Flexible or solid stabilisation for lumbar spondylosis? A randomised controlled trial - Stage 1 - Feasibility Study

Submission date Recruitment status [X] Prospectively registered 30/09/2004 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 03/11/2004 Completed [X] Results [] Individual participant data Last Edited Condition category 09/11/2010 Musculoskeletal Diseases

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Alan Breen

Contact details

IMRCI-AECC 13-15 Parkwood Road Bournemouth United Kingdom BH5 2DF +44 (0)1202 436275 imrci.abreen@aecc.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 04/04

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

FleSS

Study objectives

Rationale:

There have been no clinical trials of the new flexible stabilisation, versus the old rigid stabilisation surgery for the large subgroup of chronic back patients who have lumbar spondylosis pain. Furthermore, there has previously been no assessment tool with which to explain the clinical biomechanics of any kind of stabilisation. Therefore, we wish to conduct a feasibility study for randomised controlled trial of rigid, versus flexible (DYNESYS) lumbar spine stabilisation using both patient-assessed and objective biomechanical outcomes.

Objectives

To conduct a feasibility study to inform the working methods and number of subjects needed for a single-blind randomised controlled trial to

- 1. Compare the effectiveness of flexible (DYNESYS) with posterolateral fusion with pedicle screws and graft (PLF) and
- 2. Seek explanatory and predictive models for clinical outcomes using an objective spinal motion imaging assessment (OSMIA) at instrumented and adjacent levels

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Lumbar spondylotic back pain

Interventions

DYNESYS flexible posterolateral stabilisation (Intervention) versus Posterolateral fusion (PLF) with pedicle screws and graft

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

ODQ = Oswestry Disability Questionnaire

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. SF36
- 2. Pain Scale
- 3. Health Transitions scale
- 4. Target and adjacent segment motion characteristics

Overall study start date

01/04/2005

Completion date

30/03/2006

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Subjects: Patients with severe chronic low back pain of at least 1 year's duration attributed to either post-discectomy pain or primary disc degeneration below L3 in whom conservative treatment has been ineffective and who elect to have spine stabilisation surgery.

Inclusion Criteria:

- 1. Age 25-65
- 2. Willing to participate
- 3. Back pain without radiculopathy and attributable to lumbar spondylosis
- 4. Conservative therapy tried and failed
- 5. Elect lumbar stabilisation (1 or 2 level, L3 downward)
- 6. Suitable for DYNESYS or standard posterolateral fusion (PLF) with pedicle screws and graft using a standardised procedure
- 7. Oswestry >30

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

30 Added 09/10/10: only 10 patients were recruited and the study ended ended 01/04/08.

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Mental illness (except mild depression)
- 2. No prior conservative therapy
- 3. Pathology such as fracture, infection, neoplasm
- 4. Poor understanding of English
- 5. Spinal stenosis
- 6. Spondyolisthesis
- 7. >2 level procedure required
- 8. Radicular pain
- 9. Litigation or compensation pending

Date of first enrolment

01/04/2005

Date of final enrolment

30/03/2006

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre IMRCI-AECC

Bournemouth United Kingdom BH5 2DF

Sponsor information

Organisation

Zimmer Ltd (UK)

Sponsor details

The Courtyard Lancaster Place South Marston Park Swindon United Kingdom SN3 4FP

Sponsor type

Industry

ROR

https://ror.org/00mke2t69

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

Zimmer Ltd (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	15/10/2009		Yes	No