

Assessment of cost-effectiveness of the treatment of varicose veins

Submission date 25/04/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 25/04/2003	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 08/11/2022	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Mr Jonathan Michaels

Contact details
Department of Vascular Surgery
Sheffield Vascular Institute
Northern General Hospital
Herries Road
Sheffield
United Kingdom
S5 7AU
+44 (0)114 2269124
jonathan.michaels@sth.nhs.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
HTA 95/05/06

Study information

Scientific Title

Assessment of cost-effectiveness of the treatment of varicose veins

Acronym

REACTIV

Study objectives

The project will assess the cost effectiveness of the commonly used treatments for Varicose Veins by way of Markov process decision model. The data for the modelling will be obtained through a combination of systematic literature review and the collection of retrospective and prospective data on patients undergoing treatment for varicose veins. This will include randomised controlled studies in three sub-groups of patients in whom conservative treatment, sclerotherapy and surgery will be compared.

The model will allow an assessment of the incremental cost effectiveness of each treatment modality in sub groups of patients based upon their symptomatic, investigative and demographic features. Patient and societal priorities for treatment will be assessed using a "willingness to pay" (WTP) technique.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Added as of 25/07/2007: Ethical approval for the study was obtained from both Sheffield and Exeter Local Research Ethics Committees.

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Varicose veins/ulcers

Interventions

Interventions updated as of 25/07/2007:

Group 1 (34 patients): minor varicose veins with no reflux, randomised between conservative

treatment and sclerotherapy

Group 2 (77 patients): moderate varicose veins with reflux, randomised between surgery and sclerotherapy

Group 3 (246 patients): severe varicose veins with reflux, randomised between conservative treatment and surgery

The remaining 652 patients formed the observational part of the study.

Interventions provided at time of registration:

1. Conservative treatment
2. Sclerotherapy
3. Surgery

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Primary outcome measures updated as of 25/07/2007:

1. Clinical effectiveness, as measured using the Short Form 6D (SF-6D) utility valuation
2. Cost-effectiveness analysis

Primary outcome measures provided at time of registration:

Cost-effectiveness of each treatment

Secondary outcome measures

Added as of 25/07/2007:

1. Complications of treatment
2. Symptomatic relief
3. Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) and patient satisfaction. HRQoL was measured using the Short Form with 36 Items (SF-36), EuroQol quality of life questionnaire (EQ-5D) and standard gamble questionnaires.

Overall study start date

01/10/1998

Completion date

30/09/2003

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients undergoing treatment for varicose veins

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

1,009

Key exclusion criteria

Added as of 25/07/2007:

General exclusion criteria:

1. Unwillingness to give informed consent
2. Unwilling or unable to complete assessment protocol
3. Current evidence of thrombophlebitis, ulceration or DVT

Specific exclusion criteria:

Group 1 Sclerotherapy vs conservative treatment:

1. Patients with deep venous insufficiency confirmed by duplex
2. Allergy to sclerosant
3. Diameter of varicose veins >2 cm

Group 2 Sclerotherapy vs surgery:

1. Patients with deep venous insufficiency confirmed by duplex
2. Allergy to sclerosant
3. Diameter of varicose veins >2 cm
4. Pre-existing co-morbidities that would make them unsuitable for surgery
5. BMI >32

Group 3 Surgery vs conservative treatment:

1. Patients with deep venous insufficiency confirmed by duplex
2. Allergy to sclerosant
3. Diameter of varicose veins >2 cm
4. Pre-existing co-morbidities that would make them unsuitable for surgery.
5. BMI >32

Date of first enrolment

01/10/1998

Date of final enrolment

30/09/2003

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre
Department of Vascular Surgery
Sheffield
United Kingdom
S5 7AU

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

Quarry House
Quarry Hill
Leeds
United Kingdom
LS2 7UE
+44 (0)1132 545 843
Sheila.Greener@doh.gsi.gov.uk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/index.htm>

ROR

<https://ror.org/03sbpja79>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	HTA monograph	01/04/2006		Yes	No