# Multi-centre randomised controlled trial of nurse practitioners and pre-registration house officers (PRHO) in pre-operative workup

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
25/04/2003	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
25/04/2003	Completed	[X] Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
11/03/2009	Surgery	

# Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof John Primrose

#### Contact details

University Surgical Unit
University of Southampton
F Level, Centre Block (816)
Southampton General Hospital
Tremona Road
Southampton
United Kingdom
SO16 6YD
+44 (0)23 80 796144
j.n.primrose@soton.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

HTA 94/40/38

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

### **Study objectives**

To determine whether pre-operative assessment carried out by an appropriately trained nurse (ATN) is equivalent in quality to that carried out by a pre-registration house officer (PRHO). To assess whether pre-assessments carried out by ATNs and PRHOs are equivalent in terms of cost.

To determine whether assessments carried out by ATNs are acceptable to patients. To investigate the quality of communication between senior medical staff and ATNs.

More details can be found at: http://www.hta.ac.uk/993

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

### Study design

Prospective randomised equivalence trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Study setting(s)

Not specified

# Study type(s)

Not Specified

#### Participant information sheet

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Pre-operative assessment

#### **Interventions**

The study design was principally a prospective randomised equivalence trial but was accompanied by additional qualitative assessment of patient and staff perceptions, and an economic evaluation.

The intervention consisted of a pre-operative assessment carried out by either an appropriately trained nurse (ATN) or a PRHO. Of the patients who completed the study with a full evaluation,

926 patients were randomised to the PRHO arm of the trial and 948 to the ATN arm. Three ATNs took part in the study, one from each centre, together with a total of 87 PRHOs.

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

### Primary outcome measure

Immediately following the initial assessment of a patient by a PRHO or an ATN, one of a number of clinical research fellows, all specialist registrars in anaesthetics, repeated the assessment and recorded it on a study form, together with a list of investigations required. The clinical research fellow then evaluated the competency of the initial assessor by comparing the quality of their assessment with their own. Any deficiencies in ordering of investigations and referral to other specialities were met in order to maximise patient care. Three areas of ATN and PRHO performance were judged separately, history taking, examination and ordering of tests, and each was graded into one of four categories, the most important of which was underassessment, which would possibly have affected peri-operative management. In the case of ordering of tests, it was possible to have both over- and under-assessed a patient on different tests.

### Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration.

## Overall study start date

01/10/1997

# Completion date

31/10/1999

# **Eligibility**

# Key inclusion criteria

All patients attending at one site for assessment prior to general anaesthetic for elective general, vascular, urological or breast surgery were potentially included in the study. Of 1,907 patients who were randomised, 1874 completed the study with a full evaluation.

# Participant type(s)

Patient

# Age group

Not Specified

#### Sex

Both

# Target number of participants

1,907

# Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration.

## Date of first enrolment

01/10/1997

### Date of final enrolment

31/10/1999

# Locations

### Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

# Study participating centre University Surgical Unit

Southampton United Kingdom SO16 6YD

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Department of Health (UK)

#### Sponsor details

Quarry House Quarry Hill Leeds United Kingdom LS2 7UE +44 (0)1132 545 843 Sheila.Greener@doh.gsi.gov.uk

#### Sponsor type

Government

#### Website

http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/index.htm

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/03sbpja79

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

#### Funder Name

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	07/12/2002		Yes	No