

# A randomised comparison of two techniques for inflation of the Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway (CLMA) during anaesthesia

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <b>Submission date</b><br>30/09/2005   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting | <input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered    |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol                    |
| <b>Registration date</b><br>30/09/2005 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed          | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan   |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Results                     |
| <b>Last Edited</b><br>04/06/2015       | <b>Condition category</b><br>Surgery              | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year |

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Kim Gupta

### Contact details

Anaesthesia  
Royal United Hospital  
B&NES  
Bath  
United Kingdom  
BA1 3NG

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N0212157341

# Study information

## Scientific Title

A randomised comparison of two techniques for inflation of the Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway (CLMA) during anaesthesia

## Study objectives

Is there any difference in performance of the Laryngeal Mask Airway (LMA) when using either of the 2 techniques of cuff inflation described?

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Bath Research Ethics Committee, 24/03/2005, ref: 05/Q2001/31

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Anaesthesia

## Interventions

Technique 1 vs technique 2 for inflation of the Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway (CLMA) during anaesthesia

## Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

## Phase

Not Specified

## Primary outcome measure

Added 22/07/2008:

1. Airway seal pressure
2. Composite measures of adequacy of airway device placement

### **Secondary outcome measures**

Added 22/07/2008:

1. Composite measures to assess functional adequacy of airway device
2. Volume of air in LMA cuff at on removal
3. Initial cuff pressure after syringe inflation
4. Composite measures of pharyngeal trauma

### **Overall study start date**

24/02/2005

### **Completion date**

01/07/2008

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Adult patients presenting to RUH for day case and minor in-patient operations who meet the inclusion criteria for the trial and who are to be anaesthetised by any of the investigators. All patients who are having an anaesthetic where the CLMA would be expected to be the airway used are suitable to be recruited. We will study 130 adult patients (American Society of Anaesthesiologists physical status 1 - 3 age >16 years) undergoing non-emergency surgery in the supine or lithotomy position under general anaesthesia with spontaneous respiration during maintenance of anaesthesia.

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Sex**

Both

### **Target number of participants**

Aiming for 130 patients

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients will not be studied if they have any pathology of the neck
2. At risk of pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents
3. Unable to understand the study or give informed consent to take part
4. We will not study patients weighing less than 35 kg or greater than 120 kg

### **Date of first enrolment**

24/02/2005

**Date of final enrolment**

01/07/2008

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

Royal United Hospital

Bath

United Kingdom

BA1 3NG

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Department of Health

**Sponsor details**

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

+44 (0)20 7307 2622

dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

**Sponsor type**

Government

**Website**

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

## Results and Publications

### **Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

### **Intention to publish date**

### **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

### **IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration