

# Does teaching children how to play chess improve maths test performance?

<b>Submission date</b> 22/09/2013	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 04/12/2013	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 04/12/2013	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

This study will investigate whether teaching primary school pupils to play chess for one hour a week over 30 weeks (during normal school time) boosts academic achievement in mathematics. This initiative is called the Chess in Schools and Communities (CSC) programme.

### Who can participate?

Year 5 pupils in 100 schools are involved in the trial, drawn from 11 local authorities (The City of Bristol; Hackney; Hammersmith and Fulham; Leeds; Liverpool; Middlesbrough; Newham; Sefton; Sheffield; Southwark and Tameside).

### What does the study involve?

The 100 schools will be randomly allocated to one of two groups: a treatment group or a control group.

Year 5 pupils in the 50 treatment schools will be taught how to play chess, following a specially designed curriculum. A sample of the curriculum can be found on [http://www.chessinschools.co.uk/sample\\_curriculum.htm](http://www.chessinschools.co.uk/sample_curriculum.htm).

In the 50 control schools, children will not receive the CSC programme and it will be business as usual.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

**Benefits:** Children get to learn about chess. It will potentially improve maths ability. It will potentially improve other cognitive skills. Schools can say they are part of an EEF trial and academic research - which is a requirement of OFSTED.

**Risks:** There are no obvious risks to participation. If assigned to the control group, schools will not be able to take part in the CSC programme for the following 2 years. No known side effects.

### Where is the study run from?

In 100 schools drawn from 11 local authorities. The programme will be run by the charity Chess in Schools and Community (CSC) charity. A team from the Institute of Education, led by Dr. John Jerrim, will be evaluating the impact of Chess in Schools on pupils' maths test scores.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

From September 2013 to April 2016 as follows:

Sep 2013: CSC treatment begins. Baseline survey of year 5 intervention children

Mar 2014: Observations of classroom chess sessions

Jun 2014: CSC treatment ends . On-line survey with teachers and head teachers (intervention)

Jul 2014: Telephone interviews (with teachers, coaches, etc). Follow up survey with year 5 intervention children

Jun 2015: CSC children sit their Key Stage 2 exams

Nov 2015: Key Stage 2 test results become available

Apr 2016: IoE completes report for EEF

Who is funding the study?

Education Endowment Fund, UK.

Who is the main contact?

Dr. John Jerrim

J.Jerrim@ioe.ac.uk

### **Study website**

<http://johnjerrim.com/rcts/>

## **Contact information**

### **Type(s)**

Scientific

### **Contact name**

Dr John Jerrim

### **Contact details**

Department of Quantitative Social Science

Institute of Education

University of London

20 Bedford Way

London

United Kingdom

WC1H 0AL

J.Jerrim@ioe.ac.uk

## **Additional identifiers**

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Chess in schools and community: A clustered randomised controlled trial

## Study objectives

That teaching children how to play Chess in year 5 (age 9 /10) will improve childrens math test scores at the end of year 6 (age 11).

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Institute of Education, University of London Ethics Committee, 17/05/2013, Ref: FPS 504.

The study will follow BERA guidelines for ethical approval - <http://www.bera.ac.uk/publications/Ethical%20Guidelines>

## Study design

Clustered randomised controlled trial with randomisation at the school level

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Other

## Study type(s)

Quality of life

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Maths test scores at Key Stage 2

## Interventions

Under the assumption that 100 schools are recruited, the IoE will decide in June 2013 which schools will be in the treatment group and which will be controls. They will do this approximately one week after receiving the list of 100 schools that have been recruited into the study. This will be a stratified, clustered randomised control trial with random allocation occurring at the school level. Schools will firstly be separated (stratified) into different groups by important observable characteristics (e.g. historical key stage 2 math scores at the school level, percentage receiving free school meals). Schools will then be randomly selected from within these strata into either treatment or control groups. A 50/50 sampling fraction shall be used. All children in Year 5 treatment schools will be required to use the programme to avoid selection problems.

The Chess in Schools and Communities chess programme will be given to the 50 treatment schools.

There will be no intervention in the 50 control schools (business as usual).

Duration of intervention: one hour a week over 30 weeks in one academic year (during normal school time).

Follow-up = Initial follow up testing will take place in June 2015. Longer-term follow up via the national pupil database may take place up to 2022 (depending upon data consent).

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Primary outcome measure**

Childrens overall scores on the Key Stage 2 maths test.

Key Stage 1 maths measured at baseline. Key Stage 2 maths measured 1 year after the end of intervention (June 2015).

### **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Performance on Key Stage 2 English tests
2. Performance on Key Stage 2 Science tests (where available)
3. Performance on sub-domains of the Key Stage 2 Maths test (see page 24 of <http://www.bris.ac.uk/cmpo/plugin/support-docs/ks2userguide2011.pdf>)

Measured one year after the end of the intervention.

### **Overall study start date**

01/10/2013

### **Completion date**

01/10/2016

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

The Institute of Education along with the Chess in Schools team will define the population of interest. Specific geographical areas in England (certain Local Authorities) will firstly be selected by Chess in Schools and Communities (CSC) where they have capacity to deliver the intervention. These areas are:

1. The City of Bristol
2. Hackney
3. Hammersmith and Fulham
4. Leeds
5. Liverpool
6. Middlesbrough
7. Newham
8. Sefton

- 9. Sheffield
- 10. Southwark
- 11. Tameside

The Institute of Education will then produce a list of all primary schools within these geographic regions. Private schools and schools where CSC already operate will be excluded. For logistical reasons, it has been agreed that any primary school with four-form entry shall not be included in the evaluation. We have therefore also excluded schools with more than 90 pupils currently aged 11 from the sampling frame (working on the assumption that there are approximately 30 pupils per class within primary schools and that year group size within schools does not significantly change within a short space of time). Any school that CSC approaches with four form entry shall we excluded from the study. The population of interest will be further restricted to schools with a high intake of disadvantaged pupils, based upon the percentage of children receiving Free School Meals. (This has been set to at least 37 percent of Key Stage 2 pupils who have been eligible for FSM in the last six years or who have been looked after by the local authority continuously for 6 months) . Thus the population of interest is defined as all year 5 state school pupils within the selected geographic regions, who attend a one, two or three form entry primary school, with a high proportion of disadvantaged pupils and whose school does not currently run the CSC programme.

This final list of schools produced by the IoE will contain approximately 450 schools and shall act as the sampling frame. CSC will then attempt to recruit 100 out of these 450 schools by the 3rd week June 2013. CSC will send all interested schools an information pack those that decide to take part will complete a consent form to participate in the study and allow access NPD form and an Excel sheet of prospective year 5 pupil information prior to randomisation.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Child

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

100 schools (50 treatment, 50 controls). Approximately 6000 children (assuming 60 children per school)

**Key exclusion criteria**

Those who are absent from school on the day of the test

**Date of first enrolment**

01/10/2013

**Date of final enrolment**

01/10/2016

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**  
**Department of Quantitative Social Science**  
London  
United Kingdom  
WC1H 0AL

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**  
Education Endowment Foundation (UK)

**Sponsor details**  
9th Floor  
Millbank Tower  
21-24 Millbank  
London  
United Kingdom  
SW1P 4QP  
info@eefoundation.org.uk

**Sponsor type**  
Charity

**Website**  
<http://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/contact>

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/03bhd6288>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**  
Charity

**Funder Name**  
Education Endowment Foundation (UK)

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration