# Comparing the after-use sensation and safety of long acting (LA) carteolol 2 % versus timolol LA 0.5 % in simple intra-ocular hypertension and glaucoma

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
03/03/2010	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
25/03/2010	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
31/03/2010	Eye Diseases	[] Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Fréderic Chiambaretta

#### Contact details

CHU de Clermont Ferrand Service dOpthalmologie Hopital St. Jaques 3 place Henri Dunant, BP 69 Cermont Ferrand France 63000

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

#529

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Randomised, parallel-group, multicentre study to evaluate the after-use sensation and safety of carteolol LA 2% versus timolol LA 0.5% in simple intra-ocular hypertension and glaucoma

#### Acronym

Carteolol

#### Study objectives

In this study the hypothesis will be tested that a 3-month treatment with Carteolol is superior to a 3-month treatment with Timolol in the subjective tolerance (ocular discomfort) upon instillation.

Ocular hypertension or Primary Open Angle Glaucoma (POAG) (superiority design)

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

- 1. Belgium (Lead Centre): Ethics Committee of UZ Leuven (KUL) approved on the 10th of April 2008 (ref: ML 4750)
- 2. Czech Republic: Local ethics committee (Eticke Komise) approved on the 17th of January 2008 (refs: protocol UtCIV; approval no. 1743107S-MEK)
- 3. France: Local ethics committee (Comité de protection des personnes Sud Est 6) approved on the 2nd of November 2007 (ref: AU 714)
- 4. Poland: Local ethics committee (Komisja Bioethyczna) approved on the 6th of January 2007 (ref: KB-517/2007)
- 5. Portugal: National Ethics Committee for Clinical Investigation (CEIC) approved on the 19th of May 2008 (ref: 0804AU135)

## Study design

Investigator-masked parallel group randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Unilateral or bilateral ocular hypertension; Primary Open Angle Glaucoma (POAG)

#### **Interventions**

Written informed consent was obtained at baseline visit 1 (day 0). Eligibility was determined by reviewing medical history, recording of concomitant medication, external eye examination (signs of inflammation on eye lids, lid closure, lid motility, bulbar motility, conjunctival injection), and a check of inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Eligible patients were randomised to receive either

- 1. Carteolol LA 2%: Daily, 1 drop at 8 AM in the eye(s) to be treated over 3 months
- 2. Timolol LA 0.5%: Daily, 1 drop at 8 AM in the eye(s) to be treated over 3 months Patients received the appropriate medication and diary card.

Follow up visits were carried out on days 30 and 90 (± 3 days). Further medication and diary cards were distributed on day 30 only. Used and unused bottles and diary cards were collected at both visits. Patients were not followed up beyond the end of the intervention period.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

## Primary outcome measure

Evaluation of the subjective tolerance upon instillation (rate of patients experiencing symptoms of discomfort), graded as very good, good, bad or very bad, measured at baseline, 1 and 3 months

## Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Assessment of each of the symptoms of the Glaucoma Symptom
- Scale [15] (Yes/No): burning/smarting/stinging, tearing, dryness, itching, soreness/tiredness, feeling of something in the eye, blurry/dim vision, hard to see in daylight, hard to see in dark places and halos around the light (for those who report a given symptom, a bothersome scale will be used: very, somewhat, a little, not at all)
- 2. Slit lamp examination (examination of conjunctiva, cornea, iris, lens, anterior chamber), performed at baseline, 1 and 3 months
- 3. Tear-film-break-up-time-test (BUT-test; sec), performed at baseline, 1 and 3 months
- 4. Fluorescein staining of the cornea, performed at baseline, 1 and 3 months
- 5. Van Bijsterveld test (Lissamine green), performed at baseline, 1 and 3 months
- 6. IOP measured at 12 PM (+/- 30mn), measured at baseline, 1 and 3 months
- 7. Assessment of Visual acuity and visual field, measured at baseline (if no visual field performed during the previous 3 months) and at 3 months
- 8. Fundoscopy, performed at baseline, 1 and 3 months
- 9. Adverse events, reported at 1 and 3 months
- 10. Compliance, reported at 1 and 3 months

## Overall study start date

11/12/2007

#### Completion date

10/08/2009

# Eligibility

### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Adult patients, men or women
- 2. Suffering from unilateral or bilateral ocular hypertension or POAG
- 3. Intraocular Pressure (IOP) controlled with beta-blocker monotherapy (IOP < 21mmHg and visual field stable)
- 4. Written informed consent

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

**Both** 

## Target number of participants

194

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Age < 18 years
- 2. IOP not controlled with beta-blocker monotherapy
- 3. Angle closure, congenital and secondary glaucoma
- 4. Any pathology contraindicating an IOP measurement
- 5. Any intraocular infection or inflammation, ocular trauma, ocular surgery or laser trabeculoplasty within the previous 3 months
- 6. Previous intolerance to carteolol or timolol, or to any other ingredients of the tested products
- 7. Beta-blocker contraindications
- 8. Ocular corticosteroids
- 9. Contact lens wearers
- 10. Severe systemic or ocular disease
- 11. Hypotension
- 12. Drug, alcohol abuse
- 13. Involvement in the last 30 days in any other investigational drug study
- 14. Expected change in treatment of concomitant disease
- 15. Patients with a history of recurrent ocular herpes and/or recurrent uveitis
- 16. Change in ocular treatment within the last month
- 17. Patients treated with other topical ocular treatment within the last month
- 18. Pregnant or lactating women
- 19. Women of child-bearing potential considering becoming pregnant during the course of the study and those not taking precautions to avoid pregnancy
- 20. Patients for whom, in the physician's opinion, any of the protocol procedures may pose a special risk not outweighed by the potential benefits of participating in the study

- 21. Patients who are unlikely to comply with the study protocol or who are likely to be moving and lost to follow up in the study period
- 22. Patients with neurotic, psychiatric disorders or suicidal tendencies

#### Date of first enrolment

11/12/2007

#### Date of final enrolment

10/08/2009

## Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Belgium

Czech Republic

France

Poland

Portugal

## Study participating centre CHU de Clermont Ferrand Service

Cermont Ferrand France 63000

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Dr. Mann Pharma GmbH, Bausch & Lomb Inc. (Germany)

## Sponsor details

c/o Gabriele Brenger Brunsbütteler Damm 165-173 Berlin Germany 13581

## Sponsor type

Industry

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/049ncrn81

# Funder(s)

Funder type Industry

Funder Name

Bausch & Lomb GmbH (Germany)

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration