups, there was extensive discussion of what the aims and structure of the women's groups are. By voluntarily joining a women's group, the participants consent to the intervention (i.e. women's groups). Oral consent was obtained from the respondents in the monitoring and surveillance interviews

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Neonatal mortality (deaths in the first 28 complete days after birth per 1,000 live births), during the last 24 months of the study.

Secondary outcome measures

1. Early and late neonatal mortality rate

2. Stillbirth rate

3. Maternal mortality ratio

4. Pregnancy related mortality

5. Health care seeking

6. Home care practices

Overall study start date

01/09/2010

Completion date

31/12/2012

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Women who give birth in 30 geographic clusters during the study period
2. Women and their newborn infants are included after birth, or, if a woman dies during pregnancy, at her death.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Neonate

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

An estimated 6000 births to women using the above inclusion/exclusion criteria, during the last 24 months of the trial, in the combined 30 study clusters.

Key exclusion criteria

1. Women who decline to be interviewed
2. Women who have migrated out of the study areas (classified as those who cannot be traced 9 months after a birth or death identification)

Date of first enrolment

01/09/2010

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2012

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

England

India

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Institute of Child Health

London

United Kingdom

WC1N 1EH

Sponsor information

Organisation

University College London (UK)

Sponsor details

Institute of Child Health
Centre for International Health and Development
30 Guilford Street
London
England
United Kingdom
WC1N 1EH
+44 (0)20 7905 2261
g.eroglu@ich.ucl.ac.uk

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/cihd/>

ROR

<https://ror.org/02jx3x895>

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Charity

Funder Name

Big Lottery Fund Strategic Grant (UK) ref: IS/2/010281409

Results and Publications**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article	protocol	25/07/2011		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/02/2016		Yes	No