

Increasing Access to Work for Longer Term Community Mental Health Team (CMHT) clients: the impact of a work-placement training intervention

Submission date

23/01/2004

Recruitment status

No longer recruiting

Registration date

23/01/2004

Overall study status

Completed

Last Edited

25/11/2010

Condition category

Mental and Behavioural Disorders

☐ Prospectively registered

☐ Protocol

☐ Statistical analysis plan

☒ Results

☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Tom Burns

Contact details

St George's Hospital Medical School

Jenner Wing

Cranmer Terrace

London

United Kingdom

SW17 0RE

+44 (0)20 8682 6725

tburns@sghms.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

RDC01737

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Structured daily activity, and paid work in particular, have been shown to have significant impact on the outcome of ensuring psychotic disorders. This study will examine the impact of a dedicated 'work placement co-ordinator' on the access to work opportunities of 1000 patients currently in the care of secondary mental health services in two inner London Boroughs. The intervention will be compared to standard care using random allocation between 10 sector teams. The intervention consists of a training package on work placement for the team members and on-going team access to a vocational counsellor for advice. Outcome will be measured by improvements in individual patient activity at one year. Patient predictors of response to the intervention will be sought. Improvement in work activity is of direct benefit to individual patients in terms of self esteem and in stemming clinical and social deterioration. It has also been shown to reduce carer burden, thereby addressing the NHS's commitment to the needs of families and carers. Work activity may also reduce relapse rate in the longer term and may save on inpatient bed pressure. The establishment of even a small number of patients in paid employment represents enormous savings in social security transfer payments. A simple generalisable model for work placement in the NHS will be developed.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Schizophrenia and other psychoses

Interventions

1. Patients in the intervention group will receive three seminars and information packs covering local employment opportunities over the three succeeding weeks. There is no further scheduled contact of the CMHT with the work co-ordinator but team staff will be encouraged to seek advice on a case by case basis.
2. Control - no intervention i.e. standard care

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Outcome will be assessed by recording the vocational status of all study subjects on an individual patient basis at one-year. Vocational status will be measured using 6 categories:

1. Unemployed/inactive
2. Other at/education related activity
3. Education
4. Sheltered work
5. Voluntary work
6. Open employment.

These 6 categories are comprehensive and clearly defined, but not mutually exclusive as part-time occupation is registered. An individual patient may be involved in, for example, education (3) and sheltered work (4) simultaneously. In these cases both activities will be recorded.

Where there has been a change in vocational status, duration of employment activity will be recorded, and random interviews will check the accuracy of outcome data.

Client attitude and satisfaction will be measured in a sample of patients who obtained work/occupation, concerning their participation in the daily activity. The views of clients who did receive the intervention will be compared to matched case controls of those who returned to work/occupation in the control group.

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

02/05/2000

Completion date

02/05/2001

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Have been in contact with the CMHT for at least one year
2. Have been out of work/education for at least one year

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

1000

Key exclusion criteria

1. Men over 65
2. Women over 60

Date of first enrolment

02/05/2000

Date of final enrolment

02/05/2001

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

St George's Hospital Medical School

London

United Kingdom

SW17 0RE

Sponsor information**Organisation**

NHS R&D Regional Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

The Department of Health

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL
+44 (0)20 7307 2622
dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type
Government

Website
<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
NHS Executive London (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan
Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/08/2003		Yes	No