

Randomised controlled trial of a smoke alarm give-away programme to prevent fire-related injuries and deaths

Submission date 25/10/2000	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 25/10/2000	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 19/08/2009	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr CG DiGuseppi

Contact details

Department of Preventative Medicine and Biometrics
University of Colorado Health Sciences Centre
4200 East Ninth Avenue Box C245
Denver Colorado
United States of America
80262

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

G9630971

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

This trial will quantify the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the door-to-door distribution of free smoke alarms to prevent residential fires and fire-related injuries in a materially deprived, ethnically diverse urban community.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Other

Study type(s)

Prevention

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Public health, social medicine

Interventions

1. In 20 intervention wards, a smoke alarm give-away programme was conducted in which a total of 20,000 free smoke alarms were distributed to low income families, council tenants, and households occupied by elderly adults or young children
2. Twenty control wards received no intervention

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Injuries due to fire requiring emergency attendance, injuries due to fire requiring hospitalisation, fires attended by the fire brigade

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

11/08/1997

Completion date

10/11/2000

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Electoral wards in the London Boroughs of Camden and Islington with Jarman scores of 20 or more.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

Added 19/08/09: 27 months of post-intervention surveillance for occurrence of primary outcomes within the 40 wards

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

11/08/1997

Date of final enrolment

10/11/2000

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

United States of America

Study participating centre
Department of Preventative Medicine and Biometrics
Denver Colorado
United States of America
80262

Sponsor information

Organisation

Medical Research Council (MRC) (UK)

Sponsor details

20 Park Crescent
London
United Kingdom
W1B 1AL
+44 (0)20 7636 5422
clinical.trial@headoffice.mrc.ac.uk

Sponsor type

Research council

Website

<http://www.mrc.ac.uk>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Research council

Funder Name

Medical Research Council (MRC) (UK)

Alternative Name(s)

Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), UK Medical Research Council, MRC

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	Incidence of fires results	02/11/2002		Yes	No
Results article	Prevalence of working smoke alarms results	02/11/2002		Yes	No
Results article	Discussions re increasing the prevalence results	01/04/2004		Yes	No
Results article	Cost effectiveness results	01/10/2005		Yes	No